

UNIVERSAL  
LIBRARY



137 753

UNIVERSAL  
LIBRARY













HENSLOWE AND ALLEYN.

VOL. I.



# HENSLOWE AND ALLEYN:

BEING

THE DIARY OF PHILIP HENSLOWE,

FROM 1591 TO 1600.

EDITED BY J. PAYNE COLLIER.

AND THE

LIFE OF EDWARD ALLEYN.

BY J. PAYNE COLLIER.

TO WHICH IS ADDED

THE ALLEYN PAPERS

IN TWO VOLUMES.

VOL. I.

PRINTED FOR THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY,

AND TO BE HAD OF

W. SKEFFINGTON, AGENT TO THE SOCIETY, 192, PICCADILLY.

1853.

LONDON

BRADBURY AND EVANS, PRINTERS, WHITEFRIARS.

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS  
OF THE  
FOURTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE MEMBERS  
OF  
THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY,  
HELD ON THE 26TH APRIL, 1845,  
AT THE ROOMS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE, NO. 4,  
ST. MARTIN'S PLACE, TRAFALGAR SQUARE, LONDON.

---

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL.

The Council, in presenting their Fourth Annual Report to the Society, have little more to do than congratulate the members on its continued success, and recapitulate the titles of the works issued in the course of the past year.

In compliance with a wish generally expressed, three extremely rare plays have been printed, affording considerable illustration of the drama of the period, and more particularly of the question of Shakespeare's obligation to his predecessors. The First Volume of a Miscellaneous Collection has also been issued, which, it is hoped, will be received as initiative of a series, that must prove very interesting and instructive, could Members of the Society and the possessors of rare documents be induced to contribute to it. It may be here stated that some papers of much interest have been most liberally furnished for the Volume now in progress; and it is hoped that this may induce others to aid in the establishment

of a Miscellany worthy of the subject. The Council beg to reiterate their earnest anxiety to receive contributions from the Members generally.

The labour and research bestowed for the last hundred years on the illustration of our national poet, and his great contemporaries, prove the extreme difficulty there is in reproducing their works so as to give full expression and effect to their wonderful genius ; and the reception afforded to all works on the subject, not only by those of their own country and language, but by the whole of Europe, establish also that the product of such labour and research, however necessarily slow in its progress and small in its results, is eagerly sought for and gratefully appreciated.

The amount of labour necessary to repair the inroads of time, and, by careful elucidation, to restore the full meaning of the ever-varying and universal allusions of such quick and prolific fancies as those of our early dramatists, can only be thoroughly understood by those who have had occasion to consult the vast quantity of material from which it must be acquired. This remark is made as some answer to those who expected that more directly illustrative works would have been able to be procured by antiquaries. The Society, however, has the satisfaction of seeing that the books issued by it have been universally appealed to by the numerous writers and commentators who, in reviews as well as separate works, have lately so largely availed themselves of the materials ; thus proving the practical utility of the works selected.

The Council cannot too continually keep before the attention of lovers of old literature that it is only by extreme and patient perseverance that sufficient materials can be collected to entirely remove the obsoleteness and incrustations which the lapse of time and change of language and manners have wrought in these great writers. The experience of every day, however, convinces all classes of readers that no amount of labour can be misspent in elucidating that which in itself is so truly excellent.

One of the main purposes of the Society, as stated in its original prospectus and ever since kept closely in view, was to induce persons possessing old family papers to examine them, in the hope of finding something, if not directly elucidatory of Shakespeare, illustrative at least of our early drama and stage. This object has already, in part, been accomplished by the work last issued: a printed copy of one of our great dramatist's most popular historical plays, from a contemporary manuscript possibly anterior to the earliest of the printed editions. It has been preserved for ages among the domestic records of Sir Edward Dering, of Surrenden, Kent, Baronet; and the liberality of the possessor, in allowing it to be printed, cannot be too highly estimated, nor too often mentioned, as an example and an incentive to others who, thus encouraged, may unexpectedly discover that they have long had documents of a similar character in their care and custody.

The following six volumes have been printed and distributed during the year which closed 31 December, 1844:—

1. TARLTON'S JESTS, from the edition of 1611; and TARLTON'S NEWES OUT OF PURGATORY, from the earliest copy, preceded by a Life of that celebrated Comedian, and an Account of his Jigs and Merry Sayings. Edited by J. O. HALLIWELL, Esq., F.R.S., &c.

2. THE TRUE TRAGEDIE OF RICHARD THE THIRD, which preceded Shakespeare's Play. From a *unique* copy printed in 1594, 4to., in the library of his Grace the Duke of Devonshire. To which is added the Latin Play of RICHARDUS TERTIUS, by DR. LEGGE, from the Manuscript in Emmanuel College, Cambridge. Edited by BARRON FIELD, Esq.

3. THE GHOST OF RICHARD THE THIRD, &c. Containing more of him than hath been heretofore shewed, either in Chronicles, Plays, or Poems. By C. B., 4to., 1614; partly founded upon Shakespeare's Tragedy, and partly upon the Chronicles to which he resorted; and recommended by hitherto unknown Poems by Ben Jonson, George Chapman, W. Browne, George Wither, and Robert Daborne. With Introduction and notes by J. PAYNE COLLIER, Esq., F.S.A.

4. SIR THOMAS MORE: an unprinted Historical Play. From the original MS. (licensed for the stage by the Master of the Revels about

the year 1590) in the British Museum. Edited by the Rev. ALEXANDER DYCE.

5. Vol. I. of THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY'S PAPERS: being a Miscellany of Contributions illustrative of the Drama and Literature of the Shakespearean Era. To be continued as contributions are received.

6. THE TAMING OF A SHREW, which preceded Shakespeare's Comedy. From the *unique* copies of 1594 and 1596, 4to., in the collections of the Duke of Devonshire, and of Lord Francis Egerton, M.P. Edited by THOMAS AMYOT, Esq., F.R.S., Treas. S.A. &c. With a Fac-simile Title-page of the original edition.

The two following works have been issued since the commencement of the present year:—

1. ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE FAIRY MYTHOLOGY OF SHAKESPEARE, selected from rare books and manuscripts, exhibiting the old popular notions respecting fairies, and how far they have been adopted in the "Midsummer Night's Dream." By J. O. HALLIWELL, Esq., F.R.S., Hon. M.R.I.A., F.S.A., &c.

2. SHAKESPEARE'S PLAY OF KING HENRY THE FOURTH, printed from a Contemporary Manuscript. Edited by JAMES ORCHARD HALLIWELL, Esq., F.R.S., &c. With two fac-similes of the handwritings.

The following works are in the press, and will be issued as soon as completed:—

1. THE DIARY AND ACCOUNT-BOOK OF PHILIP HENSLowe, between about the years 1590 and 1610, in which he entered his various Transactions relating to Plays, Players, and Dramatists. Edited (by permission of the Master, Warden, and Fellows of Dulwich College) by J. PAYNE COLLIER, Esq., F.S.A.

2. Vol. II. of THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY'S PAPERS.

3. THE CHESTER WHITSUN-PLAYS: a Collection of Early Dramatic Representations by the Incorporated Trades of Chester. Edited by THOMAS WRIGHT, Esq., F.S.A., Corresponding Member of the Institute of France, &c. In Two Parts. Part II.

The following works are under revision by the Editors:—

1. NOTICES OF SHAKESPEARE AND HIS WORKS, from the earliest period to the publication of the "Theatrum Poetarum," in 1675; with

memoranda drawn from other sources on his personal and literary history. By BOLTON CORNEY, Esq.

2. THE FAIR MAID OF THE EXCHANGE, with the Merry Humours and Pleasant Passages of the Cripple of Fanchurch: a Comedy, by THOMAS HEYWOOD. From the first edition, 1607: accompanied by the Play of FORTUNE BY LAND AND SEA, by Thomas Heywood and W. Rowley, from the edition of 1655. To be edited by BARRON FIELD, Esq.

3. A SELECTION FROM THE NOTES WRITTEN BY WILLIAM OLDYS, IN HIS COPY OF LANGBAINE'S LIVES OF THE DRAMATIC POETS, now in the British Museum. To be edited by PETER CUNNINGHAM, Esq.

4. A COLLECTION OF THE DOCUMENTS which have reference to the Events of Shakespeare's Life. The Will to be edited by Sir FREDERICK MADDEN, F.R.S., F.S.A., Keeper of the MSS. in the British Museum, with Fac-similes of the Signatures. The Marriage Licence, transcripts from the Registers at Stratford-upon-Avon, and all the other Documents, to be edited by JOHN BRUCE, Esq., F.S.A.

5. FUNEBRIA FLORÆ. The Downfal of May-Games, &c.: an attack upon popular amusements. By THOMAS HALL, B.D. 1660, 4to. To be edited by W. B. D. D. TURNBULL, Esq., of Edinburgh, F.S.A., &c.

6. Two MASQUES, by BEN JONSON, of which Copies in his own handwriting, unknown to all the Editors of Ben Jonson's works, are preserved among the Royal MSS. in the British Museum.

The following are the suggested publications, some of which have been accepted by the Council, and others still remain under consideration:—

1. AN ESSAY ON THE MADNESS OF SOME OF SHAKESPEARE'S PRINCIPAL CHARACTERS, illustrated by examples ancient and modern, with a view to show their peculiar characteristics, and exact truth to nature. By FORBES WINSLOW, Esq., M.D.

2. RALPH ROISTER DOYSTER, the oldest Comedy, and FERREX AND PORREX, the oldest Tragedy, properly so called, in our language: the one from the *unique* copy in the library of Eton College, and the other from the earliest edition in the collection of the Right Hon. Lord Francis Egerton, M.P. To be edited by W. D. COOPER, Esq., F.S.A.

3. THE JOURNAL OF A BARRISTER OF THE NAME OF MANNINGHAM, for the years 1600, 1601, and 1602; containing Anecdotes of Shakespeare, Ben Jonson, Marston, Spenser, Sir W. Raleigh, Sir John Davys, &c.

To be edited from the MS. in the British Museum, by THOMAS WRIGHT, Esq., F.S.A., Correspondent of the Institute of France, &c.

4. THE PASSIONATE SHEPHERD: a collection of poems by NICHOLAS BRETON. Written in imitation of poems in The Passionate Pilgrim of Shakespeare. From the only existing copy, 1604, 4to.

5. RICH'S FAREWELL TO MILITARY PROFESSION. From the *unique* copy of the first edition, in 1581, in the Bodleian Library, containing novels upon which were founded "Twelfth Night" and several dramas of the age of Shakespeare. To be edited by J. PAYNE COLLIER, Esq., F.S.A.

6. WHEN YOU SEE ME YOU KNOW ME, a historical play on events of the reign of Henry VIII. (perhaps anterior to that of Shakespeare) by Samuel Rowley. From the first edition in 1605, collated with the re-impresion of 1632.

7. THE MIRROR OF MONSTERS: an attack upon theatrical performances in 1587. By WILLIAM RANKINS, who afterwards became a Dramatic Poet, and the author of Satires, &c., printed in 1596.

8. TRASLATIONS OF TWO ITALIAN COMEDIES, GL' INGANNU AND GL' INGANNUCCI, the plots of which bear a strong resemblance to Shakespeare's Twelfth Night. By GEORGE MACIRONE, Esq.

9. A COLLECTION OF BROADSIDES AND SHORT PIECES, PRINTED AND MANUSCRIPT, in prose and verse, relating to Authors, Plays, and Actors, during the Reigns of Elizabeth, James I., and Charles I.

10. A TRANSLATION OF ECHTERMEYER'S INTRODUCTION TO THE "QUELLEN DER SHAKESPEARE," containing an account of the sources of the Plots of Shakespeare's Plays.

11. A volume of the Names, Lives, and Characters of the Actors in the Plays of MARLOWE, GREENE, PEELE, SHAKESPEARE, LODGE, NASH, BEN JONSON, CHAPMAN, DEKKER, WEBSTER, HEYWOOD, MIDDLETON, MASSINGER, &c., alphabetically arranged.

12. PASQUIL'S JESTS, mixed with Mother Bunch's Merriments. Whereunto is added a dozen of Gulls. Pretty and pleasant to drive away the tediousness of a Winter's Evening. From the earliest edition of 1604, compared with several later impressions.

13. A ROYAL ARBOUR OF LOYAL POESIE, consisting of Poems and Songs, and including Dramatic Ballads founded upon Shakespeare's Much Ado About Nothing, Winter's Tale, Merchant of Venice, &c., as well as upon several other plays of the time.

14. DIE SCHÖNE SIDEA. An early German Drama, thought to be a

translation of an English Play, from which Shakespeare derived the plot of the *Tempest*. To be edited by WILLIAM J. THOMS, Esq., F.S.A., and to be followed by translations of three other German Plays, supposed to contain similar versions of Dramas on which Shakespeare founded *Much Ado about Nothing*, *The Two Gentlemen of Verona*, and *Titus Andronicus*.

15. AN ANSWER TO STEPHEN GOSSON'S SCHOOL OF ABUSE. By THOMAS LODGE. Printed about the year 1580.

16. The ALARUM AGAINST USURERS. By THOMAS LODGE, containing a farther reply to Stephen Gosson. From the edition of 1584, 4to., in the Bodleian Library.

17. A Volume of BALLADS UPON WHICH OLD PLAYS WERE FOUNDED, OR WHICH WERE FOUNDED UPON OLD PLAYS; including, of course, all those employed by Shakespeare, and many others in the Roxburghe Collection now deposited in the British Museum.

---

It is the annual duty of the Council to state publicly the great obligations of the Society to those gentlemen who have devoted much valuable time and labour to the editing of the various works; a service which is performed entirely gratuitously, and one which no merely pecuniary recompence could adequately remunerate.

It is also their grateful duty, as formerly, to express the obligations, not merely of the Society, but of all the admirers of our early dramatic poetry, to the noblemen and gentlemen who have afforded important facilities by the loan of, or means of consulting, works only to be found in the libraries of the wealthy, or in the possession of those who are curious in the literature of the time of Shakespeare.

The Society has also to express their sense of the kindness and liberality of the Master, Wardens, and Fellows of Dulwich College, in allowing their valuable and interesting Manuscript of Henslowe's Diary to remain so long in the hands of the Society. The Council are happy to state that

this work, so important to the history of the drama, has been nearly completed for publication, and will be the next work placed in the hands of the Members.

*By order of the Council,*

CONYNGHAM, *President.*

F. G. TOMLINS, *Secretary.*

## REPORT OF THE AUDITORS.

We, the Auditors appointed to audit the Receipts and Expenditure of the Shakespeare Society, certify that the Treasurer has exhibited to us his Accounts from the 18th of April, 1844, to the 18th of April, 1845; that we have examined the same, together with the vouchers in support thereof, and find the whole Account correct and satisfactory.

And we further report that the following is a correct Abstract of the Receipts and Expenditure of the Society for the above period.

RECEIPTS.			EXPENDITURE.				
	£	s.	£	s.	d.		
Balance in the hands of the Treasurer on the 18th of April, 1844, as per Report of Auditors . . . . .	229	3	6	To Mr. Shoberl, Jun., for Printing . . . . .	130	15	9
New and Arrear Subscriptions for former years . . . . .	278	0	0	Mr. Stevens, for Miscellaneous Printing . . . . .	28	10	0
Subscriptions for the Current year. . . . .	270	0	0	Bonsor and Co., for Paper . . . . .	87	3	0
Balance in the hands of the Secretary . . . . .	10	10	2	Westley and Clarke, for Binding . . . . .	79	12	0
				Transcripts . . . . .	34	9	6
				To the Secretary . . . . .	52	10	0
				To the Royal Society of Literature, for One Year's use of their Council Room to 15th December, 1844	12	0	0
				To Mr. Rodd, the Society's Agent, for the delivery of Books, &c. . . . .	25	8	0
				To the Secretary, for Advertisements, Postage, &c. . . . .	19	9	10
				Advertisement in the Quarterly Review . . . . .	5	0	0
				To Mr. Culliford, per centage on Arrear Subscriptions received by him .	6	17	0
				Treasurer's Expenses and other Expenses incurred by Local Agents—including a Gratuity to the Doorkeeper of the Royal Society of Literature. . . . .	4	15	6
					486	10	7
				Balance in hand . . . . .	301	3	1
					787	13	8
	787	13	8				

And we, the Auditors, have further to report that, over and above the present Balance of £301 3s. 1d., there still remain outstanding certain subscriptions, amounting for the first year to the sum of £5, for the second year to the sum of £15, for the third year to the sum of £41, for the fourth year to the sum of £113, and for the present year to £308: in all £482.

We have further to report that the Society remains indebted in the following sums, properly payable out of last year's Subscription: to Mr. Shoberl for Printing, £82 15s. 9d., and to Messrs. Bonsor and Co. for Paper supplied £50.

J. W. ALLEN.

HENRY ROBERTSON.

LEWIS POCOCK.

ANNUAL MEETING, 26th APRIL, 1845.

---

The Report of the Council and the Report of the Auditors having been read, the following Resolutions were passed:—

RESOLUTION I. That the Report of the Council for the past year be received and printed, and that the thanks of the Society be given to the Council for their services.

RESOLUTION II. That the Report of the Auditors be received and printed, and that the thanks of the Society be given to them for their services.

RESOLUTION III. That the thanks of the Society be given to Sir Edward Dering, Bart., for the loan of the unique manuscript of Shakespeare's Henry Fourth.

RESOLUTION IV. That the thanks of the Society be given to the President, The Most Noble the Marquis of Conyngham, for his lordship's services to the Society.

RESOLUTION V. That the thanks of the Society be given to the Editors of the various books issued for the past year, viz., to Mr. James Orchard Halliwell, for the editions of "Tarlton's Jests," "Illustrations of Fairy Mythology," and Manuscript of Shakespeare's "Henry the Fourth;" to Mr. Barron Field, for the edition of "The True Tragedie of Richard the Third," &c.; to Mr. Collier, for "The Ghost of Richard the Third;" to the Rev. Alexander Dyce, for the edition of "Sir Thomas More;" to Mr. Amyot, for the edition of "The Taming of a Shrew," &c.; and to the various Contributors to the Volume of the Society's Papers.

RESOLUTION VI. That the thanks of the Society be given to the Director, and Treasurer, and Secretary, for their services.

JOHN BRUCE, ESQ., F.S.A., BARRON FIELD, ESQ., JAMES HEYWOOD, ESQ., F.R.S., JOHN OXENFORD, ESQ., THOMAS WRIGHT, ESQ., F.S.A., retiring from the Council in compliance with Law IX., the vacancies were filled up by the unanimous election of

LIEUT.-GENERAL SIR ANDREW BARNARD, K.C.B.  
BERIAH BOTFIELD, ESQ., M.P.  
JOHN FORSTER, ESQ.  
WILLIAM C. MACREADY, ESQ.  
SAMUEL NAYLOR, ESQ.

and the remaining Members of the Council were re-elected.

The following Members were also elected Auditors for the year ending 26th of April, 1846.

THOMAS BREWER, ESQ.  
J. GOUGH NICHOLS, ESQ., F.S.A.  
THOMAS POWELL, ESQ.

FREDERICK SHOBERL, JUNIOR,  
PRINTER TO HIS ROYAL HIGHNESS PRINCE ALBERT,  
51, RUPERT STREET, HAYMARKET, LONDON.

THE DIARY  
OF  
PHILIP HENSLOWE,  
FROM 1591 TO 1609.

PRINTED FROM THE ORIGINAL MANUSCRIPT PRESERVED  
AT DULWICH COLLEGE.

EDITED BY

J. PAYNE COLLIER, ESQ., F.S.A.



LONDON:  
PRINTED FOR THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY

---

1845.

FREDERICK SHOBERL, JUNIOR,  
PRINTER TO HIS ROYAL HIGHNESS PRINCE ALBERT,  
51, RUPERT STREET, HAYMARKET, LONDON.

COUNCIL  
OF  
THE SHAKESPEARE SOCIETY.

---

*President.*

THE MOST NOBLE THE MARQUESS OF CONYNGHAM.

*Vice-Presidents.*

THE EARL OF POWIS.

THE EARL OF GLENGALL.

THE EARL HOWE.

THE RT. HON. LORD FRANCIS EGERTON.

THE RT. HON. LORD BRAYBROOKE.

THE RT. HON. LORD LEIGH.

THOMAS AMYOT, ESQ., F.R.S., TREAS. S.A.

WILLIAM AYRTON, ESQ., F.R.S., F.S.A.

SIR ANDREW BARNARD, LIEUT. GEN., K.C.B.

BERIAH BOTFIELD, ESQ., M.P.

J. PAYNE COLLIER, ESQ., F.S.A., DIRECTOR.

BOLTON CORNEY, ESQ.

PETER CUNNINGHAM, ESQ., TREASURER.

THE REV. ALEXANDER DYCE.

SIR HENRY ELLIS, K.H., F.R.S., F.S.A.

JOHN FORSTER, ESQ.

HENRY HALLAM, ESQ., F.R.S., V.P.S.A.

J. O. HALLIWELL, ESQ., F.R.S., F.S.A.

THE REV. WILLIAM HARNESS.

WILLIAM C. MACREADY, ESQ.

SAMUEL NAYLOR, ESQ.

T. J. PETTIGREW, ESQ., F.R.S. F.S.A.

J. R. PLANCHÉ, ESQ., F.S.A.

WILLIAM J. THOMS, ESQ., F.S.A.

F. GUEST TOMLINS, ESQ., SECRETARY.

EDWARD V. UTTERSON, ESQ., F.S.A.

SIR FREDERICK BEILBY WATSON, K.C.H., F.R.S.

The Council of the Shakespeare Society desire it to be understood that they are not answerable for any opinions or observations that may appear in the Society's publications; the Editors of the several works being alone responsible for the same.

## INTRODUCTION.

---

The manuscript from which the present volume has been printed contains minute and valuable information respecting the history and condition of our early drama and stage, from the year 1591 to the year 1609, during the whole of which period Shakespeare was exercising his unequalled powers for the public instruction and amusement. Although his name nowhere occurs in the text of the following pages, the company of players to which he belonged was acting, if not in concert, in the joint occupation of the same theatre for two whole years, viz., from the beginning of June, 1594, to the middle of July, 1596; and it will be seen that in the list of plays performed not a few names occur, either identical with, or very similar to, the titles borne by some of Shakespeare's undoubted productions. Whether they were older pieces on the same subjects, of which our great dramatist subsequently availed himself, or whether some of them may not have been earlier efforts

by himself, which he afterwards re-wrote and re-modelled, is a point it is not possible to decide, with our present means of information. To this question we shall have occasion again more particularly to advert in speaking of the manner in which the manuscript, directly or incidentally, illustrates the life and works of Shakespeare.

In the first instance, it seems desirable to say something of the origin and history of a volume, which with the greatest liberality was placed, and has been allowed to remain, in our hands for an almost indefinite period. We can hardly rate too highly the obligations of the Shakespeare Society, to the Master, Warden, and Fellows of Dulwich College, in this respect, more especially to the Rev. Mr. Howes, who has charge of the books of the Institution.

The manuscript itself was first discovered by Malone. God's Gift, or Dulwich College was founded by Edward Alleyn; and all the known particulars regarding its construction are detailed in the "Memoirs" of that great actor, and benevolent man, printed by the Shakespeare Society in the year 1841. Into these, therefore, it is not necessary to enter, farther than to state that Alleyn seems to have deposited in the library, or in the archives, all the books and documents of which he was possessed, many of which had devolved into his hands from Philip Henslowe, whose step-daughter he had married, and with whom he was for a long series of years in partnership. The manuscript is mainly in the handwriting of Henslowe, assisted here and there by some clerk or scribe whom he employed: it is a

folio volume of considerable bulk, bound in parchment, and it was the depository of memoranda regarding all payments to, or transactions with, dramatists, players, and others, for a period not far short of twenty years. The book itself, from about 1576 to 1586, had been used to record transactions connected with the felling, sale, and consumption of wood in Ashdown Forest, Sussex, for there is reason to believe that Henslowe and his family were of that county ; but, as the backs of many leaves were left blank, while upon others there was no writing at all, Henslowe employed most of the unoccupied spaces to register matters connected with undertakings in which he was subsequently personally interested. He appears to have been first concerned with dramatic affairs about the year 1584, when he became joint lessee either of the Rose Theatre on the Bankside, or of the ground on which it stood ; but no memorandum is contained in the manuscript before us of so early a date. Regarding Henslowe's transactions of this kind between 1584 and 1591, we have no knowledge.

He married a widow of the name of Woodward, who had a daughter Joan, which Joan, in October 1592, was united to Edward Alleyn : a memorandum of this event is contained in the manuscript (p. 3 of our impression), and it will be observed that two entries immediately preceding it, dated June 1592, relate to the purchase of pieces of plate, which perhaps Henslowe had bought in contemplation of the marriage of the young people, and in order to be presented to them. . . .

Henslowe's original trade seems to have been that of

a dyer (pp. 52, 267); and later in life we find him and his step-daughter's husband, whom he invariably called "son," engaged in a starch manufactory (pp. 233, 262). He also appears to have carried on the business of a pawnbroker, either in his own name or in that of his brother Francis Henslowe (p. 148), and not a few pages of the manuscript are filled with accounts of advances made to various persons, principally among the lower orders, upon pledges of nearly every description. From the earliest date to which this record extends, Philip Henslowe was in partnership with Edward Alleyn in their theatrical speculations, and they continued so until the death of the former in 1616.<sup>1</sup> These speculations seem at first to have been carried on at the Rose Theatre; afterwards Henslowe obtained some interest in the Hope; and in the commencement of the seventeenth century Alleyn and Henslowe removed to the Fortune Theatre, which they had built in Golding Lane, in the parish of Cripplegate. When the company to which they were then attached was playing at the same house, and perhaps in conjunction with the association of which Shakespeare was a member, the performances were at a theatre in Newington Butts,<sup>2</sup> which afterwards fell into disuse. At what precise period Alleyn and Henslowe became tenants of Paris Garden, where bears, bulls, and horses were baited, and which, not long after the accession of James I., was also adapted to the purposes of a playhouse, is not ascertained: they were deputies to Sir Ralph

<sup>1</sup> *Memoirs of Edward Alleyn*, p. 122.

<sup>2</sup> For an account of the Newington and other early theatres, see *Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage*, iii., 263.

Bowes, the Master of the Queen's Games, in the spring of 1596 (p. 177), and in April 1602 (p. 267) they stood in the same relation to Sir John Dorrington, who had succeeded to the office, to both of whom they paid a periodical fee: in the latter instance it was £10 per quarter, but in the former the amount is not stated.

Connected with this point, we may here fitly introduce a document not hitherto noticed. It is a privy seal in favour of Henslowe, as "Serjeant of the Bear-garden," to whose care the king committed the custody of "a lion and certain other beasts," which had been sent as a present from the Duke of Savoy in 1613: for keeping and feeding them Henslowe was to be allowed four shillings per day; but, as nothing is said in the instrument regarding Alleyn, we may perhaps conclude that, although Henslowe's partner in the concern, he was not recognized at court in any such capacity. When Stowe, in 1604, calls Alleyn "Master of the Bear Garden,"<sup>1</sup> we are to presume that he is speaking of him as one of the two lessees, and not as an officer appointed by the Crown. The instrument is in the following form, as we find it in a manuscript once belonging to Sir Julius Cæsar<sup>2</sup> (Master of the Requests, and subsequently of the Rolls), which was sold among the books of the late Mr. Bright, of Bristol:—

James, by the grace of God, Kinge of England, Scotland, Fraunce, and Ireland, Defendour of the Faith, &c. To our Commissioner for the

<sup>1</sup> *Annales*, p. 1427. Edit. 1605.

<sup>2</sup> In his Diary, Henslowe mentions having gone in 1597 to wait upon "Mr. Cæsar" at St. Katherine's, of which he was Master.

office of our high Treasurer of England, greeting. Where wee haue committed to the charge of Phillip Henslow, serieant of the beare garden, a lion and certaine other beasts sent vnto vs from the Duke of Savoy, and have agreed to allow vnto him, for the defraying of the charge thereof and of his paines, the somme of fower shillings by the daye. We will and Commaund you, out of our treasure in the receipt of our Exchequer, to paie or cause to be paid vnto the said Phillip Henslowe, or his Assigues, the said somme of fower shillings by the day. The same to begin from the day of the deliveringe of them into his charge and to continue during our pleasure. And these our letters shall be your sufficient Warrant and discharge in this behalfe. Given vnder our privy Seale at our Pallace of Westminster, the thirtieth day of August in the Eleaventh yeare of our raigne of England, ffrance, and Ireland, and of Scotland, the xlviijth

THO. PACKER.

Notwithstanding the omission of Alleyn's name in the above, there is no doubt that, as early as 1597, he had himself obtained a privy seal for some unexplained purpose, or unascertained office, under which a patent was subsequently made out: Henslowe's Diary (p. 255) contains entries of the payment of forty shillings for them on the 9th June.<sup>1</sup>

It is necessary to remark that this volume, the value of which is at present so well understood, and so justly appreciated, by the authorities of Dulwich College, is not now in the state in which it existed when in the hands of Malone. This fact is established by the circumstance, that Malone made long and curious

<sup>1</sup> On p. 107 will be seen an account dated 1597, which may possibly refer to the same circumstance. Henslowe there calls it "our commision," which was "changed," and regarding which he had to wait upon Sir Julius Cæsar.

quotations from parts of it not now found in the manuscript: these evidently formed a portion of it, when it was for so many years in his hands; and, in order that our work may be as complete as possible, we have added them in the form of an Appendix: as however they have disappeared from the original, of course we are without any means of correction or verification, and we have been obliged to take them as they stand in vol. iii. of Malone's *Shakspeare* by Boswell.

There is good reason to suppose that, when Henslowe first availed himself of the parchment-covered book for the purpose of entering his theatrical memoranda, leaves and parts of leaves had been cut out; but there can be no doubt that, within perhaps the last fifty years, it has been still farther mutilated, and that many pages have been torn, cut, and otherwise injured, by inconsiderate lovers of the autographs of our old poets and actors. In some instances, the signatures only have disappeared, while in others, the whole of an entry has been removed. This damage must have been done considerably before the time of the present or of the late Master of Dulwich College: ever since it was restored by Malone to its ancient depository, it has been preserved with the care and caution due to the extraordinary curiosity and interest of the relic.

Those who have the patience to travel through its details, with such assistance as our notes may afford, will be aware how importantly, and how authentically, it contributes to our knowledge of particulars connected with the history of our early dramatic lite-

rature and performances, and of the theatres then in existence. We shall advert presently to a few of the points thus established; and recollecting that the names of nearly all the other play-poets of the time occur, we cannot but wonder that that of Shakespeare is not met with in any part of the manuscript. The notices of Ben Jonson, Dekker, Chettle, Marston, Wilson, Drayton, Monday, Heywood, Middleton, Porter, Hathaway, Rankins, Webster, Day, Rowley, Haughton, &c., are frequent, because they were all writers for Henslowe's theatre; but we must wait, at all events, for the discovery of some other similar record, before we can produce corresponding memoranda regarding Shakespeare and his productions.

It is quite clear that accounts applicable to the Globe and Blackfriars once existed, for John Heminge distinctly speaks of them in his will, dated 9th October 1630, and states that the books he had regularly kept would show how profitable his shares in those two play-houses had been.<sup>1</sup> If these books were at all like that of Henslowe, they would prove, not only the dates when most of Shakespeare's plays were originally brought out, but the very sums he had received for them. More impossible things have happened than the bringing to light even of such sources; and the spirit of inquiry and research generated by the formation and labours of the Shakespeare Society may yet lead to the production even of information, with the existence of which at any former period we are at present unacquainted: we are

<sup>1</sup> Malone's *Shaksp.* by Boswell, iii., 195.

certain that the account-books of John Heminge, (one of the principal managers of the two theatres in which our great dramatist was interested) must have devolved into the hands of his personal representatives. When Malone found Henslowe's Diary, relating to the Rose, Fortune, and Paris Garden, it came upon him quite by surprise, and late in life; and, though he had it long in his custody, he was by no means accurate in the information he gleaned from it, while, as will be seen hereafter, he left behind him many particulars which we have carefully collected and deposited in the present volume. Our publication is the whole of the manuscript, exactly as it stands, as far as regards the dramatic affairs of the later years of Elizabeth, and the earlier part of the reign of James I.; and in our notes we have pointed out such facts and peculiarities as appeared to deserve or require remark.

Henslowe was an ignorant man, even for the time in which he lived, and for the station he occupied: he wrote a bad hand, adopted any orthography that suited his notions of the sound of words, especially of proper names (necessarily of most frequent occurrence), and he kept his book, as respects dates in particular, in the most disorderly, negligent, and confused, manner. Sometimes, indeed, he observes a sort of system in his entries; but often, when he wished to make a note, he seems to have opened his book at random, and to have written what he wanted in any space he found vacant. He generally used his own pen, but, as we have stated, in some places the hand of a scribe or clerk is visible; and here and there the dramatists and

actors themselves wrote the item in which they were concerned, for the sake perhaps of saving the old manager trouble : thus, in various parts of the manuscript, we meet with the handwriting, not merely the signatures, of the following authors—Drayton, Chapman, Dekker, Chettle, Porter, Wilson, Hathway, Day, S. Rowley, Haughton, Rankins, and Wadeson ; but, although frequently mentioned, we have no specimen of the handwriting of Nash, Ben Jonson, Middleton, Webster, Marston, or Heywood. Among the players, who sign their own names or introduce memoranda, we find nearly every man that belonged to the company. It should be remarked that Marlowe and Greene died not long after the commencement of the Diary, that Peele certainly did not survive beyond 1598, and that Lodge early diverted his attention from dramatic poetry. It may be doubted whether Fletcher is mentioned in the Diary (p. 78) : Beaumont certainly is not ; and Massinger, Daborne, Ford, Shirley, &c., became writers for our public theatres too late to be introduced into a manuscript the most modern date in which is 1609.

At various times and for uncertain periods, Henslowe was more or less interested in the receipts obtained by players acting under the names of the Queen, Lord Nottingham, Lord Strange, Lord Sussex, Lord Worcester, and the Lord Chamberlain. The latter was the company of which Shakespeare was a member, either as actor or author, from his first arrival in, until his final retirement from, London ; which company, subsequently to the accession of James I.,

was allowed to assume the distinguishing title of the King's Players.<sup>1</sup>

Malone was not at all aware, when he made extracts from Henslowe's Diary, that it not only shows the number of times different plays were represented, but generally *the very day when they were acted for the first time*. The old manager was in the habit of placing in a particular column, or in the margin of his book, and opposite the title of a new drama, the letters *ne*, the first two letters of the word *new*, which invariably indicate that at that particular date it was brought out. This is often an important and interesting piece of information, and it serves to show more distinctly the comparative popularity each novelty acquired. Towards the commencement of our volume, we could not contrive our printed page exactly to correspond with the page of the manuscript, and we have therefore been obliged in notes to state when *ne* was found affixed to the title of any play, or, in other words, when it was originally performed. As Henslowe proceeded, however, he adopted another course, and placed *ne* in the interval between two columns, and we have been able to follow his example. Thus, by running the eye down this interval, and seeing how often *ne* occurs, it is easy to ascertain how many new plays were produced at Henslowe's Theatre in any given period. On p. 35 begins a highly valuable

<sup>1</sup> It is a new point in stage history, established by Henslowe's Diary, (p. 251) that James I. had granted a license to the players of the Earl of Worcester some days before he conceded it to the association which subsequently acted under the royal name and patronage.

enumeration of all the dramas represented between 3rd June 1594 and 18th July 1596, during the whole of which two years and six weeks the Lord Admiral's Players were jointly occupying, or possibly playing in combination at, the Newington Theatre with the Lord Chamberlain's servants ; and here we find, by Henslowe's usual indication, that no fewer than forty new plays were got up and acted.<sup>1</sup> For about ten weeks of the two years, the companies ceased to perform, on account, perhaps, of the heat of the weather, and the occurrence of Lent ; so that two years is the utmost upon which a calculation can be made ; and the result of it is, that the audiences of that day required a new play upon an average about every eighteen days, including Sundays.<sup>2</sup> The rapidity with which dramas must then have been written is most remarkable, and is testified beyond dispute by later portions of Henslowe's manuscript, where, among other charges, he registers the sums paid, the dates of payment, and the authors who received the money. Nothing was more common than for dramatists to unite their abilities and resources ; and, when a piece on any account was to

<sup>1</sup> Against a single memorandum, relating to "Long Meg of Westminster," (p. 49) Henslowe has placed the figure *j*, instead of *ne* ; but the meaning is the same, viz., that it was the *first* representation of the drama. Why he varied, in this instance alone, does not appear ; and perhaps "*j*" was added by some other person, to remedy Henslowe's omission of *ne*.

<sup>2</sup> By another list (p. 82), commencing 25th Nov. 1596 and ending 28th July 1597, a period of about eight months, we find that new plays were considered necessary about every seventeen days. The particular parts of the year when novelties were most required is also thus ascertained.

be brought out with peculiar despatch, three, four, five, and perhaps even six poets engaged themselves upon different portions of it. Evidence of this dramatic combination will be found of such frequent occurrence, that it is vain here to point out particular pages where it is to be met with.

Before we advert to other points established by the manuscript, we may be permitted to observe that Malone made some important errors and various omissions in the information he derived from it: he has mistaken dates and misread the titles of several pieces, while he has sometimes assigned to one or more authors the works of others: he has also passed over without notice several plays, the performance of, or the payment for which Henslowe duly records. We only mention this circumstance in order to put our readers on their guard against placing entire confidence in Malone's quotations as printed by Boswell; but, having set these matters right in our notes, it is unnecessary, as well as ungracious, to dwell here upon the defects of a man whose sight failed him towards the end of his career, and who had the merit of being the first to find and to make use of a volume, the thread of which is much entangled, and the handwriting not unfrequently extremely difficult to be deciphered.

We have already spoken of the union of the company to which Shakespeare belonged, and for which he wrote, with that so intimately connected with Philip Henslowe. This union (if such it were, and not merely the joint occupation of the same house, while the Globe was in a course of construction, and

for some short time afterwards) lasted for rather more than two years, and, as has been remarked in the Memoirs of Edward Alleyn (p. 22), it is singular that most of the old plays which our great dramatist is supposed more or less to have employed, and of the stories of which he availed himself, are found in Henslowe's list of this period. Here we find a *Titus Andronicus*, a *Lear*, a *Hamlet*, a *Henry V.*, and a *Henry VI.*, a *Buckingham*, the old *Taming of a Shrew*, and several others, the titles of which we need not enumerate, because they are inserted in their proper places, precisely as they stand in the manuscript. For aught we know, Shakespeare may originally have had some share in their authorship; or, if he had not, as he probably acted in them, he may have felt himself authorized, as a member of the company, to use them to the extent that answered his purpose. At the same time, Greene could not have referred to this particular circumstance and period, inasmuch as he charged Shakespeare with being “an upstart crow, beautified with our feathers,” in 1592 (in September of which year he died) whereas the account to which we advert does not commence until the summer of 1594. Greene must, therefore, allude, in his “*Groatsworth of Wit*,” to some previous transactions of the same kind; but no fact is more clearly made out, and very much by the evidence Henslowe furnishes, than that it was a very common practice for our early dramatists to avail themselves of the materials, whether of plot, character, or language, supplied by their immediate predecessors, and even by their actual contemporaries.

It is remarkable that the first drama in this list, from 1594 to 1596, is upon a sacred subject, “Esther and Ahasuerus:” it was not a new play on 3rd June 1594, and on a previous page (32) we have had a notice of “Abraham and Lot.” These may have been modifications of old miracle-plays, traces of which were preserved upon our stage. Incidents from Scripture seem to have been still popular; and it is a circumstance not sufficiently noticed by the historians of our early drama, that even at the time when Shakespeare was in the zenith of his reputation, stories derived from the Bible kept possession of some of our public theatres. Whether such was the case at the Blackfriars and Globe we have no means of knowing, but it is indisputable as regards the Rose and the Fortune; and in the following pages distinct traces may be found of many such performances, beginning with a play in which “a bodice for Eve” (p. 272) was required, and ending with “a jerkin for Caiaphas.” A drama entitled “Nebuchadnezzar” was represented in 1596—“Judas” in 1601—“Pontius Pilate” in the same year—“Jephthah,” “Tobias,” “Samson,” and “Joshua” in 1602; and in the same year we meet with a charge for pulleys in order to hang Absalom. The number of these sacred representations in 1602 forms a curious feature applicable in particular to that date, and, as far as we know, to Henslowe’s and Alleyn’s theatres.

In connexion, we may observe, that, although at various periods theatrical performances were forbidden on Sunday, the companies appear to have been permitted to play on Christmas-day; and on 25th Dec. 1595

and 1596, the representations possessed anything but a religious character. Such was probably not the case in 1601, for on the 24th Dec. (p. 205) Samuel Rowley was paid £5 for his “book called Judas;” but “Pontius Pilate,” “Jephthah,” “Tobias,” “Samson,” and “Joshua,” were brought out in the ordinary course of business, whenever a new play was needed.

Having spoken of old plays acted in 1594, 1595, and 1596, the titles of which resemble some of those of Shakespeare, it may be worth while to observe that Henslowe’s Diary indubitably shows that the poets, who wrote for his company at different dates, took up subjects which our great dramatist has also treated: which had the precedence must often be matter of mere speculation; but it will strike everybody as singular that, in 1602, after Richard the Third had been long on the stage, and was so popular, Ben Jonson should have been paid by Henslowe no less a sum than £10, “in earnest of a book called Richard Crookback,” and for some “new additions” he was then making to Kyd’s Spanish Tragedy (p. 223). It may show that at that period the Earl of Nottingham’s players could not venture to represent Shakespeare’s Richard the Third, which was in possession of a rival company, or Henslowe would not have given a large sum “in earnest” of a new drama on the same portion of history.<sup>1</sup> The arrest of Thomas Dekker (p. 143)

<sup>1</sup> “The second part of Henry Richmond” reads (p. 159) as if it could not be otherwise than connected with the incidents of the reign of Richard III. A few pages afterwards (p. 163), we meet with a play called Owen Tudor.

by "the Lord Chamberlain's men" in 1598, may very possibly have been connected with some piratical invasion of the rights and property of the association to which Shakespeare belonged; and less than three months afterwards (p. 147) we find Dekker engaged with Chettle upon a play called "Troilus and Cressida." It has never been remarked that in July 1602 Chettle was writing "the Danish Tragedy," (p. 224) which may have been a revival of the old Hamlet under a new name, in order to compete with Shakespeare's Hamlet, then in a course of successful performance at the Globe.<sup>1</sup>

There can be no dispute that Shakespeare's Henry the Eighth, as it has come down to us, was not written until after the accession of James I.; but in June 1601 it appears (p. 189) that Chettle was employed on a drama called "Cardinal Wolsey's Life," and that it became so popular, that, at all events, one, if not two other plays were composed on the same subject. One of these was "the Rising of Cardinal Wolsey," by Chettle, Drayton, and Monday, and the other "the Second Part of Cardinal Wolsey," which may, however, have been meant for "Cardinal Wolsey's Life," which had thus become a second part. Hence it is clear, that other dramatists had availed themselves of that period of our annals before the death of Elizabeth.

<sup>1</sup> Dekker's "Medicine for a Curst Wife" (p. 224) may have been a new play upon the story of the old "Taming of a Shrew," the title of which Shakespeare did not scruple very nearly to adopt, perhaps because Dekker had avoided it. In a note, on p. 230, we have speculated that "Like quits Like" may have been similar to "Measure for Measure."

There are few plays mentioned in the course of our volume that illustrate more strongly than “Cardinal Wolsey’s Life” the expense which companies of old did not hesitate to incur, in order that the characters might be splendidly dressed and caparisoned. The charges for scenery were none, and for properties small, so that the actors could afford to spend more money upon velvets, silks, satins, lace, and other personal ornaments. We are to bear in mind that, at the date of which we are speaking, money was of at least five times its present value, and if we find £10 given for a cloak or a suit of apparel, it is to be considered quite equal to £50 of our currency. In the entries respecting Chettle’s “Cardinal Wolsey’s Life” we find £21 in a single item (p. 197) for “two-pile velvet,” at 20s. 5d. per yard, and for satins and taffaties at 12s. and 12s. 6d. per yard respectively: this alone was equal then to more than £100 now; and the other items, of the same kind and for the same drama, prove that certainly not less than £200 was laid out upon new apparel only. At least as much was spent upon “The Seven Wise Masters” (p. 165). In one instance £19 was given for a single cloak; while the gown of Mrs. Frankford, in Heywood’s “Woman Killed with Kindness” (p 248), cost £6 13s. 0d., between £30 and £40 of our present money. Taffaty for two women’s gowns, in Porter’s comedy, “The Two Angry Women of Abingdon,” cost more than £45, according to the same calculation.

The contrast between the expense of apparel and the cost of plays is remarkable. Heywood did not receive for the five admirable acts of his “Woman Killed with

Kindness" as much as was given by the company for the gown of the heroine. From Henslowe's Diary we derive very curious and conclusive information respecting the ordinary rewards of dramatists in his day: those rewards seem to have varied sometimes, according to circumstances with which we are not now acquainted. The highest price Henslowe appears, from this manuscript, ever to have given, was for "Page of Plymouth," by Ben Jonson and Dekker, a tragedy founded upon a murder committed by a wife in 1591. For this piece the old manager paid £11 in August 1599: for Dekker's "Medicine for a Curst Wife," he gave £10 0s. 0d. in September 1602: "Patient Grissill," in December 1599, cost him £9 10s. 0d.<sup>1</sup>: for "Strange News out of Poland," by W. Haughton, and an otherwise unknown dramatist of the name of Pett, he paid £9 in May 1600: for "Lady Jane Grey" he gave £8 to Dekker, Webster, Heywood, and Smith, in October 1602: "The Unfortunate General" produced £7 to the authors, Hathway, Smith, and Day, in January 1602: Heywood and Chettle obtained £6 10s. 0d. for their "London Florentine," in the same month, and £6 seems not to have been an unusual sum:

<sup>1</sup> Reprinted by the Shakespeare Society in 1841 from the anonymous edition of 1603. On p. 167 of our present volume will be found an item of the payment of £2 to a printer, not named, as a gift to prevent the appearance of Patient Grissill from the press, companies at that date holding it injurious to their interests that popular dramas should be made legible: they wished them to be only audible and visible. This single fact (and the circumstance is nowhere else more distinctly stated) will explain how it happens that comparatively few old plays have been preserved.

Henslowe gave that price for Drayton's "William Longsword," in January 1598; for "Earl Godwin," in March of the same year; for "Hot Anger soon Cold," in August of the same year; for "the Boast of Billingsgate," in March 1602; for "the Blind eats many a Fly," in January 1602; and for the "Woman Killed with Kindness," in March of the same year. The success of the first part of "the Black Dog of Newgate," for which Henslowe gave £6, seems to have led the authors of the second part, Hathway, Smith, Day, and "another poet," to require an increase of £2 on the cost of the first part, as well as £2 for "additions;" so that, in the whole, they received £10 for it in February 1602. Dramas on sacred history realised about the same amounts; and Henslowe gave £6 for "Samson," and £7 for "Joshua," in July and September 1602. The sum generally paid for putting an old play on the stage, on its revival, with such changes as seemed necessary, was £2, and this sum Edward Alleyn obtained for Tambercam (of which he was not the author, as some have supposed), and for several others (pp. 200, 204, 207, 225); but now and then the expense was considerably more, and Birde and Rowley had £4, in November 1602, for their "additions" to "Faustus."

When a play became unusually popular, and therefore profitable, gratuities were now and then, though rarely, allowed to the authors, by way of encouragement: thus Drayton, Wilson, Monday, and Hathway, received 10*s.* as a gift after the first, and doubtless gratifying, reception of "Sir John Oldcastle," the drama

imputed to Shakespeare on the title-page of some copies of the edition of 1600. The same sum was presented to John Day in 1601, when his second part of “the Beggar of Bethnal Green” was performed; and on p. 240 of our volume is recorded a similar stretch of bounty to Dekker: he was paid 10s. “over and above the price” of his “Medicine for a Curst Wife.” The gift never exceeded this amount. Henslowe appears also to have disbursed small sums to the members of the company to be spent in wine after successful first performances; but on p. 117, as the expense of an entertainment of the kind was 30s., he carefully put it down as a debt. When Drayton, Chettle, and Dekker’s “Famous Wars of Henry the First” was read at the Sun in New Fish Street, the old manager expended 5s.; and the like sum was laid out in “good cheer” when “Earl Godwin” was accepted.

Notwithstanding the multiplicity of plays written for the association with which Henslowe was connected, it is quite clear, from evidence supplied by the manuscript in our hands, and as well as that obtained from other sources, that the wonderfully prolific dramatists of that day wrote for other companies also: they do not seem in general, like Shakespeare, to have confined themselves necessarily to one theatre, and to one body of actors. It is very possible that our great dramatist was under some express engagement not to compose any play for a rival company; and it is certain, with regard to two of the popular authors in the pay of Henslowe, that such was the case. On 28th February 1698, Henry Porter undertook that Henslowe “should have all the books which he wrote,

either himself, or with any other ;" and on the 25th March 1602, Henry Chettle sealed a bond with the Earl of Nottingham's Players to write for them only. At these dates there existed a strong competition among different associations ; but it must have been still stronger about ten or twelve years afterwards, when Daborne was writing for Henslowe, when the price of new plays had risen considerably, and when he was threatening the old manager with carrying one of his productions to "the King's men," from whose service Shakespeare had very shortly before withdrawn, leaving the company in need of assistance.<sup>1</sup> Henslowe, as we have seen, had never paid more than £11 for any play up to the date to which his manuscript extends ; but in a letter dated 25 June, 1613, Daborne asserts that he had been offered £25 for a new tragedy.<sup>2</sup> What connexion this vast and rapid increase in the value of new plays may have had with the removal of Shakespeare from London we have no means of determining, but the fact deserves more notice than it has hitherto received.

Another circumstance, in relation to some of our great dramatist's productions, has been recently, and only recently, adverted to.<sup>3</sup> We allude to the secession of the celebrated comedian, William Kemp, from the company which had always acted Shakespeare's plays.

<sup>1</sup> See The Alleyn Papers (published by the Shakespeare Society), p. 67.

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.* p. 65. In a note to Henslowe of 3rd June, 1613, Daborne speaks of "the overplus of the second day" as then also due to an author.

<sup>3</sup> See Life of Shakespeare, edit. Whittaker and Co., i., cxxix.

Kemp was a very popular performer as early as 1589, and he unquestionably belonged to the same association as Alleyn prior to 1594: he then seems for a time to have joined the Lord Chamberlain's players; and we know that he was Peter in "Romeo and Juliet," and Dogberry in "Much ado about Nothing." He afterwards quitted that company, and rejoined Henslowe and Alleyn, probably about the time their new theatre, the Fortune, was opened; and in March, August, and September, 1602, Henslowe makes various memoranda of payments to or on account of him. He could not have performed, therefore, in any drama by Shakespeare produced at that period.

It will be found, by those who are inquisitive regarding such matters, that Henslowe's Diary illustrates the origin, state, and progress of our drama, stage, and its professors, in various ways, which we have not thought it necessary here to point out, because they are generally explained in our notes. There is only one more particular to which it may be expedient especially to advert, and it is connected with the office of the Master of the Revels, originally permanently created by Henry VIII. Edmund Tylney was Master of the Revels from 1578 to 1610, consequently, during the whole period to which Henslowe's manuscript applies; and it is curious to see how he gradually augmented his fees from time to time. In 1591 (p. 18), the fee on licensing each play was 5s.; but in 1597 (p. 118), he had raised it, as far as we know, arbitrarily, to 7s.: in that year, also, we hear for the first time (p. 79) of a monthly payment to the Master of the Revels of £2: in one in-

stance (p. 91), Tylney seems to have claimed that sum from Henslowe for permitting his company to act for about three weeks; and in 1599 he had raised his demand to £3 every month the theatre continued open. We may presume pretty safely that he obtained similar payments from other companies; and, supposing only four to be acting at the same time, which no doubt is much under the calculation, his monthly emoluments from this source alone, without reckoning his fees for licensing plays, would exceed, in our present money, £60 per month. As the Master of the Revels was also paid a daily allowance for his duties at Court, his post must have been much more lucrative than it has been hitherto imagined. After Henslowe opened the Fortune Theatre in the year 1601 (the precise date cannot be fixed), he seems to have been obliged to give Tylney a double monthly fee, for the Rose and for his new playhouse: the earliest entry of a payment for the Fortune (p. 213) seems to have been 9th June 1601, and we may infer, perhaps, that it was the first time it had become due.

If the notes are here and there found too numerous, prolix, or minute, the apology of the Editor must be derived from the nature of the manuscript, which is full of confusion and abundant in repetitions.

J. P. C.

Kensington, 21st June, 1845.

## ADDITIONAL NOTES AND CORRECTIONS.

---

Page 5, line 17. This is the only instance in which the name of *John Towne* occurs, and possibly it was written for *Thomas Towne*: *John Towne* might, however, be related to *Thomas Towne*.

Page 20, line 16. For "manteltie" read *manteltrie*.

Page 22, line 10. The conjecture hazarded in this note has since been confirmed: there was an edition of "The Anatomie of Pope Joane" in 1591. It was printed by Richard Field. 4to. B.L.

Page 25, line 11. Respecting the play of "Jerusalem" see also p. 37, note 1.

Page 32, line 1. In the note upon this play a mistake is committed, when it is stated that Greene's death took place in Sept. 1593: it ought of course to have been Sept. 1592. Greene had probably acted in "The Pinner of Wakefield" shortly before his decease, but certainly not on the occasion referred to.

Page 47, line 5. Towards the conclusion of the Diary, *viz.*, on p. 228, a play called "The Set at Tennis" is actually mentioned.

Page 54, line 29. For "no doubt" read *probably*.

Page 55, line 19. We have been informed, since this note was written, that Massinger's play of *Philenzo* and *Hippolyto* has been recovered in MS., having been found among the Conway Papers.

Page 61, line 7. It was more probably "The Welshman's Prize," enumerated by Henslowe (see p. 276) among "such books as belong to the stock." See also p. 120, note 3.

Page 70, line 29. For "Magelt" read *Magett*.

Page 79, line 16. Add to note 3.—This monthly payment of £2 was subsequently raised to £3: see p. 179.

Page 91, line 12. Among the stock-plays on p. 276, this comedy is called "Friar Pendleton," but *Spendleton*, as in the text, would seem to be the right name.

Page 103, line 27. It seems most likely that this play, "The Cobbler," was what Henslowe elsewhere (p. 276) calls "Cohler quen hive," meaning the Cobbler of Queen Hithe.

Page 119, line 19. Henslowe, not having being able perhaps to make anything out of "Triplicity," calls it in his enumeration of the stock-plays, p. 277, "The *Triangle* of Cuckolds."

Page 119, line 31. For "assigned" read "correctly assigned."

Page 127, line 23. "Tasso's picture" is also enumerated in the Inventory of Properties, &c., on p. 273.

Page 127, line 34. A poem, by Robert Greene, on the death of Sir Christopher Hatton, and printed in 1591, may be here noticed. It was omitted by the Rev. Mr. Dyce, in his edition of Greene's Works, as he did not know of its existence. It occupies twenty pages 4to, and is a favourable specimen of the author's abilities and fancy. The intention of the Council of the Shakespeare Society speedily to reprint it renders it unnecessary to insert its full title here.

Page 132, line 8. It seems likely that the play called Vayvode related to the adventures of the Vayvode Michael of Wallachia, in his struggle for independence against the Turks in 1597.

Page 137, line 34. The latest notice of the players of the Earl of Leicester seems to be in 1586. See Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i., 264.

Page 140, line 28. For this covenant between Henslowe and Heywood see p. 260.

Page 155, line 9. The following is the title of a unique tract, containing a full account of the murder of Page of Plymouth. "Sundrye strange and inhumaine Murthers lately committed. The first of a Father that hired a man to kill three of his children, neere to Ashford in Kent: The second of Master Page of Plymoth, murthered by the consent of his owne wife: with the strange discoverie of sundrie other murthers. Wherein is described the odiousnesse of murther, with the

vengeance which God inflicteth on murtherers. Printed at London by Thomas Scarlet, 1591." 4to. B.L. There were several contemporary ballads upon the same tragical event.

Page 166, line 22. Yet see a memorandum on p. 250, where it is said that Duke, the actor, in 1602 had been thrown into the Clink for debt.

Page 171, line 7. There is little doubt that "Indes" refers to "the Conquest of the West Indies," afterwards mentioned as a play. The notion that "Judas" was not a fit subject for a drama does not seem to have influenced Henslowe, as appears by subsequent entries.

Page 187, line 30. This prose tract is avowed to be merely a translation, and is dedicated by A. M. to the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Sheriffs of London in the year 1601.

Page 205, line 2. There must have been an older play on the story of Hercules than any mentioned in Henslowe's Diary. To this fact we may cite the testimony of Robert Greene in his "Groatsworth of Wit," 1592: a player there says, "The twelve labours of Hercules have I terribly thundered on the Stage, and played three scenes of the Devil in the Highway to Heaven." Here also the player declares that he was "famous for Delphrygus, and the King of the Fairies." Sign. E 2, edit. 1617.

Page 214, line 25. The same fact is mentioned by Gilbert Dugdale in his "Time Triumphant," 1604. 4to. B.L.

Page 225, line 29. See also, regarding "Lust's Dominion" and its real authors, a previous note on p. 165.

Page 230, line 18. It may be disputed whether the title of this play, as Henslowe writes and spells it, were "the bosste of bellengesgate," or "the *bosse* of bellengesgate:" it is more like the former, and so Malone read it; but Stow informs us, "On the north side is Bosse alley, so called of a boss of spring water continually running, which standeth by Billingsgate against this alley, and was sometime made by the executors of Richard Whittington." Page 72, edit. Thoms, 1842.

Page 234, line 27. This Lord Windsor was Henry, the fifth earl, who had been summoned to Parliament eleven years before

Page 238, line 22. The whole sum paid to Dekker ought to have been stated to be £10, and not £8.

Page 244, line 29. In 1598 Thomas Churchyard printed "A wished

Reformation of Wicked Rebellion," of which only one copy exists, as it was no doubt objected to and suppressed. It refers to the rebellion in Ireland, and to the Earl of Essex.

Page 251, line 27. Nevertheless, it may be the same play which, on 214, Chettle calls "the book of Shore."

Page 271, line 3. All these Inventories, &c., were taken in the spring of 1598-9, and we may presume that they were made out, in order to ascertain the stock of the company of Lord Nottingham's Players in apparel, properties, and plays, before their removal from the Rose on the Bankside to the new theatre, the Fortune, in Golding Lane, Cripplegate.

# THE DIARY

OF

## PHILIP HENSLOWE.

---

*Jonne allen Received for Rente as folowthe, 1593.*<sup>1</sup>

R. of the Duchewoman ther, for mydsomer qrtr.

1593.....	xv <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
R. of the carpenter for mydsomer qrtr 1593.....	xvij <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
R. of goody Rowden for mydsomer qrtr 1593 ...	x <sup>s</sup>	
R. of goodman hudson, the 14 of aguste 1593 ...	xv <sup>s</sup>	
R. of goodman glene, the 16 of aguste 1593 .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>	
R. of goody Rowden, the 18 of octobr 1593 ... ..	vij <sup>s</sup>	x <sup>d</sup>

*For tremyng of the Folles head, as foloweth*<sup>2</sup>

Item for selynge of the ij chambers, and mend-	xxx <sup>s</sup>
ynge of the walles, and whittinge and blackynge the same .....	

<sup>1</sup> Jonne allen means Joan Alleyn, Edward Alleyn's wife, who received rents during his absence, while playing in the country in 1593, on account of the plague in London. Farther down, on the same page of the Diary, is a statement of money she had paid.

<sup>2</sup> This heading immediately precedes that which follows, but a line is drawn between them. The Fool's Head was probably the sign of a house near the theatre; but "trimming a head" usually meant cutting the hair: here it must be taken for repairing the building.

*A note of what Mrs. Allen hath payd sence her husband  
went into the contrey, as foloweth. 1593.*

Itm pd for howsse Rente, and for naylles .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto hime for kepinge of your horsse <sup>1</sup> ...	ix <sup>s</sup> x <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd unto the Joyner for the beadstead <sup>2</sup> .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for the coshenes .....	v <sup>s</sup>

The 28 of Janewary, 1597, I payd Ja. Fa.<sup>3</sup>

Bowght, the 18 of June 1592, a gyllte gyllte <sup>4</sup> goblette, wayinge 17 oz $\frac{1}{2}$ at vs vjd q.—the some .....	ijij <sup>li</sup> xix <sup>s</sup> ij <sup>d</sup>
Bowght the same time j beacker of persell } gyllte, <sup>5</sup> wainge viij oz j q <sup>r</sup> at vjs 8 <sup>d</sup> —some is }	lvjs vjd

<sup>1</sup> From a passage in a letter quoted in the next note from Henslowe to Alleyn, it appears that by 28 September 1593 Alleyn was tired of the expense of keeping his horse in London, where it could be of no use to him, and accordingly had desired his wife's stepfather to try to sell it. Henslowe says: "We hade a very bade market at Smyth fylld, for no monn woulde ofer a bove fower pownd for your horsse, and therfor I have not sowld him, but to save carges, I have sent him downe into the contrey, that [he] be keapte tell you retorne." This quotation fixes the date of the present account to be prior to 28 September 1593; for it will be observed that at the head of it we have only the year in which the money was paid by Mrs. Alleyn, in the absence of her husband.

<sup>2</sup> In the Memoirs of Edward Alleyn, in a letter from Henslowe to Alleyn, dated 28 September 1593, we read as follows: "Your joyner hath seate up your portowle in the chamber, and hath brothe you a corte cobert, and sayes he will bring the reaste very shortly, and we beare with him because his howsse is visited;" i.e., by the plague: p. 32.

<sup>3</sup> This memorandum stands by itself, and is surrounded by a line to separate it from the rest of the page. It is perhaps impossible to ascertain for whom "Ja. Fa." was intended.

<sup>4</sup> The word "gyllte" is repeated in the MS. The letter *q* in this entry means a farthing, a *quarter* of a penny.

Edward Alen wasse maryed unto Jone Woodward, the 22 of daye of octobr 1592, In the iiiij and thirtie yeare of the Quenes Mat<sup>e</sup> Rayne, elyizabeth, by the grace of god of Ingland france and Iarland, defender of the fayth.<sup>1</sup>

Pearsyvalle Crafte hath geven his worde for the fostchen dier in grobstreat, w<sup>ch</sup> persyvall lifte in Watlynge streate at the syne of the crosse Keayes a crysmas ene last, 1592, for iiij<sup>ii</sup>.

A. E. D. H.<sup>2</sup>

R. of Thomas newman, the 10 of Jenewary 1593, In ]  
pte of paymente of a more some, as a pereath upon ]      xxxx<sup>s</sup>  
a bande. I saye Rd by me Phillip Hensley<sup>3</sup> ]

The wenscot belowe, in the halle of Edwardes Allenes howsse, is three score and fyve yardes and iij foote, at ij<sup>s</sup> a yarde, w<sup>ch</sup> comes to<sup>4</sup> .....

R. of Richarde Waltame, the 27<sup>th</sup> of desembr 1593, ]  
in pte of payment of a bande of Thomas new- ]      xxxx<sup>s</sup>  
manes,<sup>5</sup> the some of ..... ]

<sup>1</sup> This entry has been quoted in Collier's History of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, iii., 102. See also the Memoirs of Edward Alleyn, p. 15. The ink in the MS. is different from that of the rest of the page.

<sup>2</sup> Perhaps the initials of witnesses to the fact that Percival Crafte had given his word for the fustian dyer. It would be a waste of time to attempt to assign the initials to any persons elsewhere mentioned in the Diary.

<sup>3</sup> This is not Henslowe's writing, but that of some scribe he employed, whose hand is very commonly found in the MS.

The sum is not filled in.

<sup>5</sup> There was a bookseller of the name of Thomas Newman, for whom Nash (a writer for Henslowe) edited a spurious edition of Sidney's "Astrophel and Stella" in 1591. See Introd. to the Shakespeare Society's reprint of "Pierce Penniless's Supplication," 1592, p. xxi.

*A nott what I have layd out abowt my playhowsse,<sup>1</sup> for  
payntyng and doinge it about w<sup>th</sup> ealme bordes, and other Re-  
pracyones, as foloweth, 1595, in lent.<sup>2</sup>*

Itm̄ bowght iij hunderd and a quarter of elme bordes .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd the carpenters ther wages .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ geven the paynter in earneste .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ geven the paynter more .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ geven more unto the paynter .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd unto the paynter <sup>3</sup> .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd the carpenters ther wages .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd for iij henges .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd for a borde .....	ij <sup>s</sup> ij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for v pownde of spickes .....	xv <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the carpenters .....	v <sup>s</sup> iiij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the paynter .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd for ij bundell of lathes .....	ij <sup>s</sup> ij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for j lode of sande .....	xiiij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for hallfe a thowsen of lathe naylles	vij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the paynter .....	vj <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Henslowe was owner of the Rose Theatre, but the ground on which it stood he appears to have rented. See the Memoirs of Edward Alleyn, p. 189, for the particulars respecting the manner in which Henslowe became tenant of the ground on which the Rose, on the Bankside, Southwark, stood: it was built after March 1584, but it is not clear that there had not been a playhouse on the same spot at an earlier period. In 1584 it was called "the little Rose," and it sometimes preserved the name afterwards. This memorandum shows that, like the Globe (and the Fortune on its first construction), the Rose was a wooden building: it was covered with "elm boards" on the outside.

<sup>2</sup> During Lent, theatres of old were usually closed, and on this account Henslowe selected it as the time for repairing the Rose.

<sup>3</sup> This additional sum to the painter, who had already received fifty shillings, is interlined in the MS.

Itm pd the paynter .....	iiijs
Itm pd for j lode of lyme .....	xiiijd
Itm pd for wages .....	iiij <sup>s</sup> vjd
Itm pd the paynter .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd the paynter, in fulle .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for naylles .....	ij <sup>s</sup> iiijd
Itm pd the smyth for naylles, in fulle .....	xijs iiijd
Some is.....	108 <sup>ii</sup> 19 <sup>s</sup> 00 <sup>d</sup>

Itm pd\* for carpenters worke, and mackinge the }  
throne in the hevenes,<sup>1</sup> the 4 of June 1595 ... } vijli ij<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto frances Henslow, the 3 of maye 1593, to }  
laye downe for his share to the Quenes players, }  
when they broke and went into the contrey to }  
playe,<sup>2</sup> the some of fyften pownd, to be payd unto }  
me at his Retorne owt of the contrey. I saye }  
lent .....

Wittnes, JOHN TOWNE  
HEW DAVES  
RICHARD ALLEYN.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> For some play or plays, perhaps, in which a god or goddess was introduced sitting upon a "throne in the heavens." At an earlier date, and in miracle-plays, the throne might have been for the Deity himself.

<sup>2</sup> The Queen's Players in 1593, like all the other companies, broke up, and "went into the country to play," in consequence of the prevalence of the plague in London. Francis Henslowe was probably one of Philip Henslowe's nephews. Town and Davies, or Daves, who are put down as witnesses, were players, as well as Richard Alleyn, but whether the last was any and what relation to Edward Alleyn is not known. This is the earliest notice of any of them, and it has been quoted in Hist. of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i., 318.

<sup>3</sup> These three names are not the signatures of the parties, but of the person who made the entry.

2 of Jenewarye, Lent unto Mr Hareye Draper, the 1593 second of Jeneway 1593, in Redey money, the some of twenty pownd, to be payd a gayne w<sup>th</sup>in one moneth next folowinge .....

xx<sup>ii</sup>

Lent unto Mr Harey Draper, the 4 of aprell 1593, in Redey money the some of .....

xvj<sup>ii</sup>

Lent M<sup>rs</sup> Draper, the 29 of marche 1594, in Redye money, the some of .....

v<sup>ii</sup>

Lent Mr John Sheaperd, the 14 of Desembr 1594, In Redey money, to be payd w<sup>th</sup> in one monethe after the date herof, the some of fyve pownd. Wittnes.....

v<sup>ii</sup>EDWARD ALLEN<sup>1</sup>

	E. A.
	J. SYNGER
LAME	R. JONNES
CHARLES	T. TOWNE
ALEN	M. SLATER
	JUBE
	T. DOWTEN
	DONSTONE <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This and the three preceding items are crossed out in the book. They are not theatrical, as far as we now know; but they are witnessed by Edward Alleyn, although the signature is not in his handwriting.

<sup>2</sup> These seem to have been the names of the principal actors forming the company of the Earl of Nottingham's players about 1595: E. A., at

Lent Richard Hoope, my lord  
 chamberlenes man, the 14 of }  
 Jenewary 1595, in Redey money, }  
 to be pd at easter next cominge, }  
 the some of ..... } *iiij*ii**

wittnes his owne man,

WILLIAM FERNEY, and

EDWARD ALLEN

Lent unto the master of the Revelles }  
 man, W<sup>m</sup> Stonard, the 8 of aprell }  
 1595, the some of seven shil- }  
 linges, to be pd unto me at his }  
 next cominge to london ..... } *vij*s**

wittness HEW DAVES, and  
 JOHN TAYLLER owermaker,<sup>1</sup>  
 and HEW DAVES his wiffe

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Blackwage, my lord }  
 camberlenes man, fyve pownd, as }  
 a pereth by his Bylle ..... } *v*d**

the head of them, are the initials of Edward Alleyn: of "lame Charles Alen" we hear on no other authority, but he was perhaps related to Edward Alleyn. John Singer was an author as well as an actor, (if a piece called "Singer's Voluntary" were written, and not merely acted or sung by him as a Clown's Jig) and so was M. [Martin] Slater, or Slaughter, as his name is usually spelt in the MS. Several of his plays will be mentioned hereafter.

<sup>1</sup> "John Taylor, owermaker," probably means that he was an *oar-maker*. Possibly he was the father of John Taylor, who was subsequently known as "the water-poet," from his having originally followed the occupation of a waterman on the Thames. He is not to be confounded with Joseph Taylor, the actor, who belonged to a company formed by Henslowe at a period subsequent to the last date in this Diary, and who might be the son of "John Taylor, car-maker."

Lent unto M<sup>r</sup> Rafe Raye, my lorde  
 chamberlenes man, tenne pownd, }  
 as apereth by his bell<sup>1</sup> ..... } x<sup>li</sup>

Lent unto Frances Henslow, the j  
 of June 1596, in Redey mony, to  
 laye downe for his halffe share  
 with the company w<sup>ch</sup> he dothe  
 playe w<sup>th</sup> all, to be payd unto me  
 when he doth receve his mony  
 w<sup>ch</sup> he lent to my lord Burle,<sup>2</sup>  
 or when my asyenes dothe de-  
 mand yt. Wyttnes, I saye nyne  
 pownd ..... } ix<sup>li</sup>

W<sup>m</sup> SMYGHT, player  
 GORGE ATTEWELL, player  
 ROBARD NYCOWLLES, player.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The servants of the Master of the Revels, the Lord Chamberlain, &c., seem to have not unfrequently borrowed money of Henslowe, which, on various accounts, he might not think it prudent to refuse.

<sup>2</sup> The name is either *Burle*, or *Burte*, perhaps the latter; but it is indistinctly written in the MS. Why Lord Burghley should have borrowed money of Francis Henslowe it is difficult to imagine: possibly it was a false allegation on the part of Francis Henslowe, in order to induce his uncle (if he were so related) to advance the money to him. We shall see afterwards that Francis Henslowe was taken into the domestic service of the Lord Chamberlain, and he might at this time have been in the employ of Lord Burghley, and wages might be due to him. It is not known that Lord Burghley ever had a company of players acting under his name; and by a previous entry, dated three years earlier, viz., on the 3rd of May 1593, it appears that Francis Henslowe became a sharer with the Queen's Players, a distinct body from those of the Lord Chamberlain.

<sup>3</sup> Three actors under Philip Henslowe. "W<sup>m</sup> Smyght" no doubt means William Smith, in the irregular spelling of that date. George

Bowght the Jemes is head<sup>1</sup> the 24 of aguste 1595,  
 for..... xxxii<sup>ii</sup>

and bowghte more as foloweth

Itm wanscotte in the halle ..... xiiij yardes at 16<sup>d</sup> per yd  
 Itm paynted clothe in the halle<sup>2</sup> ... xvij yrdes at vj<sup>d</sup> per yd  
 Itm wanscott setlynge in the parler x yardes at xvij<sup>d</sup> yd  
 Itm turnde pellers in the parler ... iiij yrdes at xvij<sup>d</sup> yrd  
 Itm a lowd for dores<sup>3</sup> ..... iiiij yrdes at xvij<sup>d</sup> yrd  
 Itm paynted cloth in the parler ... v yrdes at vj<sup>d</sup> yrdes

*Layd owt a bowte John Allen adminestracyon<sup>4</sup> as folowethe.*

4 of maye Layd owt a bowt the admenestracyon xiijs<sup>s</sup> ij<sup>d</sup>  
 pd for her balle ..... xiiijd<sup>d</sup>  
 pd the sargentes and other charges ... iij<sup>s</sup>

Attewell was perhaps father to Hugh Attewell, or Atwell, who acted in Ben Jonson's *Epicene* in 1609 as one of the children of the Revels, who subsequently became one of the players of Prince Charles, and who died on the 25th Sept. 1621. See Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i. 423. Respecting Robert Nicholls we have no farther information, and he probably arrived at no distinction.

<sup>1</sup> No doubt the sign of the house—the St. James's Head. It is not likely that the head of King James would have been used as a sign before the death of Elizabeth.

<sup>2</sup> "Painted cloth in the hall" was doubtless tapestry, then often called painted cloth. It is not clear, however, that it was not sometimes canvas painted in oil-colours.

<sup>3</sup> i. e., *Allowed* for doors.

<sup>4</sup> Edward Alleyn's elder brother was named John, and this entry most likely relates to his death, and to the administration under his will: the second item, "pd for her balle," refers to the sureties or *bail* which every person taking out letters of administration is obliged to furnish. The date is 4th May, but no year is mentioned, and John Alleyn did not die until after 1594.—Mem. of Edw. Alleyn, p. 4. The whole of these items is crossed out in the MS.

pd for all carges in yeald halle <sup>1</sup> .....	vijjs	jd
pd the carman for bringinge the stiffe .....	ijjs	ijjd
pd the carman for bringinge the stiffe .....	ijjs	ijjd
pd going to Stanes by water .....	vjs	
and spente in charges for meat and drincke.....	xijjs	
Itm pd for the Ingrossynge of the In- ventory .....	xjs	vjd

Jesus. 1592.

*A note of suche carges as I have layd out a bochte my play  
housse,<sup>2</sup> in the yeare of our Lord 1592, as foloweth.*

Itm pd for a barge .....	ijjh	xjs
Itm pd for breakinge up and palyng .....	xxs	
Itm pd for wharfynge .....	vijjs	
Itm pd for tymber and bryngen by watter .....	vijjh	ixs
Itm pd for lyme .....	ixs	ijd
Itm pd for wages .....	xixs	
Itm pd for bryngen of dellbordes .....	ijjs	vjd
Itm pd for ij hunderd of lyme .....	xjs	
Itm pd for iij quarters of a hunderd of deall bordes .....	ijjh	
Itm pd for a maste .....	xijjs	
Itm pd for a some of lathe naylles and hafe <sup>3</sup> .....		
Itm pd for wages .....	iiijjh	xjs
Itm pd for iij hundred of iij penny naylles .....		xijd

<sup>1</sup> i. e., For all charges in Guild-hall.

<sup>2</sup> It is impossible to decide to which playhouse (for he was concerned in more than one) Henslowe here particularly refers, but it seems, from the items and the length of the account, to have undergone a most thorough repair: perhaps, it was even rebuilt. An account, previously inserted in the MS., for expenses of repairing another theatre, which we have supposed to be the Rose, is dated Lent 1595.

<sup>3</sup> No amount is placed opposite this item and some others lower down.

Itm pd for j lode of Rafters .....	
Itm pd for j lode of quarters .....	
Itm pd for j thowsen of lathe naylles .....	
Itm pd unto the thecher <sup>1</sup> .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for bryngen of stufe .....	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for j hundred of lyme .....	v <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for iij dayes for a workman .....	ijj <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for a naler for iiij dayes .....	ijj <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd lente the thecher .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for sande .....	iiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm j thowsen of lath nayelles .....	
Itm pd for xxvj fore powlles .....	x <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd unto my workmen for a weekes wages	vj <sup>ii</sup>
Itm pd for a hundred of syngell tennes.....	x <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for iiij lode of sande .....	ijj <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto the thechers man .....	ijj <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for ij hundreded of syxpeny naylles	xiij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for halfe a lode of lathes .....	
Itm pd for halfe a some of lathe naylles ...	
Itm pd for ij hundred and a halffe of dubell tennes .....	
Itm pd for v hundred of syxpeny naylles ...	
Itm bowght halfe a lode of lathes of J. Gryges .....	
Itm pd for iij hundred of syxpeny naylles to Brader .....	xvijjd
Itm pd for chake and bryckes .....	ijj <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for j hundred of dubell tennes .....	x <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for ij hundred of lyme .....	xj <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto the thecher.....	

<sup>1</sup> This and other payments to "the thatcher" show that this theatre, like the Globe before it was burnt in 1613, (owing to the thatch taking fire) was covered, as far as it was roofed-in, with straw or reeds. The only part of the Globe which was thatched was over the stage

Itm pd for sande.....		xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for wages .....	vjs	j <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for turned ballyesters ij <sup>d</sup> qr <sup>1</sup> a pece, ij dossen .....	iijs	vjd
Itm pd for wages .....	iijs	4d
Itm bowght xx fur powell at vjd a pece.....	x <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd unto the thecher .....	x <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd for naylles to brader .....	ij <sup>s</sup>	4d
Itm pd the vj of febreary for wages .....	iij <sup>u</sup>	iijs
Itm pd for v hundred of syxpeny naylles ...		4d
Itm pd steven coke ijs for his manes wages <sup>2</sup>		
Itm bowght of J gryges ij C and iij qr and x foote bordes .....	xix <sup>s</sup>	
Itm bowght of J. gryges ij bundell of lathes	ij <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd the thecher.....	v <sup>s</sup>	
Itm bowght of the Iermonger in Sothwarke ½ C lath naylls .....		
Itm bowght at the fryngpan vhund s. tennes <sup>3</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>	vjd
Itm bowght at the fryngpan ij hund. dubl. tennes .....	iijs	4d
Itm bowght at the fryngpan 7 M of vj <sup>a</sup> naylles <sup>4</sup> .....	iijs	vjd
Itm pd for a thowsen of vjd naylles .....		
Itm pd for ½ some of ij <sup>d</sup> naylles.....		
Itm bowght j lode of Rafters, and j lode of quarters .....		

'tiring-room, &c. The yard, as the pit was then called, was open to the weather in all public theatres.

<sup>1</sup> i. e., Two-pence *farthing* each for two dozen balusters.

<sup>2</sup> Opposite this item, in the margin, are the words "Reste ijs x<sup>d</sup>."

<sup>3</sup> i. e., He bought at the sign of the Fryingpan five hundred "single tennes:" he has previously mentioned "double tennes," and they occur again in the next and other entries.

<sup>4</sup> i. e., Seven *thousand* of sixpenny nails.

Itm pd for ij lode of lime and j lode of sande	iijs	
Itm pd for brycklaynge .....	ij <sup>s</sup>	ij <sup>d</sup>
Itm bowght at the fryingpan $\frac{1}{2}$ some of ij <sup>d</sup> naylles.....		
Itm pd the laborers wages <sup>1</sup> .....	vij <sup>s</sup>	ix <sup>d</sup>
Itm bowght at the fryinge pan v hundred vj <sup>d</sup> naylles .....		
Itm bowght at the fryingpan j qr M singell tennes ..		
Itm pd for j hundred of lyme .....	v <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm bowght iiij hundred of quarter bordes	xx <sup>s</sup>	
Itm bowght v hundred of ynche bordes.....	xx <sup>s</sup>	
Itm bowght halfe a lode of Rafters .....		
Itm pd the thecher.....	x <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd for naylles .....		vij <sup>d</sup>
Itm bowght of J gryges halfe a lode of lathes	xv <sup>s</sup>	
Itm bowght at the fryingpan j qr M dubell tennes .....		
Itm pd unto the carpenters for wages <sup>2</sup> .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for carege of tymber .....		vij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for iij fort bordes sayed .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for payntinge my stage <sup>3</sup> .....	x <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd for v hundred of vj <sup>d</sup> naylles at fryingpan .....		
Itm pd for a qr of a M of dubell tennes at fryingpad <sup>4</sup> .....		
Itm pd for a qr of a M of syngell tennes fryingpan .....		

<sup>1</sup> In the margin, "Smyth had iijs ij<sup>d</sup> Joane"—perhaps paid by Henslowe's wife's daughter Joan, afterwards Mrs. Edward Alleyn.

<sup>2</sup> In the margin, "R. of the Smyth 18<sup>li</sup>, and 18<sup>li</sup>, and 15<sup>li</sup>."

<sup>3</sup> "Painting my stage" probably means painting the decorations about the stage, not the boards of which the stage was composed.

<sup>4</sup> *Sic* in MS.

Itm̄ bowght of Brader ij hundred of 4 <sup>d</sup> naylles.....	vij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for ij dossen of turned ballysters ...	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ bowght at Braders iij C and ½ iiij <sup>d</sup> naylles.....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan v C vj <sup>d</sup> naylles	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan a q <sup>r</sup> M dubell tennes .....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan a q <sup>r</sup> M singell tennes .....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan a q <sup>r</sup> M singell tennes .....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan a M of vj <sup>d</sup> naylles .....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan a q <sup>r</sup> of some of lathe naylles .....	
Itm̄ bowght j lode of syngell quarters .....	
Itm̄ pd for brynginge of stufe by watter ...	
Itm̄ bowght of Brader v C of 4 <sup>d</sup> naylles.....	
Itm̄ bowght iij score and ix corsse deall at iiij <sup>d</sup> ob .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd for bryngin them by water .....	vij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the thecher .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan ½ a q <sup>r</sup> M of singell x .....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan ½ a q <sup>r</sup> M dubell x	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan a q <sup>r</sup> M vj <sup>d</sup> naylles.....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan ½ C of singell x	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan j q <sup>r</sup> of M sin- gell x .....	
Itm̄ bowght of Brader ij C of 4 <sup>d</sup> naylles ...	
Itm̄ bowght of J gryges iij C of q <sup>r</sup> bordes	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan j q <sup>r</sup> M singell tenes .....	xvij <sup>d</sup> ob

Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan j qr M dubell tennes .....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan j qr M vjd naylles .....	
Itm̄ bowght of Brader ij C 4d naylles .....	
Itm̄ bowght at the fryingpan j qr M singell tenes .....	xvijd
Itm̄ bowght at the fryinpan j qr vjd naylles	
Itm̄ pd unto the thecher a bondell of lathes	xijd
Itm̄ bowght of Brader 3 C of vjd naylles <sup>1</sup> ...	

Receaved by me, Jeames Borne,<sup>2</sup> the 2  
of March 1591, of Mr Phillipine Hinch-  
lie, for the use of Henerie Adames,  
the some of three pounde, And is in  
fulle of paymente of a recconneng. I  
saye Receaved in pay<sup>te</sup> .. ....

3<sup>u</sup> 8<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>

Pd in fulle paymente, the 7 of March  
1591, unto the Iormonger in Soth-  
warke, at the fryingpan, three pownd  
and xij<sup>s</sup>. I say Rd.....

iiij<sup>u</sup> xij<sup>s</sup>

Pd in fulle paymente, the 28 of Marche  
1591, unto Mr Lee, tymber man, for  
Rafters, and quarters, and lathes, and  
bordes, the some of .. ....

v<sup>u</sup> xliij<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Here the account ends, in consequence of the lower part of the leaf having been torn away. What follows begins on the *verso* of the defective leaf, and we may feel pretty certain that the memorandums relate to the same undertaking as the preceding items.

<sup>2</sup> We hear of two old actors of the names of Borne, or Bird, for they seem to have been known by both,—Theophilus and William. See Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i, 307, 381, &c. Perhaps

Pd unto my cossen Adren, for money ]  
 w<sup>ch</sup> I owght him, the 23 of Marche }  
 1591 ..... } viij<sup>li</sup>

Pd unto the paynters, the 23 of Marche  
 1591 ..... xxvj<sup>s</sup>

Pd unto my cossen Adren, the 13 of aprell  
 1591 ..... xxij<sup>li</sup> x<sup>s</sup>  
 103<sup>li</sup> 02<sup>s</sup> 03<sup>d</sup>

Pd for sellynge of the Rome over the tyer- howsse <sup>2</sup> .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd the wages to the plasterer.....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Pd for sellinge my lords Rome <sup>3</sup> .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Pd for makeinge the penthowsse shed ] at the tyeringe howsse doore, as fol- oweth, pd for owld tumber .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd for bordes and quarters .....	xvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Pd for bordes .....	xij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Pd for naylles, and henges, and bowltes	xix <sup>s</sup>
Pd the carpenters for wages <sup>4</sup> .....	ix <sup>s</sup>

James Borne was related to them, and they were in Henslowe's pay.  
 The entry is in the handwriting of James Borne.

<sup>1</sup> This addition of some previous amounts is inserted in the left-hand margin, and not under the sums to which it seems to belong.

<sup>2</sup> This is obviously another part of the same account of money expended by Henslowe on his playhouse in 1592: it is separated only by a blank space. The first item relates to ceiling the 'tiring or *attiring* house, attached to the theatre, where the performers dressed themselves.

<sup>3</sup> The best place in our old theatres was sometimes called "the Lord's room," or box: it was no doubt set apart for the patron of the company and his friends.

<sup>4</sup> Here the account ends, and the rest of the leaf (probably containing some valuable autograph or memorandum) has been torn away.

*A not what mony I haue layd out of my owne to Frances Henslow, as followeth. 1593.*

Lent the 14 of Jenewary 1593 .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
Lent the 16 of Jenewary 1593 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

*A not what I have layd a bout the howsse which was Hugh Daveses, as foloweth. 1593. Looke the next leafe.<sup>1</sup>*

Itm pd unto the nayllman for naylles ...	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto the tyller .....	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Itm iiij long peces of tymber .....	vj <sup>s</sup>
Itm ij thowssen of lath naylles .....	ij <sup>s</sup> viij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd the tyller and laberer .....	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for Rege tylles <sup>2</sup> .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for tylle pennes .....	ij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for a hundred of tylles .....	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for a hundred of quarter bordes	vij <sup>s</sup> ij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for vj syngell quarters .....	ij <sup>s</sup> ij <sup>d</sup>
Itm j longe pece of tymber.....	xvj <sup>d</sup>
Itm halfe a hundred of lyme .....	ijj <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for heare .....	.
Itm pd for lome .....	xiiij <sup>d</sup>
Itm j thowsen of lathnaylles .....	xvj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for iiij quarter bordes.....	iiij <sup>s</sup> xj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for a payer of henges .....	xiiij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for halfe a thowsend of lathnaylles	xvj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd the laborer his wages .....	x <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for bordes and quarters .....	v <sup>s</sup> viij <sup>d</sup>
16 of october 1595. Itm pd grymes for his wages and his man .....	vij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The meaning seems to be, that another account for the repair of a house of Hugh Daves in 1595 is contained on the following leaf. Henslowe spent money upon it in 1593 and 1595.

<sup>2</sup> i. e., *Ridge tiles*: the next entry is for *tile-pins*.

Itm̄ j longe pece of tember .....	xvj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for a locke .....	ij <sup>s</sup> j <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for j lode of lome .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd setyng up the porthole .....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the carpenters.....	xij <sup>s</sup> viij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the laborer .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd for henges, latches, and bowltes...	
Itm̄ pd for a thowsen of lathe naylles ...	xvj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the smyth for naylles.....	v <sup>s</sup> j <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd unto the carpenters .....	ij <sup>s</sup> viij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for a ealme bord .....	viij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for wages .....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>

Received as foloweth<sup>1</sup>

00 02 00  
00 03 00  
00 07 00  
00 04 04

Itm̄ pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Tillnes <sup>2</sup> man, the 26 of febreary, 1591.....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 4 of marche, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Tyllenes man, the 10 of marche, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Tyllnes man, the 17 of marche, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Tyllnes man, the 24 of marche, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Tyllenes man, the 28 of marche, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> These small sums are crowded into the margin just above what follows. Whence the money was received is not stated.

<sup>2</sup> Edmond Tylney was Master of the Revels at this date, and for many years afterwards: he was appointed in 1579, and died in 1610.

Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 7 of aprell, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 19 of aprell, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 27 of aprell, 1591 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 28 of aprell 1591 <sup>1</sup> .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllenes man, the 5 of maye 1592 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 10 of maye 1592 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 13 of maye, 1592 .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 20 of maye, 1592 .....	vjs 8d
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 9 of June, 1592 .....	vjs 8d
Itm pd unto Mr Tyllnes man, the 14 of June, 1592 .....	vjs 8d
Itm hallfe a lode of lathes .....	xv <sup>s</sup> vjd

*Layd out abowte the howsse which hevce Daves dwelt in laste, in  
the year 1595, as folowceth.<sup>2</sup>*

Itm hallfe a lode of lathes .....

<sup>1</sup> Probably the five last items ought to be 1592, as the new year then began on the 26th of March. Five shillings were paid to the Master of the Revels upon the licensing of every new play; and if these sums were given for licenses in 1591 and 1592, it shews the number of new plays Henslowe bought of their authors between 26 February 1591, and 14 June 1592. Why the sum was 12s. and 6s. 8d. in the later entries of this account it is not easy to explain.

<sup>2</sup> This is what Henslowe refers to, when, at the head of a former account in 1593, he says, "looke the next leafe."

Itm̄ elme bordes .....	vijjs	4d
Itm̄ j hunderd of syngell tennes.....		x <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ j Rownd polle .....		xx <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ j bare of Iorne .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ j lode of sand .....		xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ ij thowsen of lathe naylles .....		xvj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the carpenter wages .....	ij <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for fir powles .....		v <sup>s</sup>
Itm̄ j hunderd of vj <sup>d</sup> naylles .....		vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for ij gyrtes to beare the chymne	iiij <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for v bundell of lathes .....	v <sup>s</sup>	v <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ j lode of sande for grymes .....		xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ geven grymes in mony .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>	
Itm̄ pd for ij quarters.....		xiiij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ j hunderd of lyme .....	vj <sup>s</sup>	
Itm̄ j manteltie .....		xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd to grymes .....	vij <sup>s</sup>	
Itm̄ pd to grymes .....		xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd for a gutter of leade .....	xij <sup>s</sup>	ij <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the ij carpenters for wages .....	xv <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm̄ pd the laberer .....		vj <sup>d</sup>

*In the name of God, Amen, 1591, begininge the 19 of febready,  
my lord Stranges mene, as foloweth.*

1591.<sup>1</sup>

Rd at fryer bacone, <sup>2</sup> the 19 of febmary, satterdaye .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>	ij <sup>d</sup>
---	-------------------	-----------------

<sup>1</sup> This is the heading of a new page of the Diary, and it means, that "Lord Stranges men," or players, *began* to act on the 19 Feb. 1591, probably at the Rose. Edward Alleyn was at this time one of Lord Strange's men, and played all, or most of the heroes.

<sup>2</sup> This list of plays, acted by Henslowe's company, begins with what was, no doubt, Robert Greene's play of Friar Bacon and Friar Bongay, reprinted in 1594. See vol. viii. of the last edit. of Dodsley's Old Plays.

Rd at mulomorco, <sup>1</sup> the 20 of febreary ...	xxix <sup>s</sup>
Rd at orlando, <sup>2</sup> the 21 of febreary.....	xvj <sup>s</sup> vjd
Rd at spanes comodye donne oraceo, <sup>3</sup> the 23 of febreary .....	xij <sup>s</sup> vjd
Rd at syr John mandevell, <sup>4</sup> the 24 of febreary .....	xij <sup>s</sup> vjd
Rd at harey of cornwell the 25 of febreary 1591 .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of maltuse, the 26 of febrearye 1591.....	l <sup>s</sup>
Rd at clorys and orgasto, <sup>5</sup> the 28 of febreary 1591 .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mulamulluco, the 29 of febrearye 1591 .....	xxxiiijs

<sup>1</sup> Malone suggests that Mulomorco (elsewhere spelt Mulamulluco, Mulomuloco, Mulamulluco, &c.), was the Battle of Alcazar, printed in 1594, and attributed, probably correctly, to George Peele.

<sup>2</sup> By "Orlando," Henslowe doubtless meant R. Greene's "Historie of Orlando Furioso," first printed, not as Malone says, in 1599, but in 1594: the edit. of 1599 was the second. See Mem. of Edw. Alleyn for an original MS. of the part of Orlando, sustained by Alleyn.

<sup>3</sup> Probably the Spanish Tragedy in which Don Horatio is a principal character; but Henslowe seems to mention "the comedy of Jeronymo" and "Jeronymo" as different productions, and they were certainly sometimes performed on successive days, which is not the case with any other one play. There were two dramas, immediately connected in subject, one called the Spanish Tragedy, printed in, and before, 1599, and the other Jeronymo, printed in 1605. They were most likely intended by Henslowe under the several titles of "Don Orace," "the comedy of Jeronymo," and "Jeronymo."

<sup>4</sup> No play with this title, or upon Sir John Mandeville and his travels, has come down to us. The same remark will apply to the next entry.

<sup>5</sup> No such piece is now known: the title does not occur again, and perhaps it was not successful, though Henslowe does not mark it as a new play.

Rd at poope Jone, <sup>1</sup> the 1 of marche 1591	xxv <sup>s</sup>
Rd at matchavell <sup>2</sup> the 2 of marche 1591	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at henery the vj, <sup>3</sup> the 3 of marche 1591 .....	ijij <sup>h</sup> xvjs vd
Rd at bendo and Richardo, <sup>4</sup> the 4 of marche 1591 .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at iiij playes in one, <sup>5</sup> the 6 of marche 1591 .....	xxxjs vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at hary vj, the 7 of marche 1591 ...	ijjh

<sup>1</sup> A drama on the popular story of Pope Joan, we may conclude. In 1624 was published "The Anatomie of Pope Joane," but it was perhaps a reprint of an earlier tract, which had been used as the foundation of a dramatic performance.

<sup>2</sup> At a subsequent date, viz. in 1613, Robert Daiborne was in treaty with Henslowe to write for him a play called Machiavel and the Devil. It might be a revival of the old play with additions and alterations, but the sum agreed upon, £20, was then a large price, even for an entirely new drama. See the Alleyn Papers, p. 56.

<sup>3</sup> This play, whether by Shakespeare or not, was extremely popular and profitable. It produced Henslowe £1 11s. 0d. for his share on its fourteenth representation. On its performance in 1591, we here see that it brought him £3 16s. 5d. Malone was of opinion that it was the First Part of Henry the Sixth, included among Shakespeare's works; and it is certain that this entry of 3 March 1591 relates to its original production, as Henslowe has put his mark *ne* in the margin.

<sup>4</sup> No such play is now known.

<sup>5</sup> Four short plays represented on the same night as one play. This was done afterwards, and the Yorkshire Tragedy, attributed to Shakespeare, is a specimen of one of these short plays. We shall find that sometimes "five plays in one" were represented, the object being to afford variety; and they might or might not be connected in subject. In Beaumont and Fletcher's works, we have a piece called "Four Plays in One;" and in Mr. Cunningham's Revels' Accounts, p. 189, is a notice of "five plays in one," and of "three plays in one" at Court, ~~and on date of~~ 1584-5.

Rd at the lookingglasse, <sup>1</sup> the 8 of marche	
1591 .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Senobia, the 9 of marche 1591 ...	xxij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of malta, <sup>2</sup> the 10 of marche 1591 .....	lvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at hary the vj, the 11 of marche 1591	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at the comedey of done oracio, the 13 of marche 1591 .....	xxviiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 14 of marche 1591	ij <sup>ll</sup> vj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey, <sup>3</sup> the 16 of marche 1591 ...	xxxij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at mulo mullocco, the 17 of marche 1591 .....	xxviiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of malta, the 18 of marche 1591 .....	xxxix <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 20 of marche 1591	xxxviiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at constantine, <sup>4</sup> the 21 of marche 1591	xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jerusallem, the 22 of marche 1591	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey of cornwell, the 23 of marche 1591 .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at fryer bacon, the 25 of marche 1591	xv <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Ester. Rd at the lookingglasse, the 27 of marche 1591 <sup>5</sup> .....	lv <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj, the 28 of marche 1591	ij <sup>ll</sup> viij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone supposes, with apparent truth, that this was Greene's and Lodge's Looking Glass for London and England, but he was wrong in stating that it was first printed in 1598. There was an edition in 1594.

<sup>2</sup> Previously called by Henslowe the Jew of Malltuse. This was Marlowe's tragedy, not printed until 1633. It is included in Vol. viii. of Dodsley's Old Plays, last edit.

<sup>3</sup> Meaning, no doubt, Harey, or Henry VI.

<sup>4</sup> No such play has reached us. The same may be stated of various other plays to which, for brevity's sake, no notes are attached.

<sup>5</sup> i. e., 1592, even according to the reckoning of that time; but Henslowe goes on to insert 1591, until he comes to the 24th April, when he first writes 1592.

Rd at mulo mulucco, the 29 of marche 1591 .....	ijij <sup>h</sup>	ijjs
Rd at done oracio, the 30 of marche 1591		xxxix <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 31 of marche 1591	ijij <sup>h</sup>	
Rd at mandevell, the 1 of aprell 1591 ...		xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at matchevell, the 3 of aprell 1591 ...		xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of malta, the 4 of aprell 1591 .....		xxxxijjs
Rd at harey the vj, the 5 of aprell 1591		xxxxjs
Rd at brandymer, the 6 of aprell 1591 ...		xxijjs
Rd at Jeronymo, the 7 of aprell 1591 ...		xxvjjs
Rd at mulo muloco, the 8 of aprell 1591		xxijjs
Rd at the comodey of Jeronymo, the 10 of aprell 1591 .....		xxvijjs
Rd at tittus and Vespa <sup>cia</sup> , <sup>1</sup> the 11 of aprell 1591 .....	ijij <sup>h</sup>	iiijjs
Rd at byndo and Richardo, <sup>2</sup> the 12 of aprell 1591 .....		xxijjs
Rd at henry the vj, the 13 of aprell 1591		xxvjjs
Rd at Jeronymo, the 14 of aprell 1591 ...		xxxijjs
Rd at mandevell, the 15 of aprell 1591 ...		xxvjjs
Rd at mullo mulluco, the 17 of aprell 1591 .....		xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone prints the name "Titus and Vespasian," when Henslowe gives it "Tittus and Vespa<sup>cia</sup>," excepting in two or three instances, where he has it Titus, but always Vespa<sup>cia</sup>. Malone conjectures, but without apparent reason, that the correct title of the play was "Titus Vespasian:" it perhaps included parts of the reigns of both; but if so, Vespasian ought to precede Titus. Henslowe marks it as a new play by the letters *ne* in the margin, or we might have supposed it the same as is elsewhere called *Jerusalem*, regarding which a note will be found on the next page.

<sup>2</sup> This play has been previously called *Bendo* and *Richardo*: see 4th March 1591. We may perhaps conclude that it was founded upon some Italian romantic story, not now known.

Rd at the Jewe of mallta, the 18 of aprell	
1591 .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup> v <sup>j</sup> d
Rd at the lockingglasse, the 19 of aprell	
1591 .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at tittus and vespacia, the 20 of aprell	
1591 .....	lv <sup>j</sup> s
Rd at harey the vj, the 21 of aprell 1591	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the comedey Jeronymo, the 22 of aprell 1591 .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, <sup>1</sup> the 24 of aprell 1592	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jerusalem, <sup>2</sup> the 25 of aprell 1592	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at fryer bacon, the 26 of aprell 1592	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mulo muloco, the 27 of aprell 1592	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the second pte of Tamber came, <sup>3</sup> the 28 of aprell .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> iiiij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This and the preceding entry seem to show that Henslowe calls either the Spanish Tragedy, or Jeronimo, the comedy of Jeronimo: they are both serious plays, and how either of them could be termed a comedy is not obvious; but the old manager perhaps only wished to distinguish the one from the other. See also note 3, p. 21.

<sup>2</sup> At this repetition of a previous entry, dated 22 March 1591, we may notice Malone's speculation that it was the destruction of Jerusalem, by Dr. Legge; but that, like his *Ricardus Tertius*, (see Mr. B. Field's edition for the Shakespeare Society) was, in all probability, a Latin play. The Jerusalem mentioned by Henslowe may possibly have been an English drama founded upon Dr. Legge's Latin one.

<sup>3</sup> Malone misprints this name "Tamberzanne," when it is usually written by Henslowe "Tamber cam," or "Tamer came." In Shakespeare, by Boswell, iii., 256, is "the plott of the first parte of Tamar Cam." Malone conjectured that it was Marlowe's Tamburlaine the Great; but, about ten years after 1591, Alleyn was paid for producing a piece called Tambercam, and it may have been an alteration of the play in the text which could not have been Marlowe's Tamburlaine, because both parts of that drama were printed in 1590; whereas Henslowe notes that, "the second part of Tamber came" was a new play

Rd at harey of cornwell, the 29 of aprell	
1592 .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mulo muloco, the 30 of aprell 1592	lvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 2 of maye 1592 ...	xxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at titus and Vespacia, the 3 of maye	
1592 .....	lvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj, the 4 of maye 1592	lvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of mallta, 5 of maye 1592	xxxxj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at fryer bacon, the 6 of maye 1592...	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at brandimer, the 8 of maye 1592 ...	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj, the 7 of maye 1592	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at tittus and vespacia, the 8 of maye	
1592 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 9 of maye 1592 ...	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the 2 pte of tambercam, the 10 of	
maye 1592 .....	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of mallta, the 11 of maye	
1592 .....	xxxiv <sup>s</sup>
Whittson-tyde. Rd at Jeronymo, the	
13 of maye 1592..... ...	ij <sup>ll</sup> 4 <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vth, <sup>1</sup> the 14 of maye	
1592 .....	1 <sup>s</sup>

on 28 April 1592. The probability seems to be that it was a play written for Henslowe on the same story, but it deserves remark that, when Marlowe's *Tamburlaine* was printed in 1590, the title-page states that it had been "sundrie times shewed upon stages in the Cittie of London, by the right honourable the Lord Admyrall his servants," with whom Henslowe was certainly connected.

<sup>1</sup> Malone takes no notice of this play, which at least was the same in subject as Shakespeare's work. Possibly he read it "Harey the VI.," but it is clearly "Harey the vth." This is the piece to which Nash alluded in his *Pierce Penniless*, published in 1592; and "The famous Victories of Henry V." was entered at Stationers' Hall to be printed in 1594. Malone was not aware that any such historical drama was mentioned by Henslowe.

Rd at tittus and Vespacia, the 15 of maye	
1592 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Rd at mandevell, the 16 of maye 1592...	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mullomuloco, the 17 of maye 1592	xxxvj <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at harey of cornwell, the 18 of maye	
1592 .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj the 19 of maye 1592	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of mallta, the 20 of maye	
1592 .....	liij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the comodey of Jeronymo, the 21	
of maye 1592 .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, <sup>1</sup> the 22 of maye 1592...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the taner of Denmarke, <sup>2</sup> the 23	
maye 1592 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> xij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at titus and vespacia, the 24 of	
maye 1592 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj, the 25 of maye 1592	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at tambercame, the 26 of maye 1592	xxxvj <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 27 of maye 1592...	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at matchevell, the 29 of maye 1592	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of malta, the 30 of maye	
1592 .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mulemuloco, the 31 of maye 1592	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Bendo and Richardo, <sup>3</sup> the 5 of June	
1592.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Here again we see the two plays, the Spanish Tragedy and Jeronimo, distinguished as before, and played on successive days as a continuation of the same subject.

<sup>2</sup> The Tanner of Denmark (although it drew such an audience as gave Henslowe £3 13s. 6d. for his share) would appear to have been a failure, as we do not find that it was repeated. Henslowe marks it as a new production by the letters *ne* before it.

<sup>3</sup> The company does not appear to have performed between the 31st of May and the 5th of June, or plays would have been recorded in the interval.

Rd at tittus and Vespacia, the 6 of June 1592 .....	xxxxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the lookingglassee, the 7 of June 1592...	xxix <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the tambercame, the 8 of June 1592...	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 9 of June 1592 .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at a Knacke to Knowe a Knave <sup>1</sup> 1592, 1 day .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup> xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj, the 12 of June 1592.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mulemuloco, the 13 of June 1592.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of malta, the 14 of June 1592	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Knacke to Knowe a Knave, the 15 of June 1592 .....	lij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mandevell, the 16 of June 1592.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 18 of June 1592.....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj, the 19 of June 1592.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the comodey of Jeronymo, the 20 of June 1592 .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
Rd at tambercame, the 21 of June 1592 .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Knacke to Knowe a Knave, the 22 of June .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This is a remarkable entry, as Henslowe states that it was the first performance of this celebrated play, which was printed in 1594, and which the Shakespeare Society proposes to reprint. "1 day" is interlined, perhaps, in order to give the information of its original production, but it escaped Malone's notice: *ne* is also in the margin, but Malone did not ascertain the meaning of that note. The previous falling off of the receipts seemed to render some novelty necessary, and on its production "A Knack to know a Knave" put £3 12s. 0d. into Henslowe's pocket. William Kemp was at this date a member of the company of the Lord Strange's Players, under Henslowe and Alleyn, as he played a principal comic part in the Knack to know a Knave, and introduced into it what are called on the title-page his "applauded merriments." Kemp afterwards became one of the servants of the Lord Chamberlain, then rejoined Henslowe and Alleyn, and finally died a member of the company called the King's Players.

*In the Name of God Amen, 1592, begininge  
the 29 of Desember.<sup>1</sup>*

Rd at mulomulluco, the 29 of decembr 1592	ijij <sup>s</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 30 of desembr 1592 ...	ijij <sup>s</sup>	vijjs
Rd at the cnacke, <sup>2</sup> the 3 of desembr 1592 ...		xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe, <sup>3</sup> the 1 of Janewary 1592 ...		lvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the cnacke, the 3 of Janewary 1592 ...		xxix <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mandevell, the 4 of Janewarye 1592...		xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the gelyous comodey, <sup>4</sup> the 5 of Jane- wary 1592 .....		xxxxiv <sup>s</sup>
Rd at titus, the 6 of Janewary 1592 <sup>5</sup> .....		lij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 8 of Janewary 1593 ...		xxij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone observes (Sh. by Bosw. iii., 299), that there was an interruption of theatrical performances in 1593, owing to the prevalence of the plague: in fact, the interruption commenced in the summer of 1592, and in December the companies were allowed to begin again; at least such was the case with the association in which Henslowe was interested. It may be added that Nash's "Summers Last Will and Testament" was performed at this date out of London, in consequence of the plague. See the reprint of it in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol. ix., last edition.

<sup>2</sup> By "cnacke" Henslowe no doubt means the play of the Knack to know a Knave, mentioned in the previous account.

<sup>3</sup> i. e., Marlowe's Jew of Malta.

<sup>4</sup> Malone prints this "the gelyons comedye," whereas the true reading seems to be "the gelyous comodey;" probably a play on some tale of jealousy. Malone's conjecture, that it was founded upon Julian of Brentford's Testament, is very far-fetched: that piece of scurrility and indecency could by no possibility be formed into a play; but by "gelyous" the ignorant old manager may have meant *Julius*. It was a new play, as appears by Henslowe's mark *ne*.

<sup>5</sup> After this entry 1593 is substituted for 1592; but 1593, according to the usual reckoning of that time, did not begin until 26 March. Henslowe is very irregular in his dates.

Rd at mulo mulocko, the 9 of Janewary 1592	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at frier bacon, the 10 of Janewary 1593	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the comodey of cosmo, <sup>1</sup> the 12 of Jene- wary 1593 .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mandevell, the 13 of Janewary 1593...	ix <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the cnacke, the 14 of Jenewary 1593	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at tittus, <sup>2</sup> the 15 of Jenewary 1593 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the 6, the 16 of Jenewary 1593	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at frer bacon, the 17 of Jenewary 1593...	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe, the 18 of Jenewaye 1593 ...	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Rd at tambercam, the 19 of Jenewaye 1593	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mulomulco, the 20 of Jeneway 1593...	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 22 of Jeneway 1593 ...	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at cossmo, the 23 of Jenewary 1593 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Knacke, the 25 of Jenewye 1593...	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at titus, the 29 of Jenewary 1593 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the tragedey of the guyes <sup>3</sup> 30.....	ijij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at mandevell [not legible] <sup>4</sup>	ijij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at frier bacon, the 30 of Jenewary 1593...	xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at harey the vj, the 31 of Jenewarye 1593	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of malta, the 1 of Febrarey 1593 .....	xxxv <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Not marked as a new play, but we have not heard of it before. It perhaps related to the family of the Medici, and dramas upon incidents of Italian history were at this date not uncommon. Not a few of our old poets had visited Italy, and an enumeration of some of them may be seen in Collier's Life of Shakespeare, vol. i, cxxviii.

<sup>2</sup> The play which Henslowe has before called "tittus and vespacia."

<sup>3</sup> In all probability Marlowe's Massacre at Paris, in which the family of Guise play so prominent a part. This entry is valuable, supposing it to apply to Marlowe's tragedy, because it ascertains the day it was first acted, Henslowe having placed *ne* in the margin. It was perhaps Marlowe's last play, as he was killed about six months afterwards.

<sup>4</sup> This memorandum is at the very bottom of the page, and the sum produced by the performance has been worn away.

*In the name of God Amen, beginenge the 27 of desember 1593,  
the earle of Susex his men.<sup>1</sup>*

Rd at good sped the plowghe .....	iijs	js
Rd at hewen of burdoche, <sup>2</sup> the 28 of desembr 1593 .....	iij <i>li</i>	x <i>s</i>
Rd at gorge a gren, the 29 of desembr 1593	iij <i>li</i>	x <i>s</i>
Rd at buckingam, the 30 of desembr 1593 ...		ljx <i>s</i>
Rd at Richard the confeser, <sup>3</sup> the 31 of desembr 1593 .....		xxxvii <i>s</i>
Rd at buckingam, the j of Jenewary 1593 ...		lvij <i>s</i>
Rd at gorge a grene, the 2 of Jenewary 1593		xvij <i>s</i>
Rd at hewen of burdokes, the 3 of Jenewary 1593 .....		xij <i>s</i>
Rd at william the conkrer, the 4 of Jenewary 1593 .....		xxij <i>s</i>
Rd at God spead the plowe, the 5 of Jenewary 1593 .....		x <i>s</i>
Rd at frier frances, <sup>4</sup> the 7 of Jenewary 1593	ij <i>li</i>	js

<sup>1</sup> This account shows that Henslowe was interested in the receipts of the Earl of Sussex's players, at the same time as in those of that association to which the preceding account applies, where no company is mentioned: they were most likely "Lord Strange's men." Excepting the Jew of Malta, always profitable, we meet among the plays with no title that has previously occurred.

<sup>2</sup> i. e., Some play on the romance of *Huon de Bordeaux*. Henslowe afterwards spells the title differently.

<sup>3</sup> Probably an error, although afterwards repeated, unless it were a play upon a story not historical. It might be in some way connected with the preceding entry of a play called *Buckingham*, which perhaps was founded upon the rise and fall of that favourite and dupe of Richard III.

<sup>4</sup> See Heywood's *Apology for Actors*, 1612 (Shakespeare Society's reprint, p. 57), for some account of the plot of this play, and of a "strange accident" at one of the performances of it by the players.

Rd at the Piner of wiackefelld, <sup>1</sup> the 8 of Jene-	
wary 1593 .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at abrame and lotte, <sup>2</sup> the 9 of Jenewary	
1593 .....	lij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at buckingam, the 10 of Jenewary 1593	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at hewen, <sup>3</sup> the 11 of Jenewary 1593.....	v <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the fayer mayd of y tale, <sup>4</sup> the 12 of Jene-	
wary 1593 .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd at frier frances, the 14 of Jenewary 1593	xxxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at gorge a grene, the 15 of Jenewary 1593	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Richard the confeser, the 16 of Jene-	
wary 1593 .....	xj <sup>s</sup>
Rd at abrame and lotte, the 17 of Jenewary	
1593 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Kinge lude, <sup>5</sup> the 18 of Jenewry 1593	xxij <sup>s</sup>

of the Earl of Sussex at Lynne, Norfolk. Heywood gives no date, farther than stating that it happened "within these few years" anterior to the publication of his *Apology*.

<sup>1</sup> The same play as George a-Green, introduced twice before. It was printed in 1599, under the title of George a-Green, the Pinner of Wakefield, and it is attributed to Robert Greene, who is said to have acted the hero himself—possibly in this very company, and on this very occasion. He did not die until September following the date of this entry. Many, if not most, of the dramatists of that time were actors, although there were of course many actors, some of them highly eminent, who were not dramatists.

<sup>2</sup> A Scriptural drama upon the story of Abraham and Lot. It may have been some modification of an old miracle-play.

<sup>3</sup> Hewen must mean Huon of Bordeaux, before spoken of by Henslowe as Hewen of Burdoche, and Hewen of Burdokes.

<sup>4</sup> The Fair Maid of Italy may have been founded upon several novels current at the time, of which fair Italian maids were the heroines.

<sup>5</sup> No doubt King Lud, the supposed restorer of London, or Lud's town, before his time called Troynovant.

Rd at frier frances, the 20 of Jenewary 1593	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the fayer mayd of ytaly, the 21 of Jene- wary 1593 .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at gorge a grene, the 22 of Jenewary 1593 .....	vix <sup>s</sup>
Rd at titus and ondronicus, <sup>1</sup> the 23 of Jene- wary .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> viij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at buckengam, the 27 of Jenewary 1593	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at titus and ondronicous, the 28 of Jene- wary 1593 .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd at abrame and lotte, the 31 of Janewary 1593 .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of Malta, the 4 of febry 1593 .....	l <sup>s</sup>
Rd at tittus and ondronicus, the 6 of febry 1593 .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
<i>In the name of God, Amen, begininge at easter 1593, the Quenes men and my lord of Susex to geather.<sup>2</sup></i>	
Rd at frier bacone, the j of Aprell 1593.....	xxxxiiij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Elsewhere sometimes spelt "tittus and ondronicus." Malone had no doubt that this was the original Titus Andronicus before Shakespeare touched it. (Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 300). It may be so, or it may have been a distinct play on the same subject. Whatever it was, it is a novel and material fact that it was a new play on the 23 Jan. 1593: Henslowe placed *ne* in the outer margin to denote it.

<sup>2</sup> These companies were performing, perhaps, in conjunction. In 1590 (See Mr. Cunningham's Revels' Accounts, p. xxxii.) the Queen had two associations of players, one under the Duttons, and the other under Laneham. It is now impossible to ascertain which of the two was acting with the Earl of Sussex's men: the union was of short duration, for the account begins on the 1st and ends on the 8th April, probably 1594, though Henslowe does not so date his memoranda until near the close.

Rd at the Rangers comodey, 2 of Aprell 1593	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Rd at the Jew of malta, the 3 of Aprell 1593	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Rd at the fayer mayd of Italey, the 4 of Aprell 1593 .....	xxijjs <sup>s</sup>
Rd at frier bacon, the 5 of aprell 1593.....	xxjs <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Kinge leare, <sup>1</sup> the 6 of aprell 1593 .....	xxxvijjs <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Jewe of malta, 7 of aprell 1594 ...	xxvjjs <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Kinge leare, the 8 of aprell 1594 .....	xxvjjs <sup>s</sup>

*In the name of God Amen, beginninge the 14 of maye 1594,  
by my lord admeralls men.*

Rd at the Jewe of malta, 14 of maye 1594...	xxxxvijjs <sup>s</sup>
Rd at the Rangers comodey, <sup>2</sup> the 15 of maye 1594 .....	xxxijjs <sup>s</sup>
Rd at Cutlacke, <sup>3</sup> the 16 of maye 1594.....	xxxxijjs <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This King Leare was certainly a much older play than Shakespeare's *King Lear*, and at this date our great dramatist was not one of the Queen's men. The old King Leir, as it is spelt on the title-page, was entered at Stationers' Hall in 1594, but not printed until 1605; at least there is no known copy prior to that date. If orthography go for anything with Henslowe, he spells the name in the same way as Shakespeare spelt it, with the addition of a final *e*.

<sup>2</sup> By a preceding entry, dated 2 April, we have seen that the "Rangers Comedy" had been acted either by the Queen's or the Earl of Sussex's players; yet now we find it in the hands of the "Lord Admiral's men." Rival associations seem at this period to have interfered with each other more than has been generally supposed; and perhaps Henslowe, as the purchaser of the play, communicated his right to act it to any company with which he was concerned.

<sup>3</sup> Cutlack was a part in which Edward Alleyn was famous. E. Guilpin in his "Skialetheia," 1598, Epigr. 43, has this couplet:—

"Clodius, me thinkes, lookes passing big of late,  
With Dunstan's browes, and Allen's Cutlack's gate."

Of the nature of the play we know nothing.

*In the name of God Amen, beginninge at Newington, my  
Lord Admeralle and my Lorde chamberlen men, as follow-  
eth. 1594:—<sup>1</sup>*

3 of June 1594,	Rd at Heaster and asheweros <sup>2</sup> .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
4 of June 1594,	Rd at the Jewe of malta .....	x <sup>s</sup>
5 of June 1594,	Rd at andronicous <sup>3</sup>	xij <sup>s</sup>
6 of June 1594,	Rd at cutlacke ...	xj <sup>s</sup>
8 of June 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at bellendon <sup>4</sup> ...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
9 of June 1594,	Rd at hamlet <sup>5</sup> .....	vij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Shakespeare, it is to be borne in mind, was one of the "Lord Chamberlain's men" at this date. Anterior to the 15th of June 1594, Henslowe appears to have been entitled to a much smaller share of the receipts than afterwards: he drew a line in his book when he became entitled to the larger amount.

<sup>2</sup> This is the second play enumerated by Henslowe founded upon Scripture: Abraham and Lott was the first. The Duke of Devonshire has in his collection a unique copy of the drama of Godly Queene Hester, but it is much older than 1594, having been printed in 1561, though it may have served as the foundation of the play subsequently acted.

<sup>3</sup> Henslowe had by this time learned that Andronicus did not begin with the letter *o*, but he transferred it to the end of the word.

<sup>4</sup> Bellendon, as a new play, is marked with *ne*, and we insert it in the place it occupies in the MS.: we know nothing of such a drama.

<sup>5</sup> Malone contends, we think correctly, that this was the old Hamlet, and not Shakespeare's play. Concluding it to be so, our great dramatist might adopt the story, and feel that he had the better right to do so, because the old play had been acted by his friends and fellows, or perhaps with their assistance; for the Lord Chamberlain's players were at this date acting at the Newington theatre with the Lord Admiral's men. The companies may have occupied the house on alternate days, but this is the less likely, because Henslowe received a share of the takings every day. Perhaps they acted twice a day, each company once.

10 of June 1594,	Rd at heaster .....	v <sup>s</sup>
11 of June 1594,	Rd at the tamynge of a shrowe <sup>1</sup> .....	ix <sup>s</sup>
12 of June 1594,	Rd at andronicous...	vij <sup>s</sup>
13 of June 1594,	Rd at the Jewe <sup>2</sup> ...	iiij <sup>s</sup>
15 of June 1594,	Rd at bellendon ... ij <sup>ii</sup>	iiij <sup>s</sup>
17 of June 1594,	Rd at cutlacke .....	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
18 of June 1594,	Rd at the Rangers comodey .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
19 of June 1594,	Rd at the Gwies <sup>3</sup> ...	liij <sup>s</sup>
20 of June 1594,	Rd at bellenden ...	xxx <sup>s</sup>
22 of June 1594,	Rd at the Rangers comodey .....	lvij <sup>s</sup>
23 of June 1594,	Rd at the Jewe ...	xxij <sup>s</sup>
24 of June 1594,	Rd at cutlacke .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
25 of June 1594,	Rd at the masacer	xxxvj <sup>s</sup>
26 of June 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Galiaso <sup>4</sup> ..... ij <sup>ii</sup>	iiij <sup>s</sup>
27 of June 1594,	Rd at cutlacke .....	xxxvj <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> No doubt the old *Taming of a Shrew*, printed in 1594, and recently reprinted by the Shakespeare Society under the care of Mr. Amyot, from the sole existing copy in the library of the Duke of Devonshire.

<sup>2</sup> Under this entry, Henslowe drew a line, apparently to separate the account of his large receipts from his small. For some unexplained reason, he became entitled on 15th June 1594 to a much larger share of the money paid at the doors. When the *Jew of Malta* was next acted, he received £1 3s. 0d., instead of only 4s.

<sup>3</sup> After this date, the name of "the Guise," for Marlowe's Tragedy, seems dropped, and that of "the Massacre" was adopted in its stead.

<sup>4</sup> A new play: Henslowe wrote *ne*, indicating a first performance, not in the external margin, but between the date and the name of the piece. Such, it will be observed, was the case with Bellendon on the preceding page. Malone has an unhappy conjecture that *Galiase*, as he prints the name, was intended for *Julius Cæsar*: that "the *gelyous* comodey" might be meant for it is at least as likely. Henslowe writes it *galiaso*, with unusual uniformity.

30 of June 1594,	Rd at the Jew of malta .....	xxxxj <sup>s</sup>
2 of Julye 1594,	Rd at bellendon ...	xxxxij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
3 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the masacer	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
4 of Julye 1594,	Rd at cutlacke ...	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
5 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the Rangers comodey .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
6 of Julye 1594,	Rd at bellendon ...	xxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
8 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the masacer	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
9 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the phillipo and hewpolyto .....	ij <sup>h</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
10 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the Jewe ...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
11 of Julye 1594,	Rd at bellendon ...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
12 of Julye 1594,	Rd at galiaso .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
13 of Julye 1594,	Rd at phillipo and hewpolyto .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
15 of Julye 1594,	Rd at cutlacke ...	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
16 of Julye 1594,	Rd at masacare ...	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
17 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the Rangers comodey .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
18 of Julye 1594,	Rd at phillipo and hewpolyto .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
19 of Julye 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at 2 pte of god- frey of bullen <sup>1</sup> .....	ij <sup>h</sup> xj <sup>s</sup>
20 of Julye 1593,	Rd at bellendon ...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
22 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the Jewe of malta .....	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
23 of Julye 1594,	Rd at galiaso .....	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
24 of Julye 1594,	Rd at phillipo and hewpolyto .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Godfrey of Boulogne is of course intended. No *first* part of the same subject has been mentioned by Henslowe (unless under the name of "Jerusalem") but it was entered at Stationers' Hall 19th June 1594: this "second part" was a new play, according to Henslowe's mark, and a first part must then have been in existence.

25 of Julye 1594,	Rd at bellendon ...	xlviij <sup>s</sup>
26 of Julye 1594,	Rd at godfrey .....	xlvijs
27 of Julye 1594,	Rd at the masacer	xxij <sup>s</sup>
29 of Julye 1594,	Rd at cutlacke ...	xxix <sup>s</sup>
30 of Julye 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the marchant of eamden <sup>1</sup> .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> viij <sup>s</sup>
31 of Julye 1594,	Rd at bellendon, the	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
1 of Aguste 1594,	Rd at the Rangers comodey .....	xiijs <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
3 of Aguste 1594,	Rd at phillipo and hewpolito .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
4 of Aguste 1594,	Rd at galiaso .....	xxiijs <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
	Rd at the Jewe of malta <sup>2</sup> .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone misread this new play "the merchant of candew," and suggested that Candia was perhaps meant: it is very clearly in the MS. *eamden*, or Emden. The Merchant of Emden must have been upon the same incidents as are detailed in a B. L. ballad with the following title, "A most sweet Song of an English Merchant, borne at Chichester." This merchant settled at Emden, and having killed a man in an accidental rencontre, he was tried and condemned to die. He was so handsome that many of the young ladies of the town were in love with him, and did their utmost to obtain his liberation: when brought to the scaffold, several ladies offered to die for him, and one of them hastened to the Governor, and by her tears induced him to pardon the Englishman; we need hardly add that they were afterwards married. It opens thus:—

"A rich merchant man,  
That was both grave and wise,  
Did kill a man at Emden town,  
Through quarrels that did rise."

The whole may be found in Evans's Old Ballads, i., 28, last edition.

<sup>2</sup> There is some error or confusion in dates here, and this representation of the extremely popular play of the Jew of Malta is left without any. Farther on, the 7th and 8th August are repeated.

6 of Aguste 1594, Rd at seconde pt of godfrey.....	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
7 of aguste 1594, Rd at phillipo and hewpolito .....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
8 of aguste 1594, Rd at the masacare	xxij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
7 of aguste 1594, Rd at the Jewe of malta.....	xvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
8 of aguste 1594, Rd at cutlacke ...	xij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
10 of aguste 1594, Rd at bellendon ...	xxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
11 of aguste 1594, <i>ne</i> Rd at tassoes mel- lencoley <sup>1</sup> .....	ijj <sup>h</sup> iiij <sup>s</sup>
12 of aguste 1594, Rd at galliaso.....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
13 of aguste 1594, Rd at godfrey of bullen.....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
14 of aguste 1594, Rd at mahomett <sup>2</sup> ij <sup>h</sup>	v <sup>s</sup>
15 of aguste 1594, Rd at phillipo and hewpolyto .....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
17 of aguste 1594, Rd at the masacar	xx <sup>s</sup>
18 of aguste 1594, Rd at tassoes mal- lencoley .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A drama apparently founded upon the later portion of the life of Tasso, who did not die until 1595; and it is singular that any of the incidents of his life should so soon have been presented on the English stage. Perhaps, as our poets at this date were frequent visitors of Italy, they had brought the facts upon which the play was founded from thence. It was acted for the first time on the 11th August 1594.

<sup>2</sup> We have not heard of this play before, but it is not marked by Henslowe as a new one. George Peele wrote a lost drama called the Turkish Mahomet, and Hiren the fair Greek, and this entry possibly relates to its performance. It is mentioned in Peele's Jests, of which the earliest extant edition is dated 1607. Peele was dead in 1598: he was, we have every reason to believe, the son of Stephen Peele, a ballad-writing bookseller, two of whose productions are printed in the earliest publication of the Percy Society. The Rev. Mr. Dyce was not aware of Peele's parentage.

19 of aguste 1594,	Rd at bellenden ...	xxij <sup>s</sup>
20 of aguste 1594,	Rd at the Rangers	
comodey.....		xiiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
21 of aguste 1594,	Rd at galiaso .....	xxij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
22 of aguste 1594,	Rd at cutlacke ...	xxiijs <sup>s</sup>
24 of aguste 1594,	Rd at phillipo and hewpolyto .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
25 of aguste 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the Vene- syon comodey <sup>1</sup> .....	ls vj <sup>d</sup>
26 of aguste 1594,	Rd at godfrey.....	xxvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
27 of aguste 1594,	Rd at Mahemet...	xxxxs <sup>s</sup>
28 of aguste 1594,	Rd at tamberlen <sup>2</sup>	ij <sup>ls</sup> xj <sup>s</sup>
29 of aguste 1594,	Rd at bellenden ...	xx <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
2 of septembr 1594,	Rd at the Jew of malta .....	xxij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
3 of septembr 1594,	Rd at Tasso .....	xxxxvjs <sup>s</sup>
4 of septembr 1594,	Rd at phillipo and hewpolito .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
5 of septembr 1594,	Rd at the vene- syon comodey .....	xxxvj <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
6 of septembr 1594,	Rd at cutlacke ...	xj <sup>s</sup>
7 of septembr 1594,	Rd at the masa- car .....	xvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
8 of sptembr 1594,	Rd at godfrey ...	xxxxs <sup>s</sup>
9 of septembr 1594,	Rd at mahemett...	xxxv <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This was clearly not the play afterwards mentioned as "Venesyon and the love of an Inglishe ladye," because that, as well as "the Venesyon comodey," was a new play, and is so marked by Henslowe. It has been suggested that "the Venesyon comodey" might be a play on the story of the Merchant of Venice; but had it been the Merchant of Venice, Henslowe would probably have called it by that name: we have already had the Merchant of Emden.

<sup>2</sup> This can hardly be the same as Tamercame, before frequently mentioned, and the name approaches much nearer to Marlowe's Tambur-laine: perhaps, it was a revival of it, and the receipt was large.

10 of septembr 1594,	Rd at galiaso ...	xxv <sup>s</sup>
11 of septembr 1594,	Rd at bellendon	xxiiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
12 of septembr 1594,	Rd at tamber-	
len .....		xxxxv <sup>s</sup>
13 of septembr 1594,	Rd at phillipo	
and hewpolito .....		xx <sup>s</sup>
15 of septembr 1594,	Rd at the vene-	
syon comodey .....		xxxvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
16 of septembr 1594;	Rd at the Ran-	
gers comodey.....		xv <sup>s</sup>
17 of septembr 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at palamon	
and arsett <sup>1</sup> .....		lj <sup>s</sup>
18 of septembr 1594,	Rd at tasso.....	xxvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
19 of septembr 1594,	Rd at phillipo	
and hewpolyto .....		xiiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
20 of septembr 1594,	Rd at godfrey ...	xxx <sup>s</sup>
21 of septembr 1594,	Rd at mahemett	xxviij <sup>s</sup>
22 of septembr 1594,	Rd at the vene-	
syon comodey .....		xxxv <sup>s</sup>
23 of septembr 1594,	Rd at bellendon	xvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
24 of septembr 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at venesyon	
and the love of and Ingleshe lady <sup>2</sup> .....		xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A play obviously on Chaucer's Palamon and Arcite. This might be an alteration of Edwards's play acted before Queen Elizabeth in 1566, (*Vide Hist. of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage*, i, 191). The Two Noble Kinsmen, in which Shakespeare is stated to have had some concern, is founded on the same incidents. It is very possible that he did something for it when it was acted on the 17th Sept. 1594, by the Lord Chamberlain's Players, (performing with the Lord Admiral's men) and that the alterations and additions he made were employed by Fletcher in the play as it was printed in 1634. On this point see an able Essay by Mr. Spalding, printed at Edinburgh in 1833. 8vo.

<sup>2</sup> This play is here mentioned on its first performance, and afterwards it is styled "Love of and Ingleshe ladey," but no doubt it was the same production. The ballad of the Love of a Spanish Lady for an English-

25 of septembr 1594,	Rd at masacar ...	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
26 of septembr 1594,	Rd at cuttlacke	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
28 of septembr 1594,	Rd at tamberlen	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
29 of septembr 1594,	Rd at galiaso...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
30 of septembr 1594,	Rd at Docter Fostose <sup>1</sup> .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
2 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the Ran- gers comodey .....	x <sup>s</sup>
3 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the vene- syon comodey .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
4 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the love of a gresyan lady <sup>2</sup> .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
6 of octobr 1594,	Rd at godfrey of bullen .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
7 of octobr 1594,	Rd at phillipo and hewpolito .....	xij <sup>s</sup>

man is well known (See Percy's Reliques, ii., 256, edit. 1812), but none of the love of a Venetian for an English lady.

<sup>1</sup> Doubtless, Marlowe's play of the Life and Death of Doctor Faustus, founded upon the old Romance which was first entered on the Stationers' books in 1588, soon after which date the drama, we may conjecture, was written. It now produced a large receipt, but it is not marked by Henslowe as a new play, and such could hardly have been the case, unless it had been posthumous, Marlowe having been killed in 1593. It was not printed until 1604. Alleyn performed the hero, as we learn from the following lines in S. Rowland's Knave of Clubs, 1600:—

“The gull gets on a surplis,  
With crosse upon his breast,  
Like Allen playing Faustus;  
In that manner he was drest.”

<sup>2</sup> Malone supposes this to have been Peele's lost play of the Turkish Mahomet and Hiren the fair Greek, but it seems more likely that the Mahomet, several times heretofore introduced by Henslowe, was Peele's drama. See note 2, p. 39. It was not a new play at this date, and the authorship of it is mere matter of speculation.

8 of octobr 1594,	Rd at tasso .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
9 of octobr 1594,	Rd at Docter Fos- tus .....	xxxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
11 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the venesyon comodey .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
13 of octobr 1594,	Rd at bellendon ...	xxij <sup>s</sup>
14 of octobr 1594,	Rd at mahemett ...	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
15 of octobr 1594,	Rd at tamberlen ...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
16 of octobr 1594,	Rd at palaman and arset .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
17 of octobr 1594,	Rd at tamberlen ...	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
18 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the frenshe docter <sup>1</sup> .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
20 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the Jewe of malta, 1594 <sup>2</sup> .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
21 of octobr 1594,	Rd at docter Fos- tus, 1594 .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
22 of octobr 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the Knacke to know a noneste <sup>3</sup> .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
23 of octobr 1594,	Rd at tasso .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
24 of octobr 1594,	Rd at love of and Ingleshe ladey .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
25 of octobr 1594,	Rd at galleaso.....	xj <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Possibly so called from the prominence of the character of a French Doctor in the performance: *The Wisdom of Doctor Dodypol* was the name of a play printed in 1600.

<sup>2</sup> The popularity of the *Jew of Malta*, judging from the receipts, seems by this date to have declined considerably; and no wonder, recollecting the frequency of its repetitions.

<sup>3</sup> *The Knack to know an Honest [Man]* was written, probably, in consequence of the success of the *Knack to know a Knave*, which we have seen was produced in June 1592. A *Knack to know an Honest Man* was printed in 1596, and, in the shape in which it has come down to us, it is much inferior to its prototype, both in language and incidents, and indisputably not by the same author.

27 of octobr 1594,	Rd at pallaman and harset .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
28 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the frenshe docter .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
29 of octobr 1594,	Rd at the Knacke to knowe and oneste man .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
30 of october 1594,	Rd at bullen ...	xv <sup>s</sup>
1 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the Knacke to knowe and onest man .....	ij <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
2 of novembr 1594,	Rd at bellendon	vi <sup>j</sup> <sup>s</sup>
4 of novembr 1594,	Rd at tamberlen	xxxix <sup>s</sup>
5 of novembr 1594,	Rd at Docter Fostes .....	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
6 of novembr 1594,	Rd at mahemette	xv <sup>s</sup>
7 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the knacke <sup>1</sup>	xxxxiiiij <sup>s</sup>
8 of novembr 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Seser and pompie <sup>2</sup> .....	ij <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
9 of novembr 1594,	Rd at palamon...	xi <sup>j</sup> <sup>s</sup>
11 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the Vene- syon comodey.....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
12 of novembr 1594,	Rd at tasso .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
13 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the gresyan ladye .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
14 of novembr 1594,	Rd at sesor and pompie .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Probably the latest of the two "Knacks" is here intended, but it may have been a revival of the Knack to know a Knave.

<sup>2</sup> This was a new play, and is so marked by Henslowe: two dramas under this title have come down to us, the one by George Chapman, (which, as he himself informs us, was never acted) and the other anonymous. The anonymous Cæsar and Pompey was printed in 1607, but Chapman's "Roman Tragedy" did not come from the press until 1631, although 1607 is the date assigned to it in the *Biographia Dramatica*. We shall see presently that the success of "Seser and pompie" led to the production of a second part.

15 of novembr 1594,	Rd at bellendon	xij <sup>s</sup>
16 of novembr 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Deoclesyan <sup>1</sup>	liiijs <sup>s</sup>
18 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the Frenshe	
Docter	189.08.00 <sup>2</sup> .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
20 of novembr 1594,	Rd at docter fos-	
tes ... .....	..	xvij <sup>s</sup>
21 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the Knacke	xx <sup>s</sup>
22 of novembr 1594,	Rd at deoclesyan	xxxxiijs <sup>s</sup>
23 of novembr 1494,	Rd at the grea-	
syon comodey <sup>3</sup> .....	.....	x <sup>s</sup>
25 of novembr 1594,	Rd at seser and	
pompey .....	.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
26 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the vene-	
cyon comody .....	.....	xij <sup>s</sup>
27 of novembr 1594,	Rd at tamberlen	xxij <sup>s</sup>
28 of novembr 1594,	Rd at warlam-	
chester <sup>4</sup> .....	.....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
29 of novembr 1594,	Rd at the Knacke	xx <sup>s</sup>
30 of novembr 1594,	Rd at warlam-	
chester .....	.....	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
1 of desembr 1594,	R at the gresyan	
comody .....	.....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
2 of desembr 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the wise	
man of Chester .....	.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This new play of Diocletian may have formed the foundation of Beaumont and Fletcher's *Prophetess*, or at all events it was a drama on the same part of history.

<sup>2</sup> This sum appears to be Henslowe's adding-up of amounts he had received: it does not tally with any of the receipts previously mentioned, but clearly relates to them.

<sup>3</sup> Possibly the same play as that before called the *Love of a Grecian Lady*.

<sup>4</sup> This play, which was not a new one, is not to be confounded with "the wise man of Chester," or *Westchester*, just afterwards inserted in the list, which was a new play on the 2d Dec. 1594.

3 of desembr 1594,	Rd at tasso .....	vjs
4 of desembr 1594,	Rd at mahemet	xjs
6 of desembr 1594,	Rd at wiseman of westchester .....	xxxijjs
8 of desembr 1594,	Rd at Docter fos- tus .....	xxs
9 of desembr 1594,	Rd at the Jew...	ijijs
10 of desembr 1594,	Rd at seser .....	xijjs
12 of desembr 1594,	Rd at warlam- chester .....	xvjs
13 of desembr 1594,	Rd at the Knacke	xijjs
14 of desembr 1594, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the mawe <sup>1</sup>	xxxxiiijjs
17 of desembr 1594,	Rd at tamberlen	xxxjs
19 of desembr 1594,	Rd at the 2 pte of tamberlen <sup>2</sup> .....	xxxxvjs
20 of desembr 1594,	Rd at Docter fos- tus.....	xvijjs
25 of desembr 1594, <i>Cstmes</i>	Rd at the greate- syane comodey <sup>3</sup> .....	xxxxvjs
26 of desembr 1594,	Rd at the sege of london .....	ijjh      iijjs
27 of desembr 1594,	Rd at docter fos- tes .....	lijjs
29 of desembr 1594,	Rd at the wiss- man of westchester .....	ijjh      ijjs

<sup>1</sup> Maw was a game at cards, and this play is afterwards called "the seat at mawe :" see note 1, p. 47. It was a new play.

<sup>2</sup> i. e., The second part of Marlowe's Tamburlaine the Great.

<sup>3</sup> It thus appears that, if sometimes prevented on Sundays, companies were allowed to perform on Christmas day, which Henslowe marks, and the difference in his receipts to old plays at this season is very obvious. No new play was deemed necessary until the 11th February, unless "the Siege of London," on 26th Dec. 1594, were new. We have not heard of it before, but Henslowe has no mark against it to show that it was a first performance.

30 of desembr 1594,	Rd at tamberlen	xxij <sup>s</sup>
1 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the 2 pte of tamberlen .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
2 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the seat at mawe <sup>1</sup> .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
3 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the frenshe docter .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
4 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at velya for <sup>2</sup>	xj <sup>s</sup>
7 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the Knacke	xxij <sup>s</sup>
9 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at docter fostes .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
10 of Jenewary 1595, <sup>3</sup>	Rd at the grea- syan comodey .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
11 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at tasso.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
13 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at the Knacke	xxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
14 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the seage of london .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
16 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the wise- man of weaschester .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
17 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the mawe	xxv <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> No doubt the same play as "the Mawe," produced on the 14th December. Malone (Shaksp. by Boswell, iii., 304) says that this entry is "the seat at mawe," and that it means *suit*, mawe being a game at cards: the latter part is of course true, but the correct reading of the MS. is "the seat at mawe," or *set* at mawe, in the same way that we still talk of a *set* at tennis and at some other games.

<sup>2</sup> Malone takes no notice of this unintelligible piece: it was perhaps only part of the title "the valya for—" the scribe not knowing the rest. Some months afterwards we meet with Antony and Vallea, and it may have been the drama in question; but here it is not stated to have been a first performance.

<sup>3</sup> In this and the two next entries 1594 has been first written, and then converted into 1595. It was in fact 1595, according to our present reckoning.

18 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at seaser.....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
19 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the Ran- gers comodey.....	xv <sup>s</sup>
21 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at tasso .....	xxxvj <sup>s</sup>
22 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the seage of london .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
23 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the wise- man of weaschester .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> vj <sup>s</sup>
24 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at Docter fos- tes ... .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
25 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the grea- syan ... .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
27 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at tamber- len .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
28 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the mawe	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
29 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the 2 pte of tamberlen .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
30 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the frenshe docter.....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
31 of Jenewary 1594,	Rd at the gre- syan comody .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
1 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at seaser.....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
3 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at the sege of london .....	xxxxv <sup>s</sup>
4 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at wyseman of weschester .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> iiij <sup>s</sup>
5 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at mahemett	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
6 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at the Knacke	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
7 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at the frenshe docter.....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
8 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at Docter fos- tes .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
10 of febreyary 1594,	Rd at the Vene- syan .. .....	xx <sup>s</sup>

11 of febreary 1594, <i>ne</i> Rd at the frenshe Comodey <sup>1</sup> .....	ls
12 of febreary 1594, Rd at wisman of weschester .....	lijs
13 of febreary 1594, Rd at the sege of london .....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
14 of febreary 1594, <i>j</i> Rd at longe mege of westmester <sup>2</sup> .....	ij <sup>li</sup> ix <sup>s</sup>
15 of febreary 1594, Rd at tasso .....	xix <sup>s</sup>
17 of febreary 1594, Rd at tamberlen	xxx <sup>s</sup>
18 of febreye 1594, Rd at the 2 pte of tamberlen .....	xxxvjs
19 of febreyay 1594, Rd at wisman of weschester .....	xxxxvjs
20 of febreary 1594, Rd at lónge mege	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
21 of febreary 1594, <i>ne</i> Rd at the macke <sup>3</sup>	ij <sup>li</sup>
22 of febreary 1594, Rd at the gresyan comodey .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
24 of febreary 1594, Rd at the frensh Doctor .....	xxxxxliij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This new piece is not to be confounded with "the French Doctor," which was a popular and profitable play, much more so than "the French Comedy" ever became.

<sup>2</sup> This was doubtless a new play, though Henslowe has not marked it so in his usual way, but by putting the figure *j* before it to denote that it was its *first* representation. The old tract of Long Meg of Westminster is well-known, and has been reprinted. In N. Field's Amends for Ladies, 1618, but acted earlier by some years, a play called Long Meg is spoken of as in a course of representation at the Fortune theatre, which belonged to Alleyn and Henslowe. It was no doubt the same drama here mentioned, under the date of 14th Feb. 1594. See the suppl. vol. to Dodsley's Old Plays.

<sup>3</sup> Mack was another game at cards, and this new play was perhaps written in consequence of the success of the Maw, already many times represented.

25 of febreary 1594,	Rd at the vene-	
syan comodey .....	.....	xxs
26 of febreary 1594,	Rd at the Knacke	xxiijs
27 of febreary 1594,	Rd at the frenshe	
Comodey .....	.....	xxxxs
28 of febreary 1594,	Rd at the wisman	
of weschester .....	.....	xxxixs
29 of febreary 1594,	Rd at lange mege	xxxviijs
3 of marche 1594,	Rd at the sege of	
london .....	.....	xxvjs
4 of marche 1594,	Rd at longe mege	
on (sraftusdaye) <sup>1</sup> .....	.....	ijh
5 of marche 1594,	<i>ne</i> Rd at steleo and	
olempo <sup>2</sup> .....	.....	ijh
6 of marche 1594,	Rd at seaser .....	xxs
10 of marche 1594,	Rd at the Knacke	
from hence lyicensed <sup>3</sup> .....	.....	xxiijs
11 of marche 1594,	Rd at fyrste pt of	
tamberlen <sup>4</sup> .....	.....	xxx
12 of marche 1594,	Rd at 2 pt of tam-	
berlen .....	.....	xxij
13 of marche 1594,	Rd at longe mege	xxvij

<sup>1</sup> What Henslowe, or his scribe, meant by the word they insert between brackets was doubtless Shrove Tuesday.

<sup>2</sup> Malone gives the name of this new play "Seleo and olempo." It "Steleo and olempo" in this place; and subsequently the scribe seems have learned that the first name ought to be Seleo, that Olympo oug to be spelt with a *y*, and that the real name was Olympio.

<sup>3</sup> Are we to suppose from the words "from hence lyicensed," whi were added afterwards, that until this date the company had been p~~er~~ forming without a license, or that "the Knack" had not hitherto be licensed by the Master of the Revels? Possibly the license here spok of was connected with the prevalence of the plague.

<sup>4</sup> Usually designated only Tamberlen. In this instance, as in seve preceding, the second part was acted on the following day.

14 of marche 1594,	Rd at sege of london .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Easter mondaye 1595, <sup>1</sup> easter,	Rd at the frenshe Doctor .....	lij <sup>s</sup>
23 of aprell 1595,	Rd at the Knacke	lv <sup>s</sup>
24 of aprell 1595,	Rd at the grecian comody .....	lj <sup>s</sup>
25 of aprell 1595,	Rd at the wissman	lvij <sup>s</sup>
26 of aprell 1595,	Rd at the wiseman of weschester <sup>2</sup> .....	ij <sup>u</sup>
27 of aprell 1595,	Rd at godfrey of bullen .....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
29 of aprell 1595,	Rd at warlamchester	xxix <sup>s</sup>
30 of aprell 1595,	Rd at longe mege...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
31 of aprell 1595,	Rd at fostes .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
1 of maye 1595,	Rd at longe mege...	l <sup>s</sup>
2 of maye 1595,	Rd at seleo and olempo .....	l <sup>s</sup>
3 of maye 1595,	Rd at the frenshe docter .....	xj <sup>s</sup>
5 of maye 1595,	Rd at the Knacke...	xxij <sup>s</sup>
6 of maye 1595,	Rd at the wiseman	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
7 of maye 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the firste pte of Herculous <sup>3</sup> .....	ij <sup>u</sup> xij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Henslowe draws a line across the page, after the entry of the 14th March, and probably the two companies ceased playing until Easter.

<sup>2</sup> We are perhaps to understand that "the wissman" and "the wiseman of Weschester" were two parts of the same play, acted on two successive days.

<sup>3</sup> A new play. In another part of the Diary it will be found that Martin Slaughter, or Slater, was the author of a drama, in two parts, on the story of Hercules, and this, we apprehend, was one of them. T. Heywood's *Brazen Age* is on the same subject, but was not written at this early date: it was printed in 1613.

8 of maye 1595,	Rd at the venesyon	
comodye .....		xxx <sup>s</sup>
9 of maye 1595,	Rd at seleo and	
olympo .....		xxvj <sup>s</sup>
10 of maye 1595,	Rd at warlam chester	xxix <sup>s</sup>
12 of maye 1595,	Rd at the frenshe	
comodye .....		xxvij <sup>s</sup>
13 of maye 1595,	Rd at longe mege...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
14 of maye 1595,	Rd at tasso .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
15 of maye 1595,	Rd at the wisse man	
of weschester.....		xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
16 of maye 1595,	Rd at the greasyan	
comodey.....		xxxij <sup>s</sup>
17 of maye 1595,	Rd at godfrey of	
bullen.....		xxij <sup>s</sup>
18 of maye 1595,	Rd at galfrido and	
Bernardo <sup>1</sup> .....		xxxj <sup>s</sup>

Be<sup>2</sup> yt knownen unto all men by thes presentes, that I John griggs, cyttein and Butcher of London, do owe unto Phillip Hinchley, cyttein and Dyer of London, the some of fyveten poundes of good and lawfull money of England, to be payd unto the sayd Phillip Hinchley, his ex. adm. and assignes, the

<sup>1</sup> An entry omitted to be noticed by Malone. It relates to a play founded, doubtless, upon the recently-discovered poem by John Drout, entitled "The pityfull Historie of two loving Italians, Gaulfrido and Bernardo le Vayne," printed in 1570 by Henry Binneman. It was formerly supposed (from the entry in the Stationers' Registers, which was all that was known of it) to relate to the incidents of Romeo and Juliet, but such is not the fact. An impression, limited to twenty-five copies, has been recently made from the original.

<sup>2</sup> This memorandum begins a new leaf, and occupies (with some scribbling) the whole of it. A leaf that preceded seems to have been cut out originally. From this document, which appears to be in the handwriting of Griggs, we find that Henslowe was by trade a dyer.

xijth day of August next ensuenge the datte hereof, unto  
the which payment I the sayd John grigges do bynd me my  
heires ex. adm. and assignes by thes presentes. In wyttnes  
wheroft I the sayd John grigges have sette my hand and seale,  
the xijth day of July 1592.

p me JOHN GRIGGES,  
[L. S.]<sup>1</sup>

19 of maye 1595,	Rd at olimpo .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
20 of maye 1595,	Rd at hercolas .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> ix <sup>s</sup>
21 of maye 1595,	Rd at j pt of tam- berlen .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
22 of maye 1595,	Rd at 2 pt of tam- berlen .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
23 of maye 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at 2 pt of her- colas <sup>2</sup> .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
24 of maye 1595,	Rd at frenshe Doc- tor .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
26 of maye 1595,	Rd at weschester ...	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
27 of maye 1595,	Rd at j pte of her- colas .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
28 of maye 1595,	Rd at 2 pte of her- colas .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
29 of maye 1595,	Rd at olimpo .....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
30 of maye 1595,	Rd at warlam chester	ix <sup>s</sup>
31 of maye 1595,	Rd at frenshe como- dye .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
3 of June 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the vij dayes of the weacke <sup>3</sup> .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The seal has been cut away. After this entry, which, in point of date and on every other account, is much out of its place, the list of plays is regularly continued.

<sup>2</sup> The second part of the play, brought out on the 7th May: see p. 51.

<sup>3</sup> A new play, of which, like many more, we hear on *ne* other authority.

4 of June 1595,	Rd at the wiseman of weschester .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
5 of June 1595,	Rd at doctor Fostus	xvij <sup>s</sup>
6 of June 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes of the weacke.....	xxxxiiiij <sup>s</sup>
7 of June 1595,	Rd at olimpio .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
9 of June 1595, <sup>Whittson</sup> <sup>date</sup>	Rd at the Knacke ...	lv <sup>s</sup>
10 of June 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes of the wecke .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup> vj <sup>s</sup>
11 of June 1595,	Rd at wissman of weschester .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
12 of June 1595,	Rd at the j pt of her- culos .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup> j <sup>s</sup>
13 of June 1595,	Rd at the 2 pt of her- culos .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup>
14 of June 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes of the wecke .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup> ix <sup>s</sup>
16 of June 1595,	Rd at warlamchester	xxv <sup>s</sup>
17 of June 1595,	Rd at the frenshe co- modey .....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
18 of June 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the 2 pte of sesore <sup>1</sup> .....	lv <sup>s</sup>
19 of June 1595,	Rd at longe mege ...	xxij <sup>s</sup>
20 of June <sup>2</sup> [1595,	]Rd at antonye and vallea .....	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> i. e., The second part of the play called, on its first production on the 8th Nov. 1594, "Seser and Pompei." We may add here that the Duke of Devonshire has a copy of the old anonymous play of Cæsar and Pompey, without date, and no doubt earlier than 1607.

<sup>2</sup> The cutting away of Griggs' seal, on the other side of the page, has removed the date of the year from this and the two next entries. "Antonye and vallea" may have been a new play on the 20th of June, but Henslowe's mark to denote the fact has also been cut away, and we have before had "the Valya for" mentioned. See p. 47, note 2. Malone states that it was entered at Stationers' Hall by Humphrey

21 of June [1595,      Rd] at the Knacke...	xij <sup>s</sup>
23 of June [1595,      ]Rd at the vij dayes of the wecke .....	ijij <sup>li</sup> v <sup>s</sup>
24 of June, mydsomerdaye, Rd at the frenshe comodey.....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
25 of June 1595,      Rd at the j pte of seaser .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
26 of June 1595, <sup>1</sup> Rd at the 2 pte of seaser .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
25 of aguste 1595,      Rd at the knacke to know a nonest man .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
26 of aguste 1595,      Rd at the wiseman of wescheaster .....	xxxix <sup>s</sup>
27 of aguste 1595,      Rd at the weacke ...	liij <sup>s</sup>
28 of aguste 1595,      Rd at longe mege ...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
29 of aguste 1595, <i>ne</i> Rd at longe shanke <sup>2</sup>	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

Mosely, the bookseller, on the 29th of June 1660, as the work of Philip Massinger; and, in the list of plays destroyed by Warburton's cook, we meet with one called Antonio and Valia. In the same list is Philenzo and Hippolita, also attributed to Massinger, which may have been the piece repeatedly called by Henslowe Phillipo and Hewpolyto. Massinger, in all probability, revived and altered them from the state in which they were represented in 1594 and 1595: he was of course not old enough to have been their author at that date, having been born in 1584. See Gifford's Massinger, i., li.

<sup>1</sup> The companies probably ceased to act in London between this date and the 25th of August 1595. Perhaps they travelled into the country from want of encouragement, as the receipts had fallen off rapidly, excepting in the instance of the French Comedy.

<sup>2</sup> This could not be G. Peele's historical play of Edward the First, as Malone suggests, unless it was not acted until after it had been printed in 1593. It was most likely a play by some other dramatist upon the same portion of English history: this was its first performance, and is so marked by Henslowe, but Malone did not know that *ne* denoted a first representation. Afterwards it is called "Prince Longshank."

30 of aguste 1595,	Rd at the seage of london .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
1 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at j pte of her- culos .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> iii <sup>s</sup>
2 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at 2 pte of her- culos .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
3 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes of the weacke .....	lij <sup>s</sup>
4 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at olempeo and hengenyo <sup>1</sup> .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
5 of septmbr 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at cracke me this nutte .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> j <sup>s</sup>
6 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at valia and antony .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
9 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the wiseman	xxxxiii <sup>s</sup>
10 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at longshancke	ij <sup>ii</sup>
11 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at doctor fostes	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
12 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at cracke me this nutte .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
13 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes Rd at longe mege <sup>2</sup>	xxxvij <sup>s</sup> xvj <sup>s</sup>
15 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at j pte of tamberlen .....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
16 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at godfrey of bullen .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
17 of septmbr 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the worldes tragedy <sup>3</sup> .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> v <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This may possibly be the same play as that before called "Seleo and Olympo," and it is not marked by Henslowe as a new drama. Hengenyo perhaps meant Ingenio; but it is sometimes hardly possible even to guess, on account of Henslowe's ingeniously corrupt spelling.

<sup>2</sup> This entry of Long Meg occurs at the bottom of a page, and without any date.

<sup>3</sup> Also called "the new worldes tragedy" in a subsequent entry: we only know that this was its first performance.

18 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the Knacke	xvij <sup>s</sup>
19 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the frenshe	
Doctor .....	.....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
20 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the sege of london .....	xvi <sup>j</sup> <sup>s</sup>
22 of septmbr 1595, <sup>1</sup>	Rd at the vij dayes	xxxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
22 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at j pte of her- culos .....	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
23 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at 2 pte of her- culos .....	xxiij <sup>s</sup>
24 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at cracke me this nutte .....	xxxxij <sup>s</sup>
25 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the worldes tragedy .....	xxxviij <sup>s</sup>
26 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at Docter fos- tes .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
28 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at crack me this nutte .....	ij <sup>u</sup> vj <sup>s</sup>
29 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at the wiseman	xv <sup>s</sup>
30 of septmbr 1595,	Rd at longe shancke .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
2 of octobr 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the Desgys- ses <sup>2</sup> .....	.
3 of octobr 1595,	Rd at olempo <sup>3</sup> ...	xv <sup>s</sup>
4 of octobr 1595,	Rd at longe mege	xj <sup>s</sup>
5 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
6 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the wiseman	xvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This date ought to be the 21st Sept. 1595, but it stands "22d Sept. 1595."

<sup>2</sup> A new play, but whether it had any connexion with the species of dramatic entertainment, at an earlier date called "a disguising," cannot be ascertained. It may have been a piece, like Chapman's *Blind Beggar*, afterwards introduced, where one actor assumed several characters.

<sup>3</sup> Perhaps *Olympio* and *Ingenio*, before inserted under date 4th Sept. 1595: it may have been *Seleo* and *Olympos*.

7 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the worldes	
tragedy .....	.....	xxxjs
8 of octobr 1595,	Rd at cracke me	
this nutt.....	.....	xxvjs
9 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the gresyan	
comody .....	.....	x <sup>s</sup>
10 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the desgyses	xxix <sup>s</sup>
12 of octobr 1595,	Rd at j pte of her-	
culos .....	.....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
13 of octobr 1595,	Rd at 2 pte of her-	
culos .....	.....	xxvs
14 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes	xvij <sup>s</sup>
15 of octobr 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the wonder of	
a woman <sup>1</sup> .....	.....	lijs
16 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the desgysses	x <sup>s</sup>
17 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
19 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the wiseman	xvij <sup>s</sup>
20 of octobr 1595,	Rd at cracke me	
this nutte .....	.....	xxjs
21 of octobr 1595,	Rd at long shancke	xxx <sup>s</sup>
22 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the worldes	
tragedy .....	.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
23 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the wonder of	
a woman .....	.....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
24 of octobr 1595,	Rd at cracke me	
this nutte .....	.....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
25 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the j pt of	
herculos .....	.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
26 of octobr 1595,	Rd at Valia and an-	
tony .....	.....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> John Marston wrote a play, printed in 1606, called "The Wonder of Women, or Sophonisba her Tragedy;" but Marston does not seem to have been a writer until after this date. W. Rowley, still later, wrote "A new Wonder, a Woman never vexed," printed in 1632.

7 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the desgyses	xix <sup>s</sup>
8 of octobr 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at barnardo and pheameta <sup>1</sup> .....	xxxxij <sup>s</sup>
9 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes	xij <sup>s</sup>
10 of octobr 1595,	Rd at the desgysses	xxix <sup>s</sup>
1 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at 2 pt of her- colas .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
1 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at the new worldes tragedy.....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
1 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at the wonder of a woman .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
1 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at cracke me this nutt .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
1 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at barnardo ...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
	Rd at westchester <sup>2</sup>	xx <sup>s</sup>

Prayses,<sup>3</sup> the 28th of Aprill 1595, thes parsells. A Rem-  
iaunt of Black sattin of 7 yardes, and a black Cloke of cloth  
with owt lyning cape or Lace, both Together att the some of  
ouer powndes tenn shillings. By us,

the marke of + HUGH DAVIS,  
by me,

E ALLEYN.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Afterwards spelt Bernardo and Fiameta. As it was a new play, it had no connexion with Bernardo and Galfrido, before-mentioned on p. 52, unless as a *second* part. The Barnardo, introduced below under late 6th Nov. 1595, might be either one play or the other: as it produced only 17s., perhaps it was the older drama.

<sup>2</sup> No date is assigned to the performance of this play, the title of which occurs at the bottom of the page.

<sup>3</sup> This, and the next item of a loan to Jones, the player, occupy the back of the page on which the forty-eight preceding entries are made.

<sup>4</sup> This is Edward Alleyn's own signature, the first time it has occurred in the MS. The body of the memorandum is not by him.

Lent unto M<sup>r</sup> Jonnes, player, the 17 of novmbr 1599,  
 in Redy mony, fortie shellenges, which is boye  
 Jemes, feched I saye ..... } xxxxs<sup>s</sup>

Wittnes M<sup>rs</sup> ALLEYN.<sup>1</sup>

9 of novmbr 1595, <sup>2</sup>	Rd at longshancke	xxxiijs <sup>s</sup>
10 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at desgysses...	xv <sup>s</sup>
12 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at j pte of tam- berlen.....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
13 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at 2 pt of tam- berlen.....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
14 of novmbr 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at a toye to please my ladey <sup>3</sup> .....	lj <sup>s</sup>
15 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at vij dayes...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
18 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at cracke me this nutte .....	xxiij <sup>s</sup>
19 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at barnardo...	vj <sup>s</sup>
20 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at wonder of a woman .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
21 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at a toye to please chaste ladyes .....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
22 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at olempo ...	iiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
24 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at j herculos	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> It is quite evident that such memoranda as this, to which Alleyn's wife was a witness, were made at subsequent dates in any vacant part of the book: the page where this and the preceding entry are found had been accidentally left blank. We print the MS. exactly in the form in which it has come down to us.

<sup>2</sup> Here, on another leaf, Henslowe continues the long list of plays represented by the Lord Admiral's and the Lord Chamberlain's players at Newington Butts.

<sup>3</sup> By subsequent entries, when the writer was better informed regarding this new play, it appears that the true title was "A Toy to please chaste Ladies."

25 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at 2 pt of her-	
culos .....		xvj <sup>s</sup>
26 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at longshancke	xvij <sup>s</sup>
27 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at the newes	
worldes tragedy.....		xvijj <sup>s</sup>
28 of novmbr 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at harey the v <sup>1</sup>	ij <sup>li</sup>
		vj <sup>s</sup>
29 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at the welche	
man <sup>2</sup> .....		vij <sup>s</sup>
31 of novmbr 1595,	Rd at the toye to	
please chaste ladeyes.....		xij <sup>s</sup>
2 of desembr 1595,	Rd at hary the v	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
3 of desembr 1595,	Rd at barnardo	vij <sup>s</sup>
4 of desembr 1595,	Rd at wonder of a	
woman .....		xijij <sup>s</sup>
6 of desembr 1595,	Rd at Crack me	
this nutt .....		xv <sup>s</sup>
8 of desembr 1595,	Rd at hary the v	xxxxij <sup>s</sup>
10 of desembr 1595,	Rd at prynce	
Longshanke .....		xxx <sup>s</sup>
12 of desembr 1595,	Rd at the new	
worldes tragedy.....		xxxj <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
14 of desembr 1595,	Rd at the vij dayes	xxijij <sup>s</sup>
16 of desembr 1595,	Rd at hary the v	xxix <sup>s</sup>
18 of desembr 1595,	Rd at j pt of her-	
culos .....		xij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This was a new play upon the events of the reign of Henry V. On p. 26, will be seen a notice of the representation of another play, called Henry V., and it may be doubted whether this or that was the drama printed under the title of The famous Victories of Henry V. We apprehend it must have been the former, because it was entered to be printed in 1594, whereas "Harey the v" was not acted until 28th Nov. 1595. It is possible that it was Shakespeare's Henry V., founded upon the Famous Victories of Henry V., and it was unquestionably popular.

<sup>2</sup> This, as Malone supposed, might be Robert Armin's "Valiant Welshman," which was not printed until 1615.

22 of desembr 1595,	Rd at the newe worldes tragedie.....	xxs
25 of desembr 1595, <i>Cstmes</i>	Rd at the wonder of a woman <sup>1</sup> .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
26 of desembr 1595,	Rd at barnardo	lvij <sup>s</sup>
28 of desembr 1595,	Rdatharye the v	lvj <sup>s</sup>
29 of desembr 1595,	Rd at long- shanks .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
30 of desembr 1595,	Rd at the wisman of weschester .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
1 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at the wecke	xxxxij <sup>s</sup>
2 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at cracke me this nutt .....	ix <sup>s</sup>
3 of Jenewary 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at chinone of Ingland <sup>2</sup> .....	ls
5 of Jenewary 1595,	Rdatharey the v	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
6 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at herculos the j pte.....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
7 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at knock to know and onest man.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
8 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at new worldes tragedie .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
9 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at the Jew of malta .....	lvj <sup>s</sup>
10 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at a toye to please chaste ladeys .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Immediately before Christmas, the receipts were bad, but the Wonder of a Woman, which on the 4th December had produced Henslowe only 13s., on Christmas day brought him £3 2s. 0d.; Bernardo, which on the 3d December produced only 7s., on the 26th of December brought £2 18s. 0d., so much did the houses improve in the holidays. They, however, very soon fell off again, and a new play became necessary to keep up the public attention.

<sup>2</sup> This drama enjoyed considerable popularity, but the title seems to shew that it was merely fabulous.

12 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at chynon of	
England .....	.....	ls
13 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at the sege	
of london .....	.....	xv <sup>s</sup>
14 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at cracke me	
this nutte .....	.....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
15 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at the won-	
der of a woman .....	.....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
16 of Jeneuary 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Pethage-	
ros <sup>1</sup> .....	.....	ij <sup>ii</sup> j <sup>s</sup>
	Rd at wissman	
of weschester <sup>2</sup> .....	.....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
18 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at the Jewe	
of malta .....	.....	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
19 of Jeneuary, 1595,	Rd at harey	
the v .....	.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
20 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at barnardo	
and phiameta.....	.....	xj <sup>s</sup>
21 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at chinon of	
England .....	.....	xxxvij <sup>s</sup>
22 of Jeneuary 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the 2	
weeke <sup>3</sup> .....	.....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
23 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at pethago-	
rus .....	.....	xxxvj <sup>s</sup>
25 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at the new	
worldes tragedy.....	.....	xlij <sup>s</sup>
26 of Jeneuary 1595,	Rd at the 2	
weake .....	.....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> It appears, by other parts of Henslowe's Diary, that Martin Slaughter, or Slater, wrote this new play called "Pythagoras."

<sup>2</sup> This performance is without any date opposite to it: there appears to be some little confusion here in this respect.

<sup>3</sup> Malone takes *ne* notice of this new piece, which was doubtless a *second* part to the popular play the 'Seven Days of the Week,' which Henslowe usually abbreviated to "Wecke."

27 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at chinon ...	xxjs
28 of Jenewary	Rd at Petha-	
goros .....	goros .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
29 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at the Jew of	
malta .....	malta .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
30 of Jenewary 1595,	Rd at the wonder	
of a woman .....	of a woman .....	xjs
2 of febreary 1595,	Rd at the Jew of	
malta .....	malta .....	lvij <sup>s</sup>
3 of febreary 1595,	Rd at the 1 pt of	
Fortequanatus <sup>1</sup> .....	Fortequanatus <sup>1</sup> .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
4 of febreary 1595,	Rd at wissman of	
weschester .....	weschester .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
5 of febreary 1595,	Rd at longshancke	xiiijs
6 of febreary 1595,	Rd at harey the v	xvij <sup>s</sup>
7 of febreary 1595,	Rd at crack me	
this nutt .....	this nutt .....	xix <sup>s</sup>
9 of febreary 1595,	Rd at pethagores	xx <sup>s</sup>
10 of febreary 1595,	Rd at Fortunatus	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
11 of febreary 1595,	Rd at chinon of	
Ingland .....	Ingland .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
12 of febreary 1595, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the blind	
beger of Elexandria <sup>2</sup> .....	beger of Elexandria <sup>2</sup> .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
13 of febreary 1595,	Rd at fosstes.....	xxv <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dekker's play of "Old Fortunatus" was printed in 1600, but it is not called, on the title-page, the *first* part, and we know of no *second*. That there was a second part, arising out of the popularity of the first, is highly probable from this entry. Henslowe does not mark it as a new play on the 3d Feb. 1595, and perhaps it was not so, although this is the earliest mention of it in the Diary, where it is subsequently called "Fortunatus." The sum it produced was as large as if it had been a new play.

<sup>2</sup> George Chapman's "Blind Beggar of Alexandria" was printed in 1598. We learn from Henslowe the day when it was originally brought out. There is a coincidence between a line in it and

15 of febreary 1595,	Rd at pethagores	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
16 of febreary 1595,	Rd at the blinde	
beager .....	....	iii <sup>ii</sup> vj <sup>s</sup>
17 of febreary 1595,	Rd at the Jew of	
malta .....	....	xx <sup>s</sup>
18 of febreary 1595,	Rd at olempeo ...	x <sup>s</sup>
19 of febreary 1595,	Rd at the blind	
beager .....	....	liij <sup>s</sup>
20 of febreary 1595,	Rd at Fortuna-	
tus .....	....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
22 of febreary 1595, <i>Shrore Monday</i>	Rd at the blind	
beager .....	....	xxxvjs
23 of febreary 1595, <i>Shroft tewsd.</i>	Rd at pethagores	xxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
24 of febreary 1595,	Rd at chinone ...	lvj <sup>s</sup>
25 of febreary 1595,	Rd at wecke <sup>1</sup> ...	xx <sup>s</sup>
26 of febreary 1595,	Rd at the blind	
beager .....	....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
27 of febreary 1595,	Rd at longshanke	xxx <sup>s</sup>

in Marlowe's paraphrase of *Hero and Leander*. Marlowe's line is correctly cited, with acknowledgment to the "dead Shepherd," by Shakespeare in "As you like it," act iii., sc. 5.

"Who ever lov'd that lov'd not at first sight?"

which Chapman, near the close of his "Blind Beggar of Alexandria," gives thus:

"None ever lov'd but at first sight they lov'd."

The circumstance might have been passed over without notice, if Chapman's play and Marlowe's poem had not been printed in the same year, and if Chapman, at a subsequent date, had not finished the poem which Marlowe left incomplete. Marlowe's portion having been published in 1598, Chapman immediately continued the subject, and the six sestads appeared together in 1600, not 1606, as stated by Ritson.

<sup>1</sup> There were, as we have seen, two plays called the Seven Days of the Week, but it is not stated whether this was the first or the second part of the same subject.

The master of the Revelles payd untell this time al which I owe him.<sup>1</sup>

Sowld<sup>2</sup> M<sup>r</sup> Richard Jones, player, a manes gowne of peche color In grayne, the 2 of septmbr 1594, to be payd by fyve shellenges a weeke imediatly folowinge, and beginyng as fowloweth.

Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 7 of septembr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 13 of septembr .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 20 of septembr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 4 of octobr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 11 of octobr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 18 of octobr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 24 of octobr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 2 of novembr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jonnes, the 9 of novmbr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jonnes, the 16 of novmbr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jones, the 23 of novmbr 1594 .....	vs
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Jonnes, In full payment, the 30 of novmbr 1594	vs

Sowld unto William Sley,<sup>3</sup> the 11 of octobr 1594, a Jewell of gowld, seat with a white safer, for viij<sup>s</sup> to be payd after xij<sup>d</sup> a weacke as followeth.

Rd of W <sup>m</sup> Sley, the 18 of octobr 1594 .....	vjd
Rd of W <sup>m</sup> sley, the 24 of octobr 1594 .....	vjd

<sup>1</sup> The meaning of this note at the end of the list of plays most likely is, that the Master of the Revels had been paid all that Henslowe owed him for licensing new plays at 5s. each. Here and there he seems to have inserted such memoranda as "Master paid," &c., in order to prove the date when a new account began.

<sup>2</sup> This account with its title is crossed out in the MS.

<sup>3</sup> There was a player of the name of John Slee, or Slye, in the reign of Henry VIII., (Hist. of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i., 118), and William Sley, or Sly, Shakespeare's fellow-actor, was possibly de-

Rd of W <sup>m</sup> sleye, the 2 of novembr 1594.....	vjd
Rd of W <sup>m</sup> sleye, the 9 of novmbr 1594 .....	vjd
Rd of W <sup>m</sup> sley, the 16 of novembr 1594 .....	vjd
Rd of W <sup>m</sup> sley, the 30 of novmbr 1594 .....	xijd
Rd of W <sup>m</sup> sley the 14 of Desembr 1594.....	xijd
Rd or W <sup>m</sup> sley, the 17 of Jeneway 1594 .....	ij <sup>s</sup>

1596,<sup>1</sup>

12 of aprelle, ester munday, Rd at barnardo and fiameta .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
13 of aprell 1596,      Rd at toye to please chaste ladeys .....	xxxix <sup>s</sup>
14 of aprell 1596,      Rd at fortunatus ...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
15 of aprell 1596,      Rd at the blynd beger .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
16 of aprell 1596,      Rd at the Knacke	xj <sup>s</sup>
17 of aprell 1596,      Rd at the wisman of weschester .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
19 of aprell 1596,      Rd at Doctor fostes	xij <sup>s</sup>
20 of aprell 1596,      Rd at the Jewe ...	xx <sup>s</sup>
21 of aprell 1596,      Rd at longshancke	xiiiij <sup>s</sup>
22 of aprell 1596,      Rd at pethagorus ...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
23 of aprell 1596,      Rd at chinon .....	xx <sup>s</sup>

scended from him. This account, for some stage-jewel probably, furnishes the earliest notice of him, and we may infer that he was not very rich in 1594; but in 1596 we find him petitioning the Lords of the Council as one of the "owners and players" of the Blackfriars' Theatre (*Ibid.* i., 298). His name occurs seventh in the Patent of James I. in 1603. It is very possible that he filled the part of Christopher Sly in "The Taming of the Shrew," when acted by the King's Players, or in the older "Taming of a Shrew," when represented by Henslowe's company.

<sup>1</sup> This looks like a continuation of the former account, but it begins at the top of a separate page, and there is an interval between the 27th February 1595-6 and the 10th April 1596.

24 of aprell 1596,	Rd at Harry the v...	xv <sup>s</sup>
26 of aprell 1596,	Rd at the blind beger	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
27 of aprell 1596,	Rd at new worldes tragedy .....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
28 of aprell 1596,	Rd at longshancke	xx <sup>s</sup>
29 of aprell 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Julian the apostata <sup>1</sup> .....	xxxxvijs <sup>s</sup>
30 of aprell 1596,	Rd at wisman .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Maye daye 1596,	Rd at wonder of a woman .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
2 of maye 1596,	Rd at chinon .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
3 of maye 1596,	Rd at the blinde beger .....	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
4 of maye 1596,	Rd at pethagorus ...	xx <sup>s</sup>
5 of maye 1596,	Rd at Docter Fostes	xx <sup>s</sup>
6 of maye 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at tambercame <sup>2</sup>	xxxxvijs <sup>s</sup>
7 of maye 1596,	Rd at cracke me this nutte .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
10 of maye 1596,	Rd at Julian apos- tata .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
11 of maye 1596,	Rd at fortunatus ...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
12 of maye 1596,	Rd at tambercame...	xxxxv <sup>s</sup>
13 of maye 1596,	Rd at blind beger ...	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
14 of maye 1596,	Rd at the Jew of malta .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
16 of maye 1596,	Rd at chynone .....	xxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
17 of maye 1596,	Rd at tambercame...	xxxxvjs <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The smallness of the receipts, excepting to Chapman's *Blind Beggar of Alexandria*, rendered the production of this new drama expedient.

<sup>2</sup> Edward Alleyn had some concern in preparing and bringing out this new play, called for by the difficulty of keeping up the receipts, although *Julian the Apostate* had been produced only seven days before. It must have been a different *Tambercame* to that recorded on p. 25, and how to reconcile the identity of the titles we know not. It may have been a blunder by Henslowe.

18 of maye 1596,	Rd at beger .....	xxxxix <sup>s</sup>
19 of maye 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at tragedie of Focasse <sup>1</sup> .....	xxxxv <sup>s</sup>
20 of maye 1596,	Rd at Julyan apos- tata .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
22 of maye 1596,	Rd at pethageros ...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
23 of maye 1596,	Rd at tragedie of Focasse .....	xxxix <sup>s</sup>
24 of maye 1596,	Rd at Fortunatus...	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
25 of maye 1596,	Rd at tambercame	xx <sup>s</sup>
26 of maye 1596,	Rd at hary the v ...	xxiij <sup>s</sup>
27 of maye 1596,	Rd at chinone <sup>2</sup> .....	ix <sup>s</sup>

Sowld unto Jeames Donstall, player, the 27 of  
aguste 1595, a manes gown of purpell coller  
cloth, faced with conney and layd on the  
sleves with buttens, for xxxxij<sup>s</sup> iiijd, to be  
payd xx<sup>s</sup> in hand, and xxij<sup>s</sup> iiijd at my-  
chellmaste next cominge after the datte  
a bove written. I saye for .....

Rd in pte payment, the same daye, beinge  
the 27 of aguste 1595, of Jemes Donstall  
the some of..... ] x<sup>s</sup>

Rd in pte of payment, the 28 of aguste  
1595, In monye of Jemes Donstall the  
some of ..... ] x<sup>s</sup>  
Reste to paye 23<sup>s</sup> iiijd.

<sup>1</sup> In this play, represented for the first time, Martin Slater, or Slaughter, had some interest, perhaps as one of its joint authors. Elsewhere it is spelt Phocas, and perhaps we ought to read Phocus, who revenged the death of his father Phocion.

<sup>2</sup> Here this account ends, but we shall find it resumed on p. 73, under date of 31 May 1596.

Sowld unto Steven Magett,<sup>1</sup> the 20 of Jeneuary 1595, a dublet of fuschen playne, and a payer of Venesyones of brade cloth, with ij laces of belement, for xvjs, to be payd by xij<sup>d</sup> a wecke, begenyng the 23 of Jeneuary 1595, beinge saturdaye and so forth. Receved as foloweth.

Rd the 23 of Jeneuary 1595 .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 30 of Jeneuary 1595 .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 7 of febreary 1595 .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 13 of febreary 1595 .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 20 of febreary 1595 .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 27 of febreary 1595 .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Rd of maye daye 1596 .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd 6 of maye 1596 .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd in full payment, the 3 of maye 1596 <sup>2</sup> .....	ij <sup>s</sup>

[Here follow, among scribblings and calculations of nativities, various medical receipts and charms: among them:—

“ To know where a thinge is that is stolen—Take vergine waxe and write upon yt Jasper, + Melchiser + Balthasar + and put yt under his head to whom the good partayneth, and he shall knowe in his sleape where the thinge is become.

“ Wryte these wordes in virgins parchment with the blood of a batt upon tewesday morning, betwixt v or vj in the morning, or at nighte, Halia J. K. turbutzi, and tye yt about thy left arme, and aske what ye will have.

“ To cleanes a hurte wounde and heale yt—Mixe valencia scuger with freashe butter, for beinge layd to yt plaster wysse yt consumeth the superflueshe fleashe, or evell corrupted mater; yt healleth yt allso: moste exsilent proved.”]

<sup>1</sup> Stephen Magelt seems to have been the 'Tireman of the Company, and to have had charge of the apparel, &c. He is sometimes called Stephen, sometimes "Stephen the 'Tireman,'" and sometimes "the 'Tireman."

<sup>2</sup> The account is therefore crossed out. In the margin opposite the heading are the words "some is xvjs to paye."

M<sup>m</sup>. that I Thomas Chaloner do owe unto Mr. Philipe Hensley vij<sup>ii</sup> x<sup>s</sup>, to be payed unto P. the laste daye of June 1592.

By me THOMAS  
CHALONER.<sup>1</sup>

Witnes WILL<sup>M</sup>. HENSLYE.

Lent W<sup>m</sup>. Atkynsone, leather dreaser, the 6 of Jenewary 1597, in Readey money fortishillinges, to be payd me agayne the 17 day of the same moneth: in wittnes of the lendinge of this money is

E. ALLEYN.<sup>2</sup> pd

Lent Mr. Jonnes, player, the 8 of Jenewary 1597, upon iiij Rynges, j gemer of gowld, j sparke of a dimond, j Ringe with v sparkes of Rewbes, j small sell Rynge of gowld, the some of forty shillinges. I say xxxx<sup>s</sup>.

Lent unto Mr. Jonnes, player, the 21 of aprell 1598, in Redey money tenne shillinges, which Williame Cartwrighte, I say, lent x<sup>s</sup>.

Pd unto Thomas Dickers, the 20 of Desembr 1597, for adycyons to Fostus twentie shellinges, and fyve shellinges for a prolog to Marloes Tamberlen, so in all I saye payde twentye fyve shellinges.

Rd by me of Mr. Phillip Hynsley, for my M<sup>r</sup> the Master of the Revells, this second daie of Januarey 1594, in full payement of a bonde of one hundreth powndes, the somme of tenn powndes, and in full payement of what soever is due from the daie above wrytten, untill Ashwednesdaie nexte

<sup>1</sup> See the Mem. of Edw. Alleyn, p. 63, where a person calling himself Francis Challoner would have borrowed £10 of Mrs. Alleyn in 1603. This memorandum is written wrong side upwards in the MS., as if scribbled in anywhere in haste.

<sup>2</sup> This memorandum is subscribed by Alleyn in his own handwriting, but not written by him.

ensuinge after the date hereof. In wittness whereof I have put to my hande.

p me Tho. STONNARD.<sup>1</sup>

*A notte of what carges my soger peter hath stode me  
in this yeare, 1596.<sup>2</sup>*

Itm pd for iiiij dayes traynynge.....	vs	4d
Itm fownd hime viij <sup>l</sup> of powder .....	vjs	8d
Itm pd for his lyvery, and mony in his pursse ...	xij <sup>s</sup>	8d
Itm fownd a head pece which coste .....	vjs	
Itm fownd a sorde and a dager.....	vjs	
Itm fownd a bealt and a geardell .....	xij <sup>d</sup>	
Itm geven at his goinge a waye for powder, and to } dryncke by the waye.....	vs	
Itm pd for featchenge of my head pece from } graves end .....		ij <sup>s</sup> iiiij <sup>d</sup>

Lade owt for my soger this laste traynynge,  
the seamsters husband

Itm pd for j dayes traynge, and halfe of a j <sup>l</sup> of powder .....	xvij <sup>d</sup>
--	-------------------

Rd for ij weekes paye, which was dew unto the } Mr of the Revelles, frome the 12 of aprell } 1596 unto the 26 of the same moneth, xx <sup>s</sup> . I } say Rd .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
---	-----------------

MICHEL M. B. BLUENSONS

MARKE

DOWNTON.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This entry is in the handwriting of Stonnard.

<sup>2</sup> Part of this account for Henslowe's soldier, Peter, is repeated a few pages afterwards.

<sup>3</sup> Downton's name has been added as a witness, but without his Christian name, Thomas; and it is not his own handwriting.

Lent unto John tomsone, player, the 22 of desembr }  
 1598, in Redey money the some of ..... } vs

Thomas Downton, the 25 of Jenewary 1599, did hire as his covenante servante<sup>1</sup> for ij yers, to begyne at shrofe tewesday next, and he to geve him viij<sup>s</sup> a wecke as longe as they playe, and after they lye styll one fortynight, then to geve hime halfe wages. Witness P. H. and edward browne and charles massey.

*Lent unto Roger Evans, grome of the Queenes chamber, 1598, as folowth in Redy money.*

Lent hime the 28 of marche 1598.....	viij <sup>s</sup>
Lent hime the 16 of aprell 1598 .....	vj <sup>s</sup>
Lent hime the 8 of June 1598 .....	ijij <sup>s</sup>
Lent hime the 6 of Jenewary 1598 .....	viij <sup>s</sup>
Lent hime the 9 of Jenewary 1598 .....	vj <sup>s</sup>

### 1596<sup>2</sup>

31 of maye whittsen munday, Rd at pathagoras	ijij <sup>s</sup>
1 of June 1596, Rd at chinone of Ingland	ijij <sup>s</sup> <sup>3</sup>
2 of June 1596, Rd at longshancke.....	ijij <sup>s</sup>
3 of June 1596, Rd at the blinde beagēr...	xxxxj <sup>s</sup>
4 of June 1596, Rd at the tragedie of focas	xxxj <sup>s</sup>
5 of June 1596, Rd at tambercame .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
7 of June 1596, Rd at cracke me this nutte	xxvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A blank is left for the name of the "covenant servant," so hired by Downton.

<sup>2</sup> This is evidently a continuation of a former account, which ends (see p. 69) on 27th May 1596. Preceding it is an erased repetition of the account of Henslowe's substitute, "soldier Peter," and some other matters not theatrical.

<sup>3</sup> Most likely miswritten for ijij<sup>s</sup>, as there is no other entry of so low a receipt in the account.

8 of June 1596,	Rd at wisman of wes-	
chester .....	.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
9 of June 1596,	Rd at the chaste ladye ...	xvij <sup>s</sup>
10 of June 1596,	Rd at tambercame .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
11 of June 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the 2 pte of tam-	
bercame <sup>1</sup> .....	.....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
12 of June 1596,	Rd at Docter fostes .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
14 of June 1596,	Rd at sege of london ...	xxx <sup>s</sup>
15 of June 1596,	Rd at pethagores .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
16 of June 1596,	Rd at Focase .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
17 of June 1596,	Rd at hary the v. .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
19 of June 1596,	Rd at j pte of tamber-	
came .....	.....	xxxvj <sup>s</sup>
20 of June 1596,	Rd at 2 pte of tamber-	
came .....	.....	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
21 of June 1596,	Rd at the Jew of malta...	xij <sup>s</sup>
22 of June 1596,	Rd at focas.....	l <sup>s</sup>
23 of June 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at troye <sup>2</sup> .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> ix <sup>s</sup>
24 of June 1596,	Rd at cracke me this nutt	xij <sup>s</sup>
25 of June 1596,	Rd at the beager .....	xix <sup>s</sup>
26 of June 1596,	Rd at j pte of tamber-	
came .....	.....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
27 of June 1596,	Rd at 2 pte of tamber-	
came .....	.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
1 of Juley 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the paradox <sup>3</sup> .....	xxxxv <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This was, as is clear from Henslowe's mark, the first performance of the second part of *Tambercame*, which had been written in consequence of the popularity of the first part. Here the difficulty recurs, arising from the identity of title. See p. 68, note 2.

<sup>2</sup> A new piece founded upon the Siege of Troy. T. Heywood's *Iron Age* embraced this portion of history, and was perhaps in part derived from this older play called *Troy*. The *Iron Age* was not printed until 1632.

<sup>3</sup> The name of this new play does not occur again, and perhaps it was condemned.

2 of Julye 1596,	Rd at troye .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
3 of July 1596,	Rd at fostes .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
5 of July 1596,	Rd at focasse .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
6 of July 1596,	Rd at sege of london .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
7 of July 1596,	Rd at wisman of wes- chester .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
8 of July 1596,	Rd at j pte of tamber- came .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
9 of July 1596,	Rd at longshancke .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
10 of July 1596,	Rd at harye the v.....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
11 of July 1596,	Rd at bellendon .....	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
12 of July 1596,	Rd at the toye .....	x <sup>s</sup>
13 of July 1596,	Rd at pethagores .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
15 of July 1596,	Rd at hary v.....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
16 of July 1596,	Rd at troye.....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
17 of July 1596,	Rd at focas.....	xxix <sup>s</sup>
18 of July 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at the tyncker of totnes <sup>1</sup> .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>

Lent unto marten Slather, the 22 of June 1596, the some of  
vij<sup>ii</sup> of good and lafulle mony of Ingland, to be payd the  
same daye moneth folowinge, or els to forfeite for not payinge  
of the same xv<sup>ii</sup>, wher upon he hath bownd hime selfe by  
tackynge of a j<sup>d</sup> upon and a sumsett. witnes to this edward  
alleyn and his wiffe.

Sowld unto Mr. Jonnes, player, the 27 of maye 1596, ij  
yardes and iij quarters of brode clothe for eyghtene shelynges,  
to be payd by iiiij<sup>s</sup> a weacke as foloweth.

Rd the 5 of June of Mr Jonnes .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 12 of June of Mr Jonnes .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 19 of June of Mr Jonnes .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A new play, possibly on a similar story to that of "the Tinker's Good Fortune."

Rd the 23 of June of Mr Jonnes .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd in full payement the 7 of Julye 96 .....	iii <sup>s</sup>

Delivered unto the company,<sup>1</sup> the 23 of marche, beinge good frydaye, 1597, the some of fyve pownd and fortenshelyngs, w<sup>ch</sup> mackes up the some of thirtye powndes, as her underwriten maye be sene, w<sup>ch</sup> they owe unto me, I say.....xxx<sup>li</sup> wittnes edward allen.

*Lente unto my lord admerall players at severall tymes in Redey money as foloweth. 1596.*

Lent unto Jeames Donstall for to by thinges	v <sup>li</sup>
for the playe of Valteger <sup>2</sup> .....	
Lent unto marten slater, to bye coper lace and	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
frenge for the playe of valteger, the 28 of novembr 1596 .....	
Lent unto marten slather, the 29 of novembr 1596, to by for the play of valteger lace and	xxv <sup>s</sup>
other thinges.....	
Dd unto Steven, the tyerman, for to delyver unto	iiij <sup>li</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
the company, for to by a headtier and a Rebato	
and other thinges, the 3 of Desembr 1596...	
Lent unto my sonne, to by the saten dublet with	iiij <sup>li</sup>
sylver lace <sup>3</sup> .....	
Some xv <sup>li</sup> xv <sup>s</sup> : the wholl some of this and the other sydo	is 22 <sup>li</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 00 <sup>d</sup>
is 22 <sup>li</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 00 <sup>d</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> The company of the Lord Admiral's players, mentioned in the next entry; which, as well as the three previous memoranda, are crossed out in the MS.

<sup>2</sup> Perhaps a play on the story of Vortigern. It was acted, as we shall see hereafter, for the first time on the 4th December 1596, when it was still called Valteger by Henslowe.

<sup>3</sup> "My son" was E. Alleyn, the husband of Henslowe's step-daughter. Both in the Diary and in Henslowe's letters he is so designated, and relationships were not then accurately distinguished.

Lente more, the 8 of desembr 1596, for Stewt- leyes <sup>1</sup> hosse .....	iiij <sup>h</sup>
Lent Donston and marten, <sup>2</sup> the 11 of desembr 1596 .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent marten, the 14 of desembr 1596 .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Dd unto M <sup>r</sup> Porter, <sup>3</sup> the 16 of desembr 1596	v <sup>ll</sup>
Payd unto the carmen for fetcheng your wagen <sup>4</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Porter, the 7 of march 1597 ...	iiij <sup>h</sup>
Dd unto my sonne, for to by syleke and other thinges for Guido <sup>5</sup> the 14 of marche .....	iiij <sup>h</sup>
	ix <sup>s</sup>
Sowld unto steven maget, the 27 of maye 1596, a clocke of sade grene, to be payde by xij <sup>d</sup> a weacke, which clocke is sowld for .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Received as foloweth—	
Rd the 5 of June of steven.....	xiij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 12 of June of steven .....	xiij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 20 of June of steven .....	xiij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 26 of June of steven .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 12 of July of steven .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 22 of septmbr of steven .....	vij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The company was getting up a play upon the story of Captain Stutely, or Stukeley, and the "hose" must have been expensive to cost £3. See an account of Stukely, and a ballad upon his adventures, in "Old Ballads," printed for the Percy Society in 1841. There is another ballad on the history of the same adventurer in Evans's Coll., iii., 148, edit. 1810. The play of "Stewtley" was brought out on 11th December 1596; and it is to be borne in mind that in the Battle of Alcazar, attributed to G. Peele, Stukely plays a prominent part; but see p. 21, note 1.

<sup>2</sup> By "marten" Henslowe must have meant Martin Slater, or Slaughter.

<sup>3</sup> i.e., Henry Porter, a dramatic poet, whose name will afterwards frequently occur.

<sup>4</sup> i.e., perhaps the waggon of the company, for conveying properties, &c.

<sup>5</sup> The title of a play in preparation. It was not brought out until 19th March 1597.

*A note of such money as I have lent unto thes menne, whose names folow, at severall tymes; Edward Alleyn, Martyne Slather, Jeames Donstall, and Jewby. 1596.*

All this lent sence the 14 of octobr.

Lent unto martyne to feache Fleacher <sup>1</sup> ...	vjs
Lent unto theme to feache Browne .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto my sonne for Thomas Honte...	vjs 8d
Lent unto them for Hawodes booke <sup>2</sup> .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto them at a nother tyme .....	l <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto marteyn at a nother tyme .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the tayllor for the stocke .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent them to by a boocke .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent the company to geve Fleatcher, and the[y] have promysed me payment: who promysed me is marten, Donson, and Jewby .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pt of payment, the 29 of octobr 1596	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pt of payment of all holanday 1596	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pt of payment, the 13 of desembr 1596 <sup>3</sup> .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Tottalles .....	31 <sup>u</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 00 <sup>d</sup>
Some ix <sup>u</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> This has been supposed by Malone to mean John Fletcher the poet, but there was also Lawrence Fletcher an actor, whose name stands first in the Patent granted by King James on the 17th May 1603, and a few years afterwards we hear of Laz. Fletcher. Browne, mentioned in the next entry, was a player, but why they were to be "fetched," and from whence, does not appear. The entry lower down, "Lent the company to geve Fleatcher," &c., looks as if it were money advanced by Henslowe to enable the company to purchase a play.

<sup>2</sup> No doubt some play (or "book," as it was then often called) written by Thomas Heywood, which was purchased for the company.

<sup>3</sup> This memorandum is struck out in the MS.

Rd at the second time of playinge, that wilbe, <sup>1</sup> the 4 of Jenewary 1597, the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>2</sup>
Rd at Jeronymo, the 7 of Janewary 1597, in pte of payment.....	}	vij <sup>ii</sup>
Rd at elexsander and lodwicke, <sup>2</sup> the 14 of Janewarye, the fyrste tyme yt wasse playde, 1597, in pte.....	}	vii <sup>ii</sup>
Rd at a womon hard to please, the 27 of Janewary 97.....	}	iiij <sup>ii</sup>

*Ultimo die maij, Anno regni Dne Nre Regine Tricesimo nono.*

Received the daie and yeare above written, by me Robert Johnson, to the use of the Mr of the Revells, of Phillip Henslaye, the fulle and whole some of fortie shillinges, dew for this presente monthe aforesaide <sup>3</sup> .....	}	xls
xxvij <sup>th</sup> of June 1597.		

Received, the daie and yeare above written, by me Robert Johnson, to the use of the Mr of the Revells, of Phillip Henchley, the full and whole some of fortie shillings of lawfull English monney, dew for this present monthe aforesaide	}	xls
---	---	-----

<sup>1</sup> i.e., a play called *That will be Shall be, or What will be Shall be*, the date of the production of which is duly noted on January 3, 1597.

<sup>2</sup> We shall again meet with the name of this play and a *Woman hard to Please*, under their proper dates.

<sup>3</sup> From this and other following entries it appears that Henslowe (and probably other managers did the same) was in the habit of paying the Master of the Revels a monthly fee of 40s., beyond the sum he received for granting licenses for new plays. As there were many companies of actors at this period, he would thus derive a considerable income from his office; and such emoluments do not seem to have been contemplated at the creation of the office.

Received, this xix<sup>th</sup> of July 1597, of M<sup>r</sup> Henchley,  
 the some of fortie shillings, and is to the use of  
 the M<sup>r</sup> of the revells, as appeareth by a quittans  
 which M<sup>r</sup> blewinsone hath in keapinge. I say  
 Rd the some of .....

xl<sup>s</sup>p me W<sup>m</sup> HATTO.Octobris xij<sup>o</sup> 1598.

Received, the daie and yeare above written, by me  
 Robert Johnson, of M<sup>r</sup> Henchley the full and  
 whole some of vj<sup>li</sup>, to the use of the M<sup>r</sup> of the  
 Revells, for iij monethes endinge the daie afore-  
 saide, after xl<sup>s</sup> a monethe .....

vj<sup>li</sup>

This agremente and bargen Betweene edward alleyn and  
 M<sup>r</sup> Arthour langworth, as foloweth, was made tho 5 daye of  
 July 1596. Yt was agreed upon that M<sup>r</sup> Langworth shold  
 geve unto edward alleyn for the leasse of the parsonage of  
 Furle iij thowsen powndes of lafful mony of England, to be  
 payd in xx yeares in maner folowinge, by a hundred and fiftie  
 powndes a yeare, and to be gine payment at our ladey daye  
 next folowing, and so to paye every halfe yeare the halfe of  
 the hundredth and fiftie powndes, or within one moneth after,  
 beinge xxvij dayes; and for the performance of this xx yeares  
 payment hath promesed to putte hime in suche a suerence, as  
 by his learned cownsell he shall devise at his next cominge to  
 towne after the daye above written: in wittnes where of to this  
 I have seate my hand,

PHILLIPPE HENSLOW.<sup>1</sup>

Rd of Bengemenes Johnsones share as foloweth. 1597.<sup>2</sup>  
 Rd the 28 of July 1597 ..... iij<sup>s</sup> ix<sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The signature is by Henslowe, but the body of the agreement is by  
 the scribe he usually employed.

<sup>2</sup> This entry is crossed out, as if the account were ended, and Hens-

Sowld unto Thomas Towne, player,<sup>1</sup> a Blacke clothe clocke, layd with sylke lace for xxvj<sup>s</sup> viij<sup>d</sup>, to be payd by xij<sup>d</sup> a wecke, and to be gyne payment the 2 of Jenewary 1597, and so to contene new weckelye payment, as

Lent unto Thomas towne, the 20 of marche 1598,	xij <sup>d</sup>
Redy mony .....	

Lent unto thomas Towne upon a skarffe .....

v<sup>s</sup>

Sent my horsse to grasse, the 9 daye of Aprelle, beinge tewsdaye, 1600, to Mr Kellocke at redreff, for xx<sup>d</sup> a weeke.<sup>2</sup>

Lent unto charlles Massey, <sup>3</sup> the 3 of desember 1600, in Redy mony, to be payd a gayne at crysmas	x <sup>s</sup>
next, the some of.....	

Md that the xxix<sup>th</sup> daye of september 1596, beinge Mihelmas Daye, the some of one hundred and xxvj<sup>ii</sup> was tendered, and redye to be payd yn the house of Mr Phillip Henslowe, the daye and yeare aforesayd, which sayd some was to be payd by Edwarde Allene, as aforesayd, before the settinge of the sunne of the same daye, yn the presentes of thos whose names ar herunder wryten, unto Arthure Langworthe gent.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Regarding Thomas Towne, we shall find more in other parts of the Diary. His name has already occurred on p. 6.

<sup>2</sup> Crossed out. There are three other entries on the same page respecting Henslowe's horse at grass with "Mr. Woodcocke of Rotherhithe," which are not erased. Excepting the change of name and dates, they are in the same words.

<sup>3</sup> This entry, respecting Massey, is inserted on a blank space among others of an earlier date: we shall hear of him again hereafter. See also Memoirs of E. Alleyn, p. 109.

<sup>4</sup> Perhaps this memorandum related to some other pecuniary transactions between Alleyn and Langworth, besides the purchase and sale of the parsonage of Firle. The sum does not correspond with the entry on the preceding page. . .

*In the name of God Amen: begynnge one simone and Jewdes  
daye, my lord Admeralles men, as foloweth:*

1596.<sup>1</sup>

27 of October 1596,	Rd at Chynon.....	lij <sup>s</sup>
28 of October 1596,	Rd at Doctore fos-	
tes .....	tes .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
29 of October 1596,	Rd at the frenshe	
Docter .....	Docter .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
1 of novembr 1596,	Rd at longe Meage,	
Alholandaye .....	Alholandaye .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
2 of novembr 1596,	Rd at Chinone of	
Jngland .....	Jngland .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
3 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the cnacke to	
knowe.....	knowe.....	xv <sup>s</sup>
4 of novembr 1596,	Rd at Doctor fostes	xvij <sup>s</sup>
5 of novembr 1596,	Rd at longe Meago	v <sup>s</sup>
6 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the beager ...	xxx <sup>s</sup>
8 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the toye.....	xij <sup>s</sup>
9 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the frenshe	
Docter .....	Docter .....	xijij <sup>s</sup>
10 of novembr 1596,	Rd at chinon .....	x <sup>s</sup>
11 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the vij dayes	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
12 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the beagcr...	xvj <sup>s</sup>
13 of novembr 1596,	Rd at tambercame	xvij <sup>s</sup>
15 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the vij Dayes	xij <sup>s</sup>

*In the name of god, Amen: beginninge the 25 of November 1596,  
as foloweth; the lord admerall players.*

25 of novembr 1596,	Rd at long meage	xj <sup>s</sup>
---------------------	------------------	-----------------

<sup>1</sup> No new plays are included in this brief list; and, excepting on the 1st Nov. (All Saints' Day), and one or two others, the receipts were comparatively small—so small, that no plays appear to have been acted between 15th and the 25th Nov., when a fresh account was opened.

26 of novembr 1596,	Rd at weake .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
27 of novembr 1596,	Rd at the toye ...	xij <sup>s</sup>
2 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at the beager...	xx <sup>s</sup>
4 of Desembr 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Valteger <sup>1</sup> ...	l <sup>s</sup>
5 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at Valteger ...	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
10 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at the beager...	x <sup>s</sup>
11 of Desembr 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Stewtley <sup>2</sup> ...	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
12 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at the vij Dayes	ix <sup>s</sup>
14 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at stewtley ...	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
16 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at Valteger ...	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
17 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at docter fostes	ix <sup>s</sup>
19 of Desembr 1596, <i>ne</i>	Rd at Nabucadoni- nizer, <sup>3</sup> .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
21 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at Valteger ...	xxv <sup>s</sup>
22 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at nabucadonizer	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
23 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at the beager...	ijij <sup>s</sup>
24 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at valteger.....	xij <sup>s</sup>
27 of Desembr 1596, <i>Xmas day</i>	Rd at nabucadoni- nizer <sup>4</sup> .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup> viij <sup>s</sup>
28 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at Stewtley ...	ijij <sup>ii</sup> iiiij <sup>s</sup>
29 of Desembr 1596,	Rd at Valteger ...	xxij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This play has been already mentioned (p. 76) as in preparation by the company. It was now acted for the first time, and the state of the treasury seemed to render a new piece necessary.

<sup>2</sup> The new plays at this period were frequent, as the old stock-pieces do not seem to have been attractive. "Stewtley," or Stutely, has been spoken of as in preparation on p. 77. A drama was printed in 1605 under the title of "The Life and Death of Captain Thomas Stukeley," and it was perhaps the piece here mentioned by Henslowe.

<sup>3</sup> A new play adapted to Christmas; no doubt, founded upon the scriptural story of Nebuchadnezzar.

<sup>4</sup> The figures opposite this and the following entry have been altered: they were originally 25th and 27th December. The receipt was unusually large on both occasions. It would seem as if Christmas day had been kept on the 27th, and that no play was acted on the 25th December.

30 of Desembr 1596, <i>ne</i> Rd at that wilbe shalbe <sup>1</sup> .....	ls
31 of Desembr 1596, Rd at vij dayes ...	vjs
1 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at Valteger ...	xxxxvs
3 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at that wilbe shalbe.....	xxxxij <sup>s</sup>
4 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at nabucadon- izer .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
5 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at docter Fostes	vs
6 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at that wilbe shalbe .....	xxxxij <sup>s</sup>
7 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at Joronymo <sup>2</sup>	ij <sup>li</sup>
8 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at Valteger ...	xij <sup>s</sup>
10 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at stewartley ...	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
11 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at Joronymo...	xxxx <sup>a</sup>
12 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at nabycadnazer	xij <sup>s</sup>
13 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at that wilbe shalbe.....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
14 of Jenewary 1597, <i>ne</i> Rd at Alexsander and Lodwicke <sup>3</sup> .....	lv <sup>s</sup>
15 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at the blinde beager .....	ix <sup>s</sup>
17 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at Joronymo...	xx <sup>s</sup>
18 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at that wilbe shalbe.....	xv <sup>s</sup>
19 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at nabucado- nyzer .....	x <sup>a</sup>
20 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at stewartley ...	xj <sup>s</sup>
21 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at Valteger ...	xij <sup>s</sup>
22 of Jenewary 1597, Rd at Joronymo...	xix <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A new play, of which we know nothing more. Malone prints it “*What will be shall be*,” and so it is sometimes written in the MS.

<sup>2</sup> Probably a revival of the popular play called the Spanish Tragedy.

<sup>3</sup> A new play, founded on the ballad of the two friends, Alexander and Lodowick, unless the ballad were founded upon it.

Janewary	24	Rd at that wilbe shalbe <sup>1</sup> .....	0	17	00	19	07
1597	25	Rd at the blinde beager .....	0	19	03	08	00
	26	Rd at Nabucadonizer .....	0	09	02	00	03
	27	<i>ne</i> Rd at womon hard to please <sup>2</sup> ...	2	11	06	07	08
	28	Rd at longmege .....	0	07	01	30	00
	29	Rd at womon hard to please ...	2	03	04	14	11
	31	Rd at Joronymo .....	01	04	01	15	06
Febreary	1	Rd at womones hard to pleasse	01	05	02	11	02
1597	2	Rd at what wilbe shalbe.....	01	18	01	03	00
Candelmast day	3	Rd at Oserycke .....	01	09	03	12	01
	4	Rd at womon hard to please ...	01	08	04	07	00
	5	Rd at valteger.....	01	09	05	13	09
Shrove munday	7	Rd at oserycke .....	00	14	07	16	00
Shrove tewesday	8	Rd at womon hard to please ...	01	09	01	02	01
	9	Rd at Joronymo .....	00	17	04	15	02
	10	Rd at stewartley .....	00	18	01	01	00
	11	Rd at elexsander and lodwicke	03	05	00	17	00
	12	Rd at alexsander and lodwicke	01	14	09	13	00
Begynnyng in leant	3 <sup>3</sup>	Rd at what wilbe shalbe .....	00	09	00	16	00
Marche	7	Rd at elexsander and lodwicke	01	15	00	13	00
1597	8	Rd at a Womon hard to please	01	05	06	02	01
		Rd at Joronymo .....	01	01	00	03	04

<sup>1</sup> It is not easy to understand what was meant by some of the divisions of the page, now adopted in the MS. The first column clearly gives the month and year with observations; the second the day of the month; the third shows whether the piece was new or old; the fourth and fifth probably contained, in pounds and shillings, expressed in Arabic figures, the sum Henslowe received as his share; but the sixth column must remain matter of conjecture.

<sup>2</sup> This, like other new pieces, was marked in the usual way. See p. 79.

<sup>3</sup> The company seem to have ceased playing from the 12th February to 3d March, when they began again in Lent.

	9	Rd at Lodwicke ... .....	01	16	07	04	00	
	12	Rd at valteger .....	00	18	09	01	04	
	14	Rd at the beager .....	00	18	03	00	00	
	15	Rd at stewartley .....	01	05	00	00	00	
	19	<i>ne</i>	Rd at Guido <sup>1</sup> .....	02	00	00	13	01
	20		Rd at elexsander and Lodwicke	00	17	00	09	02
	21	Rd at nabucadnazer .....	00	05	00	00	03	
	22	Rd at guido .....	01	04	00	03	00	
Easter	28	Rd at a woman hard to please...	01	11	00	00	10	
Munday								
tewsday	29	Rd at Elexsander and lodwicke	02	01	00	04	03	
wensday	30	Rd at guido .....	02	17	00	00	00	
Mr pd <sup>2</sup>	31	Rd at belendon .....	01	15	00	04	03	
Aprelle	1	Rd at blinde beger .....	00	05	03	00	00	
1597	2	Rd at valteger .....	00	04	01	01	00	
	4	Rd at Guido .....	01	08	00	04	03	
	5	Rd at elexsander and lodwicke	01	02	00	03	05	
	6	Rd at what wilbe shalbe .....	00	07	03	00	08	
	7	<i>ne</i>	Rd at v playes in one <sup>3</sup> .....	02	01	00	18	01
	8		Rd at woman hard to please ...	00	05	03	00	00
	11	Rd at belendon .....	01	00	00	04	00	
	12	Rd at eleyxsander and lodwicke	00	14	03	00	01	
	13	Rd at times triumph and Foztus <sup>4</sup>	01	05	01	00	03	
	14	Rd at Stewtley .....	00	17	00	12	00	
	15	Rd at v playes in one .....	01	08	02	00	00	

<sup>1</sup> A new and now unknown play.

<sup>2</sup> The meaning seems to be that the Master of the Revels had been paid up to this date.

<sup>3</sup> Probably five new short plays, each, like the Yorkshire Tragedy, in one act, represented on the same day, and given as one play. See p. 22.

<sup>4</sup> This entry reads as if two different pieces had been performed on the same day. There is a passage in N. Field's *Amends for Ladies* (Suppl. Vol. to Dodsley's *Old Plays*, p. 27), from which we may infer that two dramas were sometimes so given. *Time's Triumph* seems a new title, but it is not marked by Henslowe as a new piece.

18	<i>ne</i>	Rd at a frenshe comodey <sup>1</sup> .....	02	00	01	01	03
19		Rd at belendon .....	00	09	02	00	00
20		Rd at v playes in one .....	00	19	00	07	11
21		Rd at Jeronymo .....	00	17	00	03	04
22		Rd at frenshe comodey .....	01	02	00	17	01
23		Rd at Guido .....	00	16	01	11	00
25		Rd at v playes in one .....	01	13	01	00	00
26		Rd at frenshe comodey .....	01	02	00	17	00
27		Rd at elexsander and lodwick...	01	02	00	00	00
28	M <sup>r</sup> pd	Rd at bellendon .....	01	00	00	13	00
29	<i>ne</i>	Rd at Uterpendragon <sup>2</sup> .....	02	14	01	01	03
30		Rd at what wilbe shalbe.....	00	14	00	17	08
Maye 1597	2	Rd at frenshe comodey .....	01	01	00	09	03
	3	Rd at uterpendragon .....	01	03	00	01	00
	4	Rd at Jeronymo .....	00	11	07	14	00
	5	Rd at frenshe comodey .....	01	07	01	00	00
	6	Rd at v playes in one .....	00	16	00	03	00
	7	Rd at Pendragon .....	00	14	00	04	00
	9	Rd at Lodwicke and elexsander	00	14	00	00	00
	10	Rd at woman hard to plesse ...	00	17	07	10	00
	11	<i>ne</i> Rd at the comodey of Umers <sup>3</sup> ...	02	03	00	13	00

<sup>1</sup> This was a new play, but we have had the title before: see p. 49. It might be a comedy on a French story.

<sup>2</sup> Uther Pendragon was the father of King Arthur. This new play (also called Pendragon) was doubtless founded on the early chronicles.

<sup>3</sup> Malone was of opinion that this piece was Ben Jonson's Every Man in his Humour: if so, we see that it was played by the Lord Admiral's men for the first time on the 11th May 1597, whereas, in the folio edit. of 1616, Ben Jonson informs us expressly that his comedy was "first acted" by the Lord Chamberlain's servants in 1598; therefore, it is nearly certain to have been some other production, and in Henslowe's Diary it is sometimes called merely "Umers," i.e., "Humours." See Collier's Life of Shakespeare, p. clxv., where this point is considered, and reasons are assigned for supposing that our great dramatist was instrumental in the introduction of Ben Jonson to the company, and in the original production of his first play.

	12	Rd at pendragon .....	0	17	00	00	00	
	14	Rd at v playes in one .....	00	07	00	00	00	
Whittsone	16	Rd at pendragon .....	02	19	00	14	00	
munday.	17	Rd at elexsander and lodwicke	03	00	00	03	04	
	18	Rd at stewartley .....	01	12	01	17	00	
	19	Rd at the comody of Umers ...	02	15	00	00	00	
	20	Rd at bellendon .....	00	10	00	00	00	
	21	Rd at frenshe comodey .....	00	14	00	03	06	
	23	Rd at v playes in one .....	01	00	07	00	01	
	24	Rd at comodey of Umers .....	02	18	00	03	02	
	25	Rd at Joronymo .....	00	19	00	14	06	
	26	ne	Rd at Harey the firste life and deth <sup>1</sup> .....	02	10	01	03	09
	27		Rd at womon hard to pleasse ...	00	05	00	00	00
	28	M <sup>r</sup> pd	Rd at elexsander and lodwicke	00	13	01	10	00
	30	Rd Harey the fyrste life and deth	00	19	06	00	00	
	31	Rd at the Umers .....	03	04	01	03	00	
June 1597.	1	Rd at frenshe comodey .....	00	13	00	04	06	
	2	Rd at pendragon .....	00	16	00	04	06	
	3	ne	Rd at Frederycke and basellia <sup>2</sup> .....	02	02	01	13	04
	4		Rd at the comodey of Umers ...	03	06	02	14	06
	6	Rd at what wilbe shalbe .....	00	10	00	16	00	
	7	Rd at the comodey of Umers ...	03	10	00	00	00	
	8	Rd at Harey the firste liffe and death .....	00	12	06	00	00	

<sup>1</sup> Malone puzzled himself (Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 307), by mis-reading "Harey the *fifte*" for "Harey the *firste*," as it undoubtedly stands in the MS. It occurs six times after this note of its earliest performance, and is invariably "Harey the *firste*," generally with the addition of "life and death." Had it been "Harey the *fifte*," it would have been Henslowe's third play on that reign. See p. 61, note 1.

<sup>2</sup> No doubt the same piece as that of which "the plott" once existed at Dulwich, and is printed in Malone's Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 356. The precise nature of the representation it is now perhaps impossible to ascertain. Malone prints this title erroneously "Frederycke and

9	Rd at fredericke and baselia ...	01	00	00	00	00	
10	Rd at v playes in one .....	00	11	03	01	00	
11	Rd at the Umers.....	02	18	00	00	00	
13	Rd at pendragon .....	01	00	00	00	00	
14	Rd at Harey the fyrste life and death .....	00	14	00	00	00	
15	Rd at Bellendon .....	00	13	00	00	00	
16	Rd at frenshe comodey .....	00	07	00	13	06	
17	Rd at comodey of Umers .....	02	10	01	04	01	
18	Rd at fredericke and basilia ...	00	11	00	14	06	
20	Rd at Joronemo .....	00	14	00	00	00	
midsomer	21	Rd at the comodey of Umers...	03	00	00	00	00
daye.	22	Rd at Henges <sup>1</sup> .....	00	06	00	11	06
	23	Rd at frenshe comodey .....	00	08	00	00	00
	24	Rd at harey the firste.....	00	14	00	00	00
	25 M <sup>r</sup> pd	Rd at bellendon .....	00	07	00	00	00
	27	Rd at stewtley.....	00	14	00	01	06
	28	Rd at v playes in one .....	01	00	00	13	11
S. Petters	29	Rd at elexsander and lodwick...	01	02	00	14	00
daye.	30	<i>ne</i> Rd at liffe and death of Marten Swarte <sup>2</sup> .....	02	08	01	11	09

<sup>1</sup> The title of this play has not occurred before, but it is not marked as a new one, and the sum received by Henslowe, 6s., does not look like it. It may have been an old play, introduced in this part of the MS. under a new name. The proper title was probably "Hengist," and there is an existing MS. play called *Hengist King of Kent*.

<sup>2</sup> A new play on the adventures of Martin Swart, who was sent over in 1486 by Margaret, Duchess of Burgundy, to assist the insurrection headed by Lord Lovell. "Martin Swart and all his merry men" are mentioned by Skelton in his poem "Agaynstea comely Coystrowne," &c.; and again in an early morality called "The longer thou livest the more Foole thou art," by W. Wager. The fate of Martin Swart is well known. There were early ballads upon his adventures, which perhaps served as the foundation for this drama, or, at all events, rendered the subject popular.

July 1597.	1	Rd at Harey the firste .....	00	06	01	12	11
	2	Rd at frenshe commodey .....	00	04	02	00	13
	4	Rd at fredericke and baselia ..	01	00	01	14	06
	5	Rd at what wilbe shalbe .....	00	10	02	00	00
	6	Rd at life and deth of marten Swarte .....	02	10	01	13	09
	7	Rd at Comodey of Umers .....	01	18	02	17	01
	8	Rd at wiseman of Weschester ..	01	00	01	00	03
	9	Rd at life and death of marten Swarte .....	01	13	02	13	01
	12	Rd at wismane of weschester...	00	18	00	01	00
	13	Rd at comodey of Umors .....	01	10	01	11	01
	14	Rd at the wiche of Islyngton <sup>1</sup>	01	07	02	00	00
	15	Rd at elexsander and lodwicke	00	08	00	13	00
	16	M <sup>r</sup> pd Rd at frenshe comodey .....	00	09	00	14	00
	18	Rd at wisman .....	01	10	00	00	00
	19	Rd at Jeronemo .....	01	00	01	13	01
	20						
	27	Rd at v playes in one .....	00	14	03	14	00
	28	Rd at the wiche of Islyngton...	01	18	00	13	00
the 18 of							
July 1597 <sup>2</sup>							

<sup>1</sup> The title of this play has not occurred before in the MS, but there is no other reason to think it was a new production. It may have been a stock piece, brought out before the date when the Diary commences.

<sup>2</sup> It is not easy to explain the meaning of this memorandum, because Henslowe is recording the performances of the Lord Admiral's men. Possibly we ought to read "for" *from*; and understand that, at this date, and for a time, Martin Slater, Slaughter, or Slather, went *from* the company. On the other hand, as it is recorded at the same date that the Master of the Revels was paid, it is not unlikely that Henslowe thus registered that Martin Slater had gone to him for the purpose, on behalf of the association. We do not learn elsewhere that he ceased to be one of the Lord Admiral's men, and he seems to have been much too useful to have been readily parted with by Henslowe.

October								
397. In								
the name of								
'od, amen.	11	Rd at Jeroneymo <sup>1</sup> .....	02	00	01	13	00	
re xj of		Rd at the comedey of Umers...	02	00	00	19	00	
stober be-		Rd at Docter fostes.....		0				
ine my		Rd at						
rd adme-	19	Rd at						
ls and my		Rd at Hardacute <sup>3</sup> .....	00	16	00	00	10	
rd of Pem- brokkes	31 ne	Rd at fryer spendelton <sup>4</sup> .....	02	00	01	14	00	
en, to	2	Rd at Burbon .....	00	16	30	12	00	
aye at my	3	Rd at Knewtus .....	00	10	00	14	00	
howsse,	4	Rd at Umers .....	00	16	03	00	01	
1597.	5	Rd at fryer spendelton .....	00	14	01	14	01	

The Mr payde the 2 of novembr 1597  
for iij weckes, the some of xxxx<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The entries here become irregular, and what ought to have been the heading of the account is inserted in the margin.

<sup>2</sup> If any plays were performed at this date by the Lord Admiral's and Lord Pembroke's players, both the titles and sums are wanting in the MS. "My house," in the margin, probably meant the Rose; but Henslowe seems to have had some concern with the Hope theatre.

<sup>3</sup> Ought we not to read *Hardiknute*? Afterwards we have *Knewtus* for *Canutus*, meaning, no doubt, the same drama.

<sup>4</sup> This new play is mentioned in a Medley Ballad of the time of Queen Elizabeth, but the subject of it is not known: the words of the ballad (pr. by E. Alde without date) are—

"Friar Spendleton, the play,  
Carried it away;"

which serves to show that it was popular.

<sup>5</sup> Another proof of the monthly payment of 40<sup>s</sup>. to the Master of the Revels. The house had been open rather more than three weeks.

Lent unto my fellow, M<sup>r</sup> Vallantyne Haris,<sup>1</sup> one of  
the gromes of her Ma<sup>tis</sup> chamber, the 8 of aguste  
1598, in Redey money, the some of three powndes,  
to be payd me agayne at his Retorn owt of the  
contrey. I say lent ..... ..... ..... }  
iiij<sup>l</sup>

Wittnes Hareys brother in lawe.

Lent unto phillipe Yearen, the 4 of Jenewary 1599, }  
in Redy money, the some of I say..... }  
Pd x<sup>s</sup>. PHILLIP HERNE.<sup>2</sup> xx<sup>a</sup>

Lent unto Thomas Towne, the 3 of march 1600, }  
p<sup>d</sup> upon a gowld Ringe with a grene stone in it, the }  
some of ..... ..... } xx<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Thomas towne, by my wiffe, the 13 of  
marche 1601, upon a payre of sylcke stockens,  
tenne shellens, w<sup>ch</sup> stockens he fetched agayne  
and payd us not; so oweth us styllle..... }  
x<sup>s</sup>

### 1599.

Received of M<sup>r</sup> Henselowe, in earnest of the tragedie of  
Merie, the some of xx<sup>s</sup>, the 27 of novbr.

W. HAUGHTON. J. D.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Several entries precede this, of money advanced to Mr. Arthur Langworth, and to two persons not named, which appear to have no connexion with theatrical affairs. We are to understand that Valentine Harris was Henslowe's "fellow," not as an actor, which Henslowe seems never to have been, but as a fellow-groom of the chamber.

<sup>2</sup> Philip Herne, or Hearn, was an actor who never attained much celebrity. The sum advanced to him was struck through with a pen in the MS., probably after it was repaid.

<sup>3</sup> This entry is in the handwriting of Haughton, who also added the initials of John Day. "The tragedy of Merie" (afterwards called "the tragedy of Thonias Merrye") may have been upon the same incidents as one of the plots of Yarrington's "Two Tragedies in One," (printed in

Received of Mr Henselow, in earnest of the Orphanes Tragedy, the somme of x<sup>s</sup>, the 27<sup>th</sup> of november.

Rec<sup>d</sup> of Mr Hinchloe more, in ernest of the Tragedy of Thomas Merry, 20<sup>s</sup>.

JOH. DAY.

W. HAUGHTON.

Rec<sup>d</sup> more of Mr Hinchloe, upon the same booke, 10<sup>s</sup>.

By JOHN DAY.<sup>1</sup>

Lent unto harey Chettell, the 27 of novmbr 1599, }  
in earneste of a Boocke called the orphenes tra- }  
gedie, the some of x<sup>s</sup>, as may apeare above by }  
his hand crossed: some of.....} x<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Harton,<sup>2</sup> the 2 of febreyary 1599, the }  
some of .....} v<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Mr Jonnes, the 4 of aguste 1601, in }  
Redy mony, the some of twenty shellenges, w<sup>ch</sup> }  
he leant unto Richard Weabe .....} xx<sup>s</sup>

Received in pt of painment of Damon and Pythias, }  
this 16 of February 1599 .....} xx<sup>s</sup>  
By me, HENRY CHETTLE.<sup>3</sup>

1601), which relates to the murder of a person of the name of Beech by his servant Thomas Merry, and to the killing of the children in the wood. This double story is strangely interwoven.

<sup>1</sup> This, and the preceding entry, are in the handwriting of John Day; but the first is signed by Haughton.

<sup>2</sup> Of course the same dramatist whose name is usually spelt Haughton, as, indeed, he writes it himself.

<sup>3</sup> Other entries, preceding and following this (which is in Chettle's handwriting), are crossed out, and part has been cut away.

Lent the 14 may 1597, to Jubie, uppon a notte  
 from Nashe, twentie shellinges more, for the  
 Jylle of dogges, w<sup>ch</sup> he is wrytinge for the com-  
 pany<sup>1</sup> .....

Lent Harey Porter, the 11 of aprill 1599, the some of ij<sup>s</sup> vj<sup>d</sup>  
 Lent Harey Porter, the 16 of aprell 1599, the some of xij<sup>d</sup>  
 Lent Harey Porter, the 5 of may 1599, the some of ij<sup>s</sup> vj<sup>d</sup>  
 Lent Harey Porter, the 15 of maye 1599, the some of ij<sup>s</sup> vj<sup>d</sup>

HENRY PORTER.

Be it knowne unto all men, that I, Henry Porter, do owe  
 unto Phillip Henchlowe the some of x<sup>s</sup>, of lawfull money of  
 England, w<sup>ch</sup> I did borrowe of hym the 26 of maye, a<sup>o</sup> dom.  
 1599.

HENRY PORTER.<sup>2</sup>

Received of M<sup>r</sup> Phillip Hinchlow, the som of six  
 shillinges. I say receved six shillinges for my  
 selfe.....] vj<sup>s</sup>

By me, THOMAS DOWNTON.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> At this date, 14th May 1597, Nash was writing his play of the Isle of Dogs for Henslowe. A subsequent memorandum, dated 23d August 1597, shows that he was then in confinement in the Fleet for having composed such a piece, which had been acted. Gabriel Harvey in his "Trimming of Thomas Nash," (published in the name of Litchfield) 4to, 1597, inserts a wood-cut representing him in fetters, and a fac-simile of it may be seen in the Bridgewater Cat., p. 213.

<sup>2</sup> The whole of this acknowledgment is in Porter's handwriting. He affixed only his signature to the preceding entries. He was the author of the Two Angry Women of Abingdon, 1599, 4to., which, together with its second part (which has not survived), will be found hereafter mentioned.

<sup>3</sup> This actor's name is sometimes spelt Dowton, but he here gives it himself Downton. On p. 72 it is also Downton.

Lent unto John Daye, the 4 of Jeneuary 1599, in }  
 Redy mony, the some of ..... }  
 wittnes EDWARD ALLEYN.<sup>1</sup> }

v<sup>s</sup>

Received by me, william Haughton, for the use of }  
 Thomas Dickers, on the 30 of Januarie, the some }  
 of ..... }  
 In parte paymente for the booke of truths suppli-  
 cation to candle light.<sup>2</sup> }

20<sup>s</sup>

I received forty shillinges of Mr Phillip Hinslowe, }  
 in part of vj<sup>h</sup>, for the playe of Willm Longsword, }  
 to be delivered present[ly], with[in] 2 or three }  
 dayes, the xxj<sup>th</sup> of January 1598 ..... }

xxxx<sup>s</sup>MIC. DRAYTON.<sup>3</sup>

The 1 of novembr 1599.

W. Haughton received of Mr Hinslowe, in parte of paye-  
 ment of the tragedie of John Cox, the some of ..... 20<sup>s</sup>

Willyam Haughton receyved of Mr Hinchloe, in part of  
 paymente of the Tragedy of Cox of Collumpton, the some  
 of ..... 20<sup>s</sup>

pd and quite<sup>4</sup>

JOHN DAYE.

<sup>1</sup> This is not signed by Alleyn, but Henslowe's scribe made a memo-  
 randum that Alleyn had seen the money paid to Day.

<sup>2</sup> Thomas Dickers is, of course, Thomas Dekker, whose name, like  
 others at that date, was variously spelt. No such play as Truth's Sup-  
 plication to Candle-light (like many others included in this Diary)  
 is now known.

<sup>3</sup> The whole entry was written, or rather scribbled, by Drayton, who  
 was in such haste that he wrote "present" for *presently*, and "with" for  
*within*, near the end of it.

<sup>4</sup> These words, "pd and quite," were added by Henslowe's scribe. The  
 first of the two entries, regarding the tragedy of John Cox of Collompton,  
 was written by Haughton, and the second by Day.

Received, in earnest of patient Grissell, by us, ]  
 Tho Dekker, Hen Chettle, and Willm Hawton,  
 the summe of 3<sup>u</sup> of good and lawfull money, by }  
 a note sent from M<sup>r</sup> Robt Shaa, the 19 of decem- }  
 ber 1599 ..... } ij<sup>u</sup>

By me, HENRY CHETTLE.

W. HAUGHTON.

THOMAS DEKKER.<sup>1</sup>

Lent unto John Pallmer, grome of the Queenes ]  
 Chamber, the 5 of febreyar 1598, the some of  
 twentie shillinges, in Redy money, and wittnes }  
 to the lendinge of the same ..... } xx<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto John Pallmer, the 8 of July 1599, when ]  
 he playd a[t] shove groate<sup>2</sup> at the cort. Redy }  
 mony ..... } vs

M<sup>r</sup> GRIFFIN, at the hachette.

M<sup>r</sup> DRAYTON.

HAREY CHETTELLE.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This memorandum ascertains that the "pleasant Comodie of Patient Grissill" was the authorship of Chettle, Haughton, and Dekker: it has been reprinted by the Shakespeare Society, and the entry is quoted in the Introduction. Robert Shaa, or Shaw, was an actor under Henslowe, and sometimes appears to have managed matters relating to the company. The only other printed specimen of Haughton's abilities is a comedy of considerable humour called *Englishmen for my Money*, printed in 1616, 1626, and 1631.

<sup>2</sup> Shove-groat was the name of a game, probably similar to what was called shovel-board. The three witnesses were perhaps present at the Court on the occasion.

<sup>3</sup> These names were written by Henslowe's scribe, as witnesses to the transaction: the witnesses to the preceding loan on 5 Feb. 1598, if indeed there were any, are omitted. The words, "at the hachette," must mean that the hatchet was the sign of the house at which Griffin resided.

Lent unto John Pallmer, grome of the Quenes  
chamber, the 7 of aprell 1599, the some of fortye  
shellinges. I say ..... }  
} xxxx<sup>s</sup>

Wittnes HEWE DAVES.

As maye a pere by his Bande.<sup>1</sup>

John Pallmer owth me more, the some of w<sup>ch</sup> was  
my wages, w<sup>ch</sup> he tocke up and spent at his  
wiffes linge in<sup>2</sup> ..... }  
} lv<sup>s</sup>

Receaved by us, Richard Hathway and William Rankins,  
in pt of payment for the playe of Hannibal and Scipio, the  
summe of forty shillynges, we say receaved the 3 daye of  
Januarye 1600 ..... xxxx<sup>s</sup>

By us RI. HATHWAYE.

W. RANKINS.<sup>3</sup>

Rd of W<sup>m</sup> Birde at severalle times, as foloweth, begininge  
the 17 of June 1598.

<sup>1</sup> Palmer having given his bond to Henslowe for the money.

<sup>2</sup> Wages were due to Henslowe, as one of the grooms of the chamber,  
which Palmer seems to have borrowed, and spent at the lying-in of his wife.

<sup>3</sup> William Rankins pursued a course directly opposite to that of  
Stephen Gosson: Stephen Gosson wrote plays, then repented, and pro-  
duced violent attacks upon the stage: Rankins printed his "Mirror for  
Monsters" in 1587, an invective against theatrical representations, and  
we subsequently find him, as is shewn by this entry, joining Richard  
Hathway in the composition of Hannibal and Scipio. Thomas Nabbes  
wrote a tragedy called Hannibal and Scipio, which was printed in 1637;  
and he may have been indebted to the older play by Hathway and  
Rankins. This memorandum is followed by a detailed account of the  
expenses Henslowe had incurred "for byldinge of my howsse upon  
the bancksyde, which was goodman Deres, 1599." It contains nothing  
theatrical; but Street, the builder of the Globe and Fortune, was the  
carpenter employed.

Rd the 17 of June 1598<sup>1</sup> ..... vs

Pd this 23 of aguste 1597, to harey Porter, to carye to  
 T. Nashe, nowe at this time in the Flete, for wrytinge  
 of the eylle of Dogges, ten shellinges, to be paid agen  
 to me when he canne. I saye ten shellinges<sup>2</sup> ..... } xs

Rd of gabrell Spenser,<sup>3</sup> at severall tymes, of his share in  
 the gallereyes, as foloweth, be gynynge the 6 of aprell 1598.

Rd the 6 of aprell 1598	.....	vs vjd
Rd the 14 of maye 1598	.....	vijs
Rd the 27 of maye 1598	.....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 17 of June 1598	.....	vs
Rd the 24 of June 1598	.....	iiij <sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Mr Jones, Robart Shawe, Thomas Dowton,  
 W<sup>m</sup> Birde, the same time they pd Mr Langleyes his money  
 for the agreement, and feched home the Riche clocke frome  
 pane, which the stocke is not to paye, but thes meane: I saye  
 lent in Readey money the some of iiij<sup>li</sup>, the 4 of octobr  
 1598 ..... iiij<sup>li</sup>

wittnes JEWBY.

JOHN SYNGER.<sup>4</sup>

THOMAS TOWNE.

<sup>1</sup> This is the only entry under the preceding head.

<sup>2</sup> Respecting Nash's play, the Isle of Dogs, see Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i., 307; and Collier's Shakespeare, i., clxx.

<sup>3</sup> This is the player whom Ben Jonson killed in a duel in Hoxton Fields, according to Henslowe's letter in the Memoirs of Edward Alleyn, p. 51. His name occurs several times before, but never after the date of Henslowe's letter, 26 Sept. 1598. If there were originally any more items in this account, they have been cut away.

<sup>4</sup> This is not Singer's signature, nor that of Jewby, or Towne, but of Henslowe's scribe. The words, "frome pane," mean from *pawn*, the

Lent unto Antoney Jeaffes,<sup>1</sup> the 11 of Aprell 1599, ]  
 in Redey money, to by divers thinges ageanst }  
 sente gorges daye, the some of ..... }  
 wittnes BEATTRES.

Pd unto Mr Blunsones, the Mr of the Revelles man, ]  
 this 27 of aguste 1597, ten shellinges, for newes }  
 of the restraynte beinge recalled by the lordes of }  
 the Queenes counsel<sup>2</sup> ..... }  
 x<sup>s</sup>

*A Juste acownte of all suche money as I dooe Receve for Umfrey  
 Jeaffes and antoney Jeaffes, begenyng the 29 of Aprell 1598,  
 as foloweth of the companey :*

Rd the 29 of aprell 1598.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 7 of aprell <sup>3</sup> 1598 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 14 of maye 1598.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 20 of maye 1598.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 27 of maye 1598.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>

rich cloak having been pledged, and Henslowe registering that the money was not to be paid out of the stock of the company, but by "these men:" viz., Jones, Shawe, Dowton or Downton, and Birde. This memorandum and the next are crossed out in the MS.

<sup>1</sup> There were two actors of the name of Jeffes, and perhaps they were related to Abel Jeffes, the printer of the first *authorized* edition of Nash's "Pierce Penniless's Supplication." See Shakespeare Society's reprint, Introd., p. xiii. The name of Humphrey Jeffes, perhaps brother to Anthony, occurs in the next account.

<sup>2</sup> The restraint upon the company, in consequence of the offensive performance of Nash's Isle of Dogs, had by this date been recalled, and Henslowe paid Blunson ten shillings for bringing the welcome news.

<sup>3</sup> This date ought obviously to be the 7th of May 1598, but Henslowe's scribe sometimes commits such errors, where they occasion more confusion than in the present instance. Still lower down in this account, and elsewhere, he makes June have thirty-one days.

Rd the 3 of June 1598 .....	.....	.....	v <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 10 of June 1598 .....	.....	.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 17 of June 1598 .....	.....	.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 24 of June 1598 .....	.....	.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 31 of June 1598 .....	.....	.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 8 of July 1598 .....	.....	.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 14 of July 1598 .....	.....	.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 21 of July 1598 .....	.....	.....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>

Lente unto humfrey Jeaffes, the 6 of aprell 1598, in Redy  
money, xx<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Umfrey Jeaffes, the 5 of Septemb 1598, }  
to be a payer of silke stockenes ..... } xv<sup>s</sup>  
pd and quite. Lent unto Umfrey Jeffes, the 12 }  
desembr 1599, the some of ..... } x<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Mr Richard Jonnes,<sup>1</sup> player, the 2 of }  
June 1599, to be payd me agayne by x<sup>s</sup> a wecke, }  
the some of fyve pownds, to be gene at the daye }  
above written: I saye lent Redy mony ..... } vli  
pd and quite.<sup>2</sup>

*Rd of Mr Jonnes, player, of this v<sup>h</sup> above written as foloweth  
1599.*

Rd the 7 of June 1599 .....	.....	.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 14 of June 1599 .....	.....	.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 21 of June 1599 .....	.....	.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 28 of June 1599 .....	.....	.....	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Richard Jones may also have been the bookseller, or related to the bookseller, who published the *unauthorized* impression of Nash's "Pierce Penniless's Supplication" in 1592. In the Alleyn Papers, p. 19, will be seen a letter from Richard Jones to Alleyn.

<sup>2</sup> i.e., Paid and quit, according to the account which succeeds, which, as well as this memorandum, is crossed out in the MS.

Rd the 6 of July 1599 .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 13 of July 1599 .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 20 of July 1599 .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 27 of July 1599 .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 5 of aguste 1599 .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 15 of septembr 1599 .....	x <sup>s</sup>

pd and quite.

Received as folowethe of the company of my lorde Admeralls mean, from the 2 of aprell 1598, at divers tymes, as foloweth.

Rd the 2 of Aprell 1598 .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 9 of Aprell 1598 .....	ijj <sup>ii</sup> vij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 14 of Aprell 1598 .....	lvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 22 of Aprell 1598 .....	vj <sup>ii</sup> iij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 29 of Aprell 1598 .....	lij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 6 of aprell <sup>1</sup> 1598 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 14 of maye 1598 .....	v <sup>ii</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 20 of maye 1598 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> vj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 27 of maye 1598 .....	ijj <sup>ii</sup> iiiij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 3 of June 1598 .....	lij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 10 of June 1598 .....	v <sup>ii</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup> vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 17 of June 1598 .....	ijj <sup>ii</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 24 of June 1598 .....	v <sup>ii</sup> vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 31 of June 1598 .....	v <sup>ii</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup> ij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 8 of July 1598 <sup>2</sup> .....	lij <sup>s</sup> vij <sup>d</sup>

Lent unto thomas towne, the 26 of aprell  
1600, in Redy mony, the some of. .... } x<sup>s</sup>

Borrowed of Mr. phyllip Henslowe, the xj<sup>th</sup> of november

<sup>1</sup> Meaning, of course, the 6th May 1598. Lower down we again have "31st of June."

<sup>2</sup> The addition of these payments, inserted in the margin, is not legible, but it is of no importance.

1597, the some of xl<sup>s</sup>, to be payd on the x<sup>th</sup> of December next ensuinge

By me ROBT SHAA.<sup>1</sup>

*A Juste acounte of the money which I have Received of Humfreye Jeaffes halfe sheare,<sup>2</sup> beginyng the 14 of Jeneruary 1597, as foloweth:—*

Rd the 21 of Jeneruary 1597.....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 28 of Jeneruary 1598 <sup>3</sup> .....	iij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 4 of febreary 1598.....	xj <sup>s</sup> vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 11 of febreary 1598 .....	vj <sup>s</sup> vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 18 of febreary 1598 .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 25 of febreary 1598 .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 4 of marche 1598 .....	xij <sup>s</sup>

This some was payd backe agayne unto the companey of my lord admeralles players, the 8 of marche 1598, and they shared y<sup>t</sup> a mongste them: I saye pd backe agayne the some of iij<sup>l</sup>.

*A Juste acounte of all suche monye as I have Received of my lord admeralles and my lord of penbrocke men,<sup>4</sup> as foloweth, begynyng the 21 of octobr 1597:—*

Rd the 21 of octobr 1597 .....	vli	j <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 28 of octobr 1597 .....	iij <sup>li</sup>	xj <sup>s</sup>	x <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 30 of octobr 1597 .....	iij <sup>li</sup>		

<sup>1</sup> This memorandum is entirely in Shaw's handwriting.

<sup>2</sup> Hence we see that Humphrey Jeffes, whatever might be the case with Anthony, was only a half-sharer in the company.

<sup>3</sup> In this account Henslowe makes the 21st January fall in 1597, and the 28th of the same month in 1598: the error of course arose from the confusion in the time of commencing the new year. For new-year gifts, &c., the year began at this date on the 1st January, but for other purposes generally on the 26th March.

<sup>4</sup> Probably the Lord Admiral's and Lord Pembroke's players had been acting in conjunction. See an entry on the next page.

Rd the 5 of novmbr 1597 .....	liij <sup>s</sup>	x <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 12 of novmbr 1597.....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 19 of novmbr 1597.....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 26 of novmbr 1597.....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 3 of desembr 1597.....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 10 of desembr 1597.....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 17 of desembr 1597.....	xxxxix <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 30 of desembr 1597, beinge crys- mas weacke <sup>1</sup> .....	viij <sup>ii</sup>	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 7 of Janewary 1597.....		xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 14 of Janewary 1597 .....		l <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 21 of Jenewary 1597 .....	ijj <sup>ii</sup>	ix <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 28 of Janewary 1598 .....		xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 4 of febreyary 1598 .....	v <sup>ii</sup>	ix <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 11 of febreyary 1598 .....		lvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 18 of febreyary 1598 .....	ijj <sup>ii</sup>	4 <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 25 of febreyary 1598 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>	ix <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 4 of marche 1598.....	v <sup>ii</sup>	xij <sup>s</sup>
		iiij <sup>d</sup>

Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 25 of }  
aprell 1598, in Redy mony, the some of }  
    looke the 4 leafe forwarde<sup>2</sup>      v<sup>8</sup>

E. ALLEYN.

<sup>1</sup> Shewing the greater receipts during the holidays.

<sup>2</sup> *Sic* in MS.; but nothing referable to the subject is to be found on the fourth leaf either way: on the page immediately following are several memoranda of money advanced to Dowton, or Downton.

<sup>3</sup> This play could not have been "the Coblers Prophesie," by R. Wilson, which was printed in 1594, and written considerably earlier.

Lent unto Robart shawe, the 5 of novmbr 1597, to by a boocke of yonge Horton,<sup>1</sup> for the company of my lord admeralles men, and my lord of pen-  
brockes, the some of .....

wittnes

E. ALLEYN.

x<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 26 of novembr 1597, to by viij yds of clothe of gowld, the some of fower powndes: I saye lent for the usse of the company... ]

iiij<sup>ii</sup>

Lent unto Robart shawe, to geve to the tayller to bye tensell for Bornes womones gowne,<sup>2</sup> the j of desembr 1597..... ]

ix<sup>s</sup>

Lent Thomas Dowton, the 12 of novembr 1597, in Redy money, the some of .....

x<sup>s</sup>

Lent Thomas Dowton, the 16 of novembr 1597, in Redy money, the some of .....

v<sup>s</sup>

Lent Thomas Dowton, the 20 of novembr 1597, in Redy money, the some of .....

v<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 24 of novmbr 1597, in Redy money, w<sup>ch</sup> Robart shawe gave his worde for y<sup>t</sup> to be payd me agayne with in one fortnyght next folowinge, wittnes to the same Edward Alleyn .....

xxxx<sup>s</sup>

unless it were some revival of the piece, with additions and alterations, in 1597, to give it novelty. This and the entry following are witnessed by E. Alleyn, in his own handwriting.

<sup>1</sup> William Haughton, at this date a young dramatist.

<sup>2</sup> Hence we may infer that William Borne (whose proper name seems to have been Birde) played female characters in the company.

Lent thomas Dowton, to fee a cown-seller, the 12 of desember.....}

x<sup>s</sup>

Lent Thomas Dowton, to featche ij clockes owt of pane, the 2 of novmbr 1597, the some of xij<sup>h</sup> x<sup>s</sup>; for w<sup>ch</sup> money thes ij clockes were leafte unto me in pane: the one wasse and embrodered clocke of ashe colerd vellvet, the other a blacke vellvett clocke layd with sylke laces abowt. I saye lent unto hime in Redy money .....

xij<sup>li</sup>x<sup>s</sup>

Rd of the companey of my lorde admeralles men, in pte of payment, the firste of desember 1597, of Robarte shawe, the some of.....

xx<sup>s</sup>

Layd owt for the company of my lord admeralls men, for to by tafetie and tynsell to macke a payer of bodeyes for a womones gowne, to playe allece perce,<sup>1</sup> for w<sup>ch</sup> I dellyvered unto the littell tayllor in Redey money, the 8 of desembr 1597, the some of .....

xx<sup>s</sup>

wittnes

E. ALLEYN.

Layd owt mor the same tyme for makynge and a payer of yeare sleavfe, of the bodeyes of Pages gowne<sup>2</sup> .....

vj<sup>s</sup> vij<sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The play of Alice Pierce was not actually brought out until some time afterwards. It nowhere appears in the Diary by whom it was written.

<sup>2</sup> i.e., *Hair sleeves* for the play founded upon the story of the murder of Page, at Plymouth. Several ballads were written upon the subject at this date, and have come down to us; but Malone, not being acquainted with them, calls the tragedy "*Peg of Plymouth*," and sometimes *Pyg*.

Lent unto Bengemen Johnsone, the  
3 of desembr 1597, upon a Booke w<sup>ch</sup>  
he was to writte for us befor crysmas  
next after the date herof, w<sup>ch</sup> he showed  
the plotte unto the company: I saye  
lente in Redy money unto hime the  
some of<sup>1</sup>.....

xx<sup>s</sup>

Lente unto Robarte shawe, for to by  
copper lace of sylver, to lace a payer of  
hosse for alles perce, the 10 of desembr  
1597, the some of .....

xvj<sup>s</sup>Wittnes W<sup>m</sup> BORNE, JUBE

and GABRELL SPENCER.

Layd owt for ij gyges, for Shawe and  
his companye,<sup>2</sup> to ij yonge men, the 12  
of desembr 1597, the some of .....

vj<sup>s</sup> 8<sup>d</sup>

Layde owt, the 22 of desembr 1597,  
for a boocke called mother Redcape, to  
antony monday and M<sup>r</sup> Drayton.....

ijj<sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Quoted by Malone, in Shakespeare, by Boswell, iii., 333. Ben Jonson was to finish the play between Dec. 3 and Christmas; but he had proceeded so far as to have shown the plot of it to the company, and no doubt had written much of it. It will be observed that the date of this transaction is about three-quarters of a year before "Benjamin Jonson, bricklayer," as Henslowe calls him in his letter (Mem. of E. Alleyn, p. 51), killed Gabriel Spenser (whose name occurs just below) in Hoxton Fields. See also p. 80, for a still earlier memorandum of the connexion between Ben Jonson and Henslowe.

<sup>2</sup> This entry looks as if Shawe were at the head of the company at this date, and his name is often prominent. What was meant by "ij gyges" must be matter of speculation—probably "jigs," a species of comic performance by clowns, regarding which see Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, iii., 376.

Layd owt the 28 of desembr 1597, to  
intoney monday toward his boocke, }  
which I delyvered to thomas Dowton<sup>1</sup> } v<sup>s</sup>

*Ano Do. 1595, the xxvij<sup>th</sup> of november.*

Reseved of M<sup>r</sup> Henslow, the day and yeare above written,  
the Som of syx poundes of curant mony of England, and is  
n part of a mor som by twyxt the sayd Phillyp Henslow  
and me consarning a bargen of the bear-garden. I say  
Reseved..... vj<sup>li</sup>

By me JOHN MAULHOUSE.<sup>2</sup>

Wittnes I E. ALLEYN.

*Layd owt at sundrey tymes, of my owne Readey money, about  
the changinge of our comysion, as foloweth, 1597:—*

Layd owt for goinge to the corte, to the M <sup>r</sup> of the Requeastes .....	xij <sup>d</sup>
Layd owt for goinge to corte ij .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Geven unto the clarcke of the senetes <sup>3</sup> man, Edward .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for goinge to the corte to the senet ...	xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for goinge up and downe to the corte to grenwiche .....	vij <sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This memorandum and the one which precedes it, no doubt, had reference to the same play, viz., *Mother Redeap*, by Anthony Munday, and Michael Drayton. Henslowe's Diary is the only source of information respecting any such piece.

<sup>2</sup> The nature of this bargain, about the Bear-garden, where Henslowe and Alleyn acted as deputies to Dorington, the Master of the Games, nowhere appears. It seems unlikely, from the date, that the items under the next head relate to the same transaction, although "our commission" must have meant the power under which they baited bears, bulls, and horses, for public amusement.

<sup>3</sup> "Clarcke of the senetes man" is, of course, *Clerk of the Signets' man*.

Itm pd for goinge up and downe to caylleng crosse to the clarke <sup>1</sup> .....	vjd
Itm pd unto the clarke of the senette .....	xxxxs
Itm pd unto the clarke's mane .....	vs
Itm pd for goinge up and downe to sencaterens, Mr Seser <sup>2</sup> .. .. .. ..	iiijd

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Borne, the 12 of desembr  
1597, in Redey money, to be pd unto me agayne  
at crysmas eve next comynge, the some of twenty  
shyllinges: I saye lent.....} xx<sup>s</sup>

Wittnes      ROBART SHAWE  
                  THOMAS DOWTON  
                  and E. ALLEYN.

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Borne, the 19 of desembr 1597, in  
Redey money, to be payd me agayen at crystmas  
eve next comynge, the some of thirtene shillinges: } xij<sup>s</sup>  
I saye lent .....

wittnes THOMAS DOWTON bigger boye,<sup>3</sup>  
whome fetched y<sup>t</sup> fore hime.

Layd owt for a wascotte, wraght with sylke, for } xx<sup>s</sup>  
W<sup>m</sup> Borne, the 24 of febreyary 1598, the some of }  
      looke the next leaffe folowinge.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Probably *Charing Cross* is meant by "Caylleng crosse," but we meet nowhere else in the Diary with the same specimen of ignorance.

<sup>2</sup> Mr. Cæsar, afterwards Sir Julius Cæsar, who lived at St. Catherine's, and was Master of the Requests.

<sup>3</sup> The players engaged boys as a species of apprentices, and Thomas Downton had two, one of them being distinguished as his "bigger boye." The same actor's "bigger boye" is again mentioned afterwards in a repetition of this item.

<sup>4</sup> This note refers to entries on the next leaf, some of which are erased repetitions of what are here found. In one instance, "Borne, alias Bird," is charged 13s. 4d., for what, on a preceding page, is only stated at 6s. 8d.,

Rd, the 31 of october 1597, of Mr. Phillip Henchlowe, the Summe of xiiij<sup>s</sup> ij<sup>d</sup>, for one quarters rent, due at Michaelmas last past, and is to the use of Harry Weadover. I say Rd ..... } xiiij<sup>s</sup> ij<sup>d</sup>  
 p me RA. CARTER.<sup>1</sup>

Pd unto Thomas Whittle, the 2 of Jenewary 1597, the some of xxxx<sup>s</sup>, which was dewe unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revelles for one monethe playinge, which was dewe unto him the 28 of Desembr 1597. I saye pd ..... } xxxx<sup>s</sup>

Pd unto Thomas Whittle, the 22 of Jenewary 1598, the some of xxxx<sup>s</sup>, w<sup>ch</sup> was dewe unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revelles for one moneth playinge, w<sup>ch</sup> was dewe unto him the 21 of Jenewary 1598. I saye pd ..... } xxxx<sup>s</sup>

Pd unto John Carnab, the 23 of febreyary 1598, the some of xxxx<sup>s</sup>, which [was] dew unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revells for one moneth playinge. I saye pd ..... } xxxx<sup>s</sup>

*W<sup>m</sup> Borne, alles Birde, 1598, deatte as foloweth.*

Lent W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 29 of marche 1598, to dis- charge the areaste betwext Langleye and him ...	vjs viij <sup>d</sup>
Lent W <sup>m</sup> Borne, by my wiffe, the 3 of aguste 1598 .....	
Lent W <sup>m</sup> Borne, to folowe the sute agenste Tho- mas Poope, <sup>2</sup> the 30 of aguste 1598, by my wiffe	x <sup>s</sup>

viz., when he was discharged from Langleye's arrest. He is also made debtor twice over for 20s., lent to buy him a pair of silk stockings.

<sup>1</sup> This memorandum is in Carter's handwriting. The "rent" might be the ground-rent of the Rose.

<sup>2</sup> Thomas Pope was a comic actor of great eminence, and in 1596 was one of the company at the Blackfriars. No explanation is found in any part of the MS. respecting this law "suit" between him and Borne.

Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 9 of aguste 1598, the some of viij <sup>s</sup> , which thomas towne feched for hime: I saye .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Lent W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 27 of septmbr 1598, when he roade to Croyden, to ther lorde, <sup>1</sup> when the quene came thether .....	
Lent W <sup>m</sup> Birde, ales Borne, the 27 of novembr, to bye a payer of sylke stockens, to playe the Gwisse in .....	xx <sup>s</sup> .
Lent W <sup>m</sup> Borne, to bye his stockens for the gwisse <sup>2</sup> .....	

*W<sup>m</sup> Bornes, alles birde, Recknyng, player: at severall times lent  
as foloweth, 1597.*

Lent W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 12 of desembr 1597, in Redy moneye, to be payde me agayne at crysmas eve next comynge, the sume of twenty shillynges. wittnes Robart shawe, Thomas Dowton, and E. Alleyn .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent W <sup>m</sup> borne, the 19 of desembr 1597, in Redey money, to be payd me agayne at crysmas eve next comynge, thirten shillinges. Wittines Tho- mas Dowtons bigger boye, whome feched yt for hime .....	
Layd owt for a wraght wascotte for W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 24 of febreary 1598, the some of .. ..	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "Ther Lorde" probably means the Lord Admiral, whom the Queen was at this date visiting, and when the attendance of his company of players was required for her Majesty's entertainment.

<sup>2</sup> "The Gwisse" has been supposed to be "The Guise," i.e., Marlowe's play of "the Massacre at Paris." (See p. 30.) This was possibly some revival of the older drama, with additions and alterations; but John Webster, at a subsequent date, was the author of a play, which he himself calls "The Guise." See the Ded. to his "Devil's Law Case," 1623.

Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> borne, Thomas Dowton, and gabrell Spencer, abowt the sewt be twext marten and them, <sup>1</sup> the 8 of marche 1598, in Redy money, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 25 of marche 1598, in Redey money, at ij paymentes, the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent W <sup>m</sup> borne, to discarge the areaste of Langleyes, 29 marche 1598 .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>

*A Just Recknyng what I have Received of W<sup>m</sup> Borne. W<sup>m</sup> Borne for xx<sup>s</sup>, wh<sup>c</sup> I lent him to by a wragh wascotte, as foloweth, 1598.*

Rd the 25 of febreyar 1598 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 27 of febreyar 1598 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 1 of marche 1598 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 4 of marche 1598 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>

Borrowde of Mr Phillip Hinchlow, the 3 of apriell 1598, the some of 3 powndes, in redye monye, to be payd att what time he shall call. By me, WILLIAM BIRDE.<sup>2</sup> I say borrowed iij<sup>ii</sup>

Be it knownen unto all men, by thes presentes, that I, gabrell Spencer, dothe aknowledge my sealfe to owe and stande fermly in deated unto phillipe Hensley the some of fower powndes, of good and lafull money of Inglande. Looke iij leaves further.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "Marten" is probably Martin Slaughter or Slater, with whom Borne, Dowton, and Gabriel Spenser had had some dispute at law. This fact may confirm the supposition that Slaughter had temporarily quitted the company. The 8th March 1598 must mean 8th March 1597-8.

<sup>2</sup> Here we see that "Borne, alias Birde," as Henslowe calls him, signs his name William Birde. The whole memorandum is of his writing. How he obtained the name of Borne does not appear.

<sup>3</sup> The entry was not complete on this page, and it is repeated, with additions, two (not three) leaves farther on in the MS.

*Gabrell Spencer.*

Lent unto gabrell spencer, the 10 of marche 1598,	} x <sup>s</sup>
in Redey money, the some of x <sup>s</sup> . I saye lent...	
Lent unto gabrell spencer, the 20 of marche 1598,	} vjs
in Redy money, upon a Jewell .....	
Md, that I, gabrell spencer, the 5 of aprell 1598,	} xxx <sup>s</sup>
have borowed of Phillippe Henslow the some of	
thirtie shellynges, in Redy money, to be payed	
unto him agayne when he shalle demande yt: I	} xxx <sup>s</sup>
saye borowed .....	

GABRIELL

SPENSER.<sup>1</sup>

Lent Mr Richard Fuller, my attorney, the 29 of	} xx <sup>s</sup>
aguste, in Ready money, to be payd me agayne	
at mihellmasse tearme next cominge after the	
datte herof, 1594, above written, and witnesses to	
the leandynge herof .....	

MR SHEALDEN, player,<sup>2</sup>

and MR Fullers man.

The ij chelldren of Edmond Hensley, mary and nanne, came up to London to me to keppe, the 27 of febreyary, and in the yeare of our lord 1595.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The signature only is by Gabriel Spenser, who was killed in September following the date of this item. He subscribed the memorandum twice, but erased it in the second instance.

<sup>2</sup> We meet with the name of Sheldon as a player nowhere else.

<sup>3</sup> There is a family account on the preceding page, thus headed, "A note of all such carges as I, philipe Hensley, have layd owt of my owne money, in be halfe of the Chelldren of Edmond Hensley, desesed, 1592, as foloweth." The meaning seems to be that, Edmond Henslowe having died in 1592, his children came to live with Philip Henslowe in London, in 1595. There is nothing theatrical in any of the items.

borowed of Mr hinchlow, the xx<sup>th</sup> of marche 1598, the som  
of 40 shillings, I say xxxx<sup>s</sup>

p me THOMAS DOWNTON.<sup>1</sup>

Lent unto Robarte shawe, player, the 20 of marche 1598, in Redey money, the some of .....	vs
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 25 of aprell 1598, in Redy money, the some of .....	vs
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, the 23 of october 1598, upon a longe taney clocke of clothe of his owe, the some of thirti shillinges: I saye lent unto him Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 19 of novembr, 1598, upon a longe taney clocke of clothe, the some of xij <sup>s</sup> , w <sup>ch</sup> he sayd yt was to Imbrader his hatte for the Gwisse <sup>2</sup> .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, ales borne, the 22 of desembr 1598, when the widow came to M <sup>rs</sup> Reves to super, in Redey money, the some of.....	xij <sup>s</sup>
Dd unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, ales borne, ij gewells of gowld, w <sup>ch</sup> he layd to me to pane for x <sup>s</sup> , w <sup>ch</sup> I dd to hime agayne without money, w <sup>ch</sup> he owes me ...	x <sup>s</sup>

Be yt knowne unto all men by this presents, that I, Wil-  
liame Birde, and gabrell spencer, and Thomas Dowton dothe  
aknowlege our sealves to owe and stande fermly in deattted  
unto phillipe Henslow the some of syxe powndes, of good and  
lafulle money of England, we borowed of hime, and to be payd  
unto the sayd phillipe his heires, exec<sup>rs</sup>, or assignes, at  
St. Jhon Baptist next ensuing the date here of, at his howse;

<sup>1</sup> This entry is in the handwriting of, and is subscribed by Downton,  
whom Henslowe usually calls Downton.

<sup>2</sup> It seems likely that William Birde had a female character in "the  
Guise," and that he wore an embroidered hat, for the purpose of

the which payment wee bind us or heirs executors and assignes, by these present: in witnes whereof we have to this bill sett or hands, the ix<sup>th</sup> of Aprill 1598. I say vj<sup>ii</sup>.

WILLIAM BIRDE.

GABRIELL SPENSER.

THOMAS DOWNTON.<sup>1</sup>

Be it knowne unto all men by thes presentes, that I, gabrell spencer, of london, player, doe aknowlege my sealffe to owe and stand fermly in deated unto Phillip Hensley, the some of fower powndes, of good and lafull money of Inglande; and for the trewe payment herof I bynde me, my eares, executors, and admynistrators, by this presente. In wittness here to I have seatte my hande, the 20 of aprell 1598: ageanste sent gorges day.

GABRIELL SPENSER.<sup>2</sup>

Lent unto gabrell spencer, the 24 of aprell 1598 ...	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto gabrell spencer, the 19 of maye 1598, to } bye a plume of feathers, w <sup>ch</sup> his mane bradshawe } feched of me, x <sup>s</sup> . I saie lente .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent W <sup>m</sup> Birde, alles Borne, the 22 of aprell 1599, } in redey money, which his mane, William Felle, } Rd yt of me for hime, the some of forti shil- } linges. I say .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

Wittnes E. ALLEYN.

decorating which, he pawned to Henslowe his long tawny cloth cloak. On p. 110, it appears that he borrowed 20s. to buy a pair of silk stockings for the same part.

<sup>1</sup> The signatures are those of the three players, but the memorandum is partly in the handwriting of Henslowe and partly in that of Downton.

<sup>2</sup> The signature only is by Spenser, and the rest of the memorandum by Henslowe, who spelt "heirs" *eares*, though in the entry immediately preceding it is in the proper orthography.

Lent unto Mrs Birde, alles Borne, the 26 of no-  
vembr 1600, in Redye monye, to descarge her  
husband owt of the Kynges benche, when he laye  
upon my lorde Jeffe Justes warant<sup>1</sup> for hurtinge  
of a felowe which browght his wiffe a leatter:  
some of three powndes I saye .....

iiij<sup>l</sup>

*A not of all suche goods I haue Bowght for playinge, sence my  
my sonne edward Allen leafte playinge, 1597.<sup>2</sup>*

Bowght, the 29 of desembr 1597, j shorte veallvett clocke, ymbradered with bugells, and a hood cape	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
bowght, the 18 of aprell 1598, xvij ownces of coper- lace, brad with sylver and gowld, coper at viij <sup>d</sup> ownce .....	x <sup>s</sup> viij <sup>d</sup>
Itm for makyng of the gercken of threed .....	iijs iiiij <sup>d</sup>
Bowght, the 8 of novmbr 1598, xijij ownces of coper- lace, wraght with opene worcke, for xijij <sup>d</sup> and ownce .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>

*A Juste acount of all suche money as I have layd owt for my  
lord admeralles players, begynnyng the xj of octobr, whose  
names ar as foloweth: Borne, Gabrell, Shaw, Jonnes, Dowten,  
Jube, Towne, Synger, and the ij Jeffes. 1597.<sup>3</sup>*

Layd owt unto Robarte Shawe, to by a boocke for the companey, the 21 of octobr 1597, the some of Called the cobler. <sup>4</sup>	xxxx.
Wittnes	

E. ALLEYN.

<sup>1</sup> i.e., The Lord Chief Justice's warrant.

<sup>2</sup> This account establishes that Alleyn had temporarily seceded from the stage prior to the 29th of December 1597.

<sup>3</sup> Hence we learn that Alleyn was not a member of the Lord Admiral's company even in October 1597. At the same time, he witnesses several payments, so that he had not quitted London.

<sup>4</sup> This item and some others appear to be repetitions, with variation and addition, of entries already inserted on p. 103, &c.

Lent unto Robarte Shaw, to by a boooke of yonge  
Harton, the 5 of novembr 1597, the some of ... }

xs

Wittnes

E. ALLEYN.

Lent unto Robarte Shaw, for the companey, to bye  
vij yardes of clothe of gowlde for the womones  
gowne in Branhowlte,<sup>1</sup> the 26 of novembr 1597,  
the some of ..... } iiiij<sup>u</sup>

Lent unto Robarte Shawe, to geve the tayller to by  
tynsell for Bornes gowne, the j of desembr 1597 } ix<sup>s</sup>

Layd owt for the company to by tafetye and tyn-  
sell for the bodeyes of a womones gowne to playe  
allce perce,<sup>2</sup> w<sup>ch</sup> I dd unto the littell tayller, the  
8 of desembr 1597 ..... } xx<sup>s</sup>

Wittnes E. ALLEYN.

Layd owt for mackynge allce perces bodeyes, and a  
payer of yeare sleaves, the some of ..... } vjs vjda

Lent unto Bengemen Johnson, the 3 of desembr  
1597, upon a boooke w<sup>ch</sup> he showed the plotte  
unto the company, which he promysed to dd  
unto the companye at cryssmas next, the some  
of<sup>3</sup> ..... } xx<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Robarte Shawe, to by coper lace of syl-  
ver for a payer of hosse in alls Perce, the 10 of  
desembr 1597 ..... } xvjs

Wittnes W<sup>m</sup> BORNE, JUBE, and GABRELL SPENSER.

Layd owt for ij gyges for the companey, to ij  
yonge men, the 12 of desembr 1597, the some of } vjs 8d

<sup>1</sup> Possibly Brennoralt, a subject treated by Sir John Suckling about the year 1639.

<sup>2</sup> Alice Pierce, the mistress to Edward III.

<sup>3</sup> Compare the memorandum on p. 106, which is of the same date and nearly in the same terms.

Layd owt, the 22 of desembr 1597, for a boocke called mother Readcape, to Antony Monday and Drayton .....	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Layd owt, the 28 of desembr 1597, for the boocke called mother Readcape, to antoney mondaye ...	
Lent the company, to bye a flame coler satter dub- lett, the 5 of Janewary 1597, the some of <sup>1</sup> .....	v <sup>s</sup>
	xxxxv <sup>s</sup>

*Layd owt for my lord Admeralles meane, as foloweth, 1597.*

Pd unto Antony Mondaye and Drayton, for the laste payment of the Boooke of mother Readcape, the 3 of Jenewary 1597, the some of <sup>2</sup> .....	lv <sup>s</sup>
Layd owte for coper lace for the littell boye, for a valle for the boye, ageanste the playe of Dido and Eneus, <sup>3</sup> the 3 of Jenewary 1597 .....	
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 8 of Jenewary 1597, twenty shillinges, to by a bookes of Mr Dicker.	xxs
Lent .....	
Lent unto the company, when they fyrist played Dido at nyght, <sup>4</sup> the some of thirty shillynges, w <sup>ch</sup> wasse the 8 of Jenewary 1597. I saye .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This note is crossed out in the MS.

<sup>2</sup> Making in the whole £6 to Monday and Drayton for their play of Mother Redcap. See also p. 106 and 107.

<sup>3</sup> Perhaps some alteration and revival of Marlowe and Nash's "Dido, Queen of Carthage," printed in 1594: it might, however, be a new production on the same subject, and hereafter we shall meet with a play called "Æneas' Revenge." The boy who was to be furnished with "a veil" was perhaps to act the part of the heroine.

<sup>4</sup> The performances were in the day-time, and here we are not to understand that Dido was "played at night." Probably the 30s. was for some entertainment to the company at night (at the Sun in Fish Street, mentioned afterwards), on the successful performance of the play for the first time.

Lent unto the company, the 15 of Jenewary 1597,	} iiiij <sup>li</sup>
to bye a boooke of Mr Dicker, called fayeton, <sup>1</sup> fower pownde. I saye lent .....	
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, for the company to paye to the Mr of the Revells for lysensyng of	} ix <sup>s</sup>
ij boockes, xiiij <sup>s</sup> : abated to Dowton v <sup>s</sup> , so reaste <sup>2</sup> .....	
Lent unto Thomas dowton, for the company to bye a sewte for phayeton, and ij rebates, and j far- dengalle, the 26 of Jenewary 1598, the some of three pownde. I saye lent .....	} iiij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 28 of Jenewary 1598, to bye a whitte satten dublette for phaye- ton, forty shyllenges. I saye lent .....	
Lent unto the companey, the 4 of febreyary 1598, to disecharge Mr Dicker owt of the cownter in the powltry, the some of fortie shillinges. I saye dd to thomas Dowton .....	} xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt unto antony monday, the 15 of febreyary 1598, for a playe boooke, called the firste parte of Rebyne hoode <sup>3</sup> .....	
	v <sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This "book of Mr Dekker, called Phaeton," may have been the production to which Ford afterwards contributed, and which was printed as his work and Dekker's, under the title of "The Sun's Darling, a Moral Masque," in 1657. See Gifford's Ford's Works, ii, 360.

<sup>2</sup> This entry, and others to be met with hereafter, show that the fee of the Master of the Revels on licensing a play was now 7s. According to memoranda on pp. 18 and 19, the fee in 1591 and 1592 was only 5s. for each play. It may have been raised arbitrarily by Tylney.

<sup>3</sup> This excellent play was printed anonymously in 1601, under the title of "The Downfal of Robert, Earl of Huntington, afterward called Robin Hood of merrie Shirwood," &c. The "second part," as Henslowe, farther on, calls it, came from the press in the same year, with the title of "The death of Robert, Earl of Huntington." Both are reprinted in the supplementary vol. to Dodsley's Old Plays.

Lent unto Robarte Shawe, the 18 of febreary 1598,	xx <sup>s</sup>
to paye unto Harton for a comedey called a Womon will have her will, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 20 of febreary 1598,	x <sup>s</sup>
to lende unto antony mondaye, upon his seconde parte of the Downefall of earlle Huntyngton, surnamed Roben hoode. I saye lent the some of .....	
Layd owt unto Robarte Lee, the 22 of febreary 1598, for a boocke called the Myller, some of ...	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 25 of febreary 1598,	
to geve unto chettell, in part of paymente of the seconde parte of Robart hoode, I saye lent <sup>2</sup> .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Antony Mondaye, the 28 of febreary 1598, in parte paymente of the second parte of Roben Hoode .....	
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, and Robart Shaw, and Edwarde Jeweby, the 1 of marche 1598, to bye a boocke of M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, called the treplesetie of cockowlles, <sup>3</sup> the some of fyve powndes. I say lent .....	v <sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> It was not printed until 1616, when the name here given was made the second title, "Englishmen for my money, or a Woman will have her Will." It was several times reprinted, and is an extremely good comedy, but it was not given to any author until the discovery of the MS. before us. In the last old edition, 4to, 1631, the printer dropped the first part of the title, and reverted to the name it bears in Henslowe's Diary.

<sup>2</sup> Hence we find that Henry Chettle was Monday's coadjutor, at least in the Death of Robert Earl of Huntington. In the supplementary vol. to Dodsley's Old Plays, upon the authority of Henslowe's Diary, "The Downfal of Robert Earl of Huntington" has been assigned to Monday alone, and "The Death of Robert Earl of Huntington" to Monday and Chettle.

<sup>3</sup> The title, no doubt, was "The Triplicity of Cuckolds," but Henslowe and his scribe contrived to misspell names with great ingenuity. We have no other intelligence regarding this comedy.

Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 8 of marche 1598,  
 in full paymente of the seconde parte of the  
 boocke called the Downfall of Roben Hoode, the  
 some of ..... } iiij<sup>u</sup>

J SYNGER

p me, THOMAS DOWNTON.

p me, WILLIAM BIRDE.

ROBT SHAA. RICHARD JONES.

GABRIELL SPENSER. CHARLES MASSYE.

THOMAS TOWNE. SAMUELL ROWLYE.<sup>1</sup>

HUMFREY JEFFES.

Thes men dothe acknowlege this deat to be dewe by them  
 by seatynge ther handes to ytte.<sup>2</sup>

Lent unto Drayton and Cheattell, the 13 of  
 marche 1598, in pte paymente of a boocke,  
 wher in is a pte of a weallche man written,<sup>3</sup>  
 which they have promysed to delyver by the  
 xx daye next folowinge. I say lent R. money } xxxx<sup>u</sup>  
 Lent unto the company, to paye Drayton and  
 Dyckers and Chetell ther full payment for  
 the boocke called the famos wares of Henry } iiij<sup>u</sup> v<sup>s</sup>  
 the fyrste and the prynce of Walles,<sup>4</sup> the  
 some of ..... }

<sup>1</sup> These are the original signatures of the ten members of the company, arranged as we have given them. Alleyn does not seem to have been an actor at this date, or Henslowe did not consider him his debtor.

<sup>2</sup> Henslowe's note, who has summed up the amount in the margin, viz., £46 7s. 3d.

<sup>3</sup> Malone (Shakespeare by Boswell, iii., 318) conjectures that this was "The Valiant Welchman," by R. Armin, printed in 1615; but we have already had a play called The Welshman under date of 29th November 1595, which is more likely to have been Armin's drama.

<sup>4</sup> R. Davenport wrote a play upon this portion of English history, licensed in 1624, and attributed to him and Shakespeare in the Stationers'

Lent at that tyme unto the company for to spend at the Readyng of that boocke, at the sonne in new fysh streate .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the carman for caryinge and bryngyn of the stufe backe agayne when they played in fleet-streat, pryvat, and then owr stufe was lost <sup>1</sup> .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the company, to bye a boocke of Mr Drayton, and Mr Dickers, Mr chettell, and Mr Willsone, which is called Goodwine and iij sones, <sup>2</sup> fower powndes in pte of pay- ment, the 25 of marche 1598, in Redey mony: I saye .....	iiij <sup>iiij</sup>
Layd owt the same tyme at the tavarne in fysh- streate for good cheare, the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt, the 28 of marche 1598, for the licen- synge of ij booke to the Mr of the Revelles, called the ij ptes of Robarte hoode .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companye, the 30 of marche 1598, in full paymente for the boocke of Goodwine and his iij sonnes. I saye lent .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company to geve Mr Willsone, Dickers, Drayton, and cheattell, in parte payment of a boocke called Perce of Exstone, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

Registers, under date of 9 Sept. 1663. Perhaps Davenport only revived and altered this piece, which Henslowe assigns to Drayton, Dekker, and Chettle.

<sup>1</sup> The company had performed at some private entertainment in Fleet Street, where we must conclude that part of the "stuff," meaning dresses, properties, &c., was lost.

<sup>2</sup> The correct title probably was "Earl Godwin and his three Sons." Earl Goodwin is again mentioned afterwards.

<sup>3</sup> Sir Pierce of Exton killed Richard II., and this play was most likely connected with that historical incident.

Lent unto the company to by a Damask casocke, garded with vellvett, the 7 of aprell 1598, the some .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 11 of aprell 1598, to bye tafitie, to macke a Rochet for the beshoppe, in Earlle goodwine .....	}	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 12 of aprell 1598, to paye M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye, in fulle payment for his boocke of Kynge Arthore, <sup>1</sup> the some of fower pownde. I saye .....	}	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto the companey, the 29 of aprell 1598, to bye a bugell doblett and a payer of paned hoose, of bugell panes drane owt with clothe of sylver, and canyoms <sup>2</sup> of the same.....	}	xxxxvjs viij <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, 2 of maye 1598, to bye a Robe for the playe of the lyfe of Arthure in money, the some of .....	}	iiij <sup>ii</sup> pd
Lent unto Cheattell, upon the playe called black batmone of the northe, <sup>3</sup> the some of Wittnes THOMAS DOWNTON .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Downton, to paye unto Horton, in pte of paymente of his boocke called a Womon will have her wille.....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> On p. 87, a play of Utherpendragon, the father of King Arthur, has been introduced. It does not seem that Hathway had any coadjutors in his drama, afterwards called the Life of Arthur, King of England. In 1587 had been printed a play entitled "The Misfortunes of Arthur," which was principally composed by Thomas Hughes. It is reprinted in the supplementary vol. to Dodsley's Old Plays.

<sup>2</sup> What "canyoms of the same" may be, it is not easy to determine; but see p. 144: "paned hose" were breeches, composed of small squares, or *panes*, the figures in this instance being defined by bugles.

<sup>3</sup> It appears lower down that Wilson, Drayton, and Dekker, were joint authors with Chettle in Black Batman of the North. The B. L. ballad of Bateman's Tragedy probably relates to the same events.

Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Cheattell and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, the 6 of aprell 1598, upon ther boooke of Goodwine, the 2 pte, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companey, the 9 of maye 1598, to bye a dublett and a payer of hooesse, layd thick with gowld lace, the some of .....	
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Chapman, <sup>2</sup> the 16 of maye 1598, in earneste of a boooke for the compayne.	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Wittnes, W <sup>m</sup> BIRDE .....	
Lente unto the company, the 16 of maye 1598, to bye v boockes of martine Slather, called ij ptes of Hercolus, and focas, and pethagoras, and elyxander and lodicke, <sup>3</sup> which laste boocke he hath not yet delyvered, the some of.....	vij <sup>ii</sup>

The xi<sup>th</sup> of Aprell.

Rd <del>xx</del> of Phillip Hinchlow, twenty shillings, in earnest of a booke cald the Lyfe of Artur King of England, to be delivered one thursday next following after the datte hereof. I say	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd xx <sup>s</sup> by me.....	

R. HATHWAYE.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone (iii., 319) represents that Drayton alone was the author of this second part of Earl Godwin, and does not mention the names of Chettle and Dekker in connexion with it. Wilson was also a coadjutor.

<sup>2</sup> Chapman had written for Henslowe at least as early as 1595-6, for his *Blind Beggar of Alexandria* was played for the first time on 12 Feb. of that year. See p. 64.

<sup>3</sup> These pieces have all been mentioned before at earlier dates (see pp. 51, 53, 63, 69, and 84), when they were severally performed for the first time. At the date of this memorandum, Martin Slather, or Slaughter, must again have had them in his hands, perhaps for alteration and addition, and received £7 for his pains. On 16 May, 1597, he had not re-delivered Alexander and Lodowick, as amended, to Henslowe.

<sup>4</sup> The whole of this memorandum is in Hathwaye's handwriting.

Bowght of M <sup>r</sup> Willsones, Drayton, and Dickers, and cheattell, for the companey, a boocke, called blacke Battmane of the northe, the 22 of maye 1598, which coste sixe powndes. <sup>1</sup> I saye layd owt for them .....	vj <sup>ll</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, the 23 of maye 1598, which he lent unto Mr Chappman, upon his boocke, which he promised us.....	
Lent unto the company, the 30 of maye 1598, to bye a boocke called love prevented, the some of fower powndes, dd to THOMAS DOWTON. Mr. Porter <sup>2</sup> .....	iiij <sup>ll</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 6 of June 1598, to leand unto Drayton. I saye leante .....	
for the 2 pt of goodwine.	xs
Lent unto the companey, the 10 of June 1598, to lend unto M <sup>r</sup> Chapman .....	
Lent unto the company, the 10 of June 1598, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> Drayton, Willson, Dickers, and cheattell, in full paymente of the second pte of Goodwine, l <sup>s</sup> , as foloweth: Drayton 30 <sup>s</sup> , and Willson x <sup>s</sup> , and cheattell x <sup>s</sup> . <sup>3</sup> Some is .....	ls
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Willsone, the 13 of June 1598, upon a bocke called Richard Cordelion fu- neralle <sup>4</sup> .....	vs

<sup>1</sup> We have already seen, on p. 122, that Chettle had separately received 20s. on account of this play.

<sup>2</sup> The name "Mr. Porter" was doubtless added here, in a different hand, to indicate that Love Prevented was by him.

<sup>3</sup> Dekker seems to have been omitted in the division of the money. Perhaps Drayton received 30s. for himself and Dekker.

<sup>4</sup> Chettle, Monday, and Drayton, were also concerned in the authorship of Richard Cordelion's Funeral, as we afterwards find by other notices.

Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 13 of June 1598, to bye divers thinges for blacke batmane of the northe, the some of fyve pownd. I saye lent .....	vli
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 14 of June 1598, to bye divers thinges for blacke batmane of the northe, the some.....	
Lent unto Cheattell, the 14 of June 1598, in earneste of a booke called Richard cordeliones finerall .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart Shawe and Edward Jube, the 15 of June 1598, to geve M <sup>r</sup> Chapman, in earneste of his boooke called the Wylle of a Woman <sup>1</sup> .....	
Lent unto Cheattell, the 15 of June 1598, in earneste of ther boooke called the funerall of Richard cordelion .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Cheattell, Willsone, and Mondaye, the 17 of June 1598, upon earneste of ther boooke called the funerall of Richard cordelion.....	
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> cheattell, the 21 of June 1598, in earneste of a boooke called the funerall of Rich- ard cordelion, the some of .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
I saye xxv <sup>s</sup> : wittness W <sup>m</sup> BIRDE .....	
Lent unto Anthony Munday, the 23 of June 1598, in earneaste of a boooke called the funerall of Richard cordelion, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Drayton, the 24 of June 1598, in earneste of a boooke called the funerall of Rich- ard cordelion, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This may not have been a separate play, but the same called on pp. 119 and 122, *A Woman will have her Will*, where it is imputed to William Haughton alone: Chapman may have added to it, or assisted him in it. It seems unlikely that two plays, so resembling in title, would have been produced at the same time.

Lent unto Mr cheattell, the 24 of June 1598, the some of x <sup>s</sup> . I saye .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>
All his pte of boockes to this place are payde which weare dew unto him, and he reastes, be syddes, in my deatte the some of xxx <sup>s</sup> <sup>1</sup> .....		
Lent unto Mr Willson, the 26 of June 1598, the some of xx <sup>s</sup> , which is in full paymente of his pte of the boocke called Richard cordelion funerall : and so Mr Willson reasteth in my deate, albeinge payde .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 26 of June 1598, to by satten to macke ij dublettes, for the 2 pte of goodwine, the some of .....	}	v <sup>h</sup>
Lent unto Cheattell, the 26 of June 1598, in ear- nesto of a boocke called the 2 pte of blacke Batt- man of the north ; and Mr Harey Porter hath geven me his worde for the performance of the same, and allso for my money .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 27 of June 1598, to bye divers thinges for the 2 pte of Goodwin...	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent nnto Mr Willson, Mr Drayton, and Mr Dick- ers, the 31 of June 1598, in earneste of a boocke called the made manes mores, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	}	ijj <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto Mr Cheattell, the 8 of July 1598, upon a Boocke called the 2 pte of Blacke Battman, the some of .....	}	ijj <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chettle would appear to have been poor, and to have always required small advances, as the work proceeded. At this date, besides having been paid for all his "books," he was 30s. in debt to Henslowe. Just below, it will be seen that the old manager would make no more advances to him, unless a brother author (Porter) would engage his word for the delivery of the second part of Black Bateman of the North, in which he, as well as Wilson, might be aiding Chettle.

<sup>2</sup> The Mad Man's Morris seems to have been the real name of the piece: nothing more is known of it.

Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Drayton, the 9 <sup>th</sup> of July 1598, upon a Boooke called the mad manes mores, the some of .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Willsone and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, in full pay- ment of a boooke called the mad manes moris, the 10 of July 1598, the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Willsones, the 13 of July 1598, in pt payment of a boooke called the 2 pt of blacke battman, the some of .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Wilsone, the 14 of July 1598, in pt payment of a boooke called the 2 pt of blacke battman, the some of .....	}	xv <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto M <sup>r</sup> cheattell, the 14 of July 1598, in full payment of a boooke called the 2 pt of blacke battmane, the some of .....	}	xv <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Harey Cheattell, the 14 of July 1598, upon a boooke called the playe of A woman Tra- gedye, the some of v <sup>li</sup> , which Robart shawe willed me to delyver hime. I saye .....	}	v <sup>li</sup>
Eather to dd the playe, or els to paye the mony within one forthnyght.		
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 14 of July 1598, for to geve the paynter in earneste of his pictor, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	}	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 16 of July 1598, for to bye a Robe to playe Hercolas in, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Willsone, the 17 of July 1598, in earneste of a comodye called Haneballe and hermes, the some of .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This entry might look as if scenery had been employed; but it was payment for a portrait used in the course of a play: in another hand, in the margin, we read "Tassoes Picture."

<sup>2</sup> i.e., On the revival of the play. R. Greene speaks of a play on "the twelve labours of Hercules:" see his *Groatsworth of Wit*, in 1592.

Pd unto Marteyne Slawghter, the 18 of July, for a boocke called elexsander and lodwicke, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Willson, M <sup>r</sup> Drayton, and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, the 17 of July 1598, for a Boocke called Haneballe and hermes, the some of .....	}	iiij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Robart shawe and Jewby, the 19 of July 1598, for a Boocke called Vallentyne and orsen, <sup>2</sup> in full paymente, the some of v <sup>li</sup> , to paye hathwaye and mondaye .....	}	v <sup>li</sup>
Pd unto the M <sup>r</sup> of the Revelles man, for the licensyng of iij boockes, the 24 of July 1598, the some of .....	}	xxj <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> borne, the 25 of July 1598, to by a sewte of satten for the playe of the mademannes moris, the some of .....	}	iiij <sup>li</sup> xij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Willsone, the 26 of July 1598, upon a Boocke called Haneballe and Hermes, the some of .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Drayton and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, the 27 of July 1598, in pt of a Boocke called Haneballe and Hermes, the some of .....	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 28 of July 1598, to by a payer of sceartes of whitte satten, <sup>3</sup> for a womons gowne layd with whitte lace, the some of .....	}	xxxij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Drayton and M <sup>r</sup> Deckers, the 18 of July 1598, in full payment of a boocke called haneball and hermes, other wisse called worsse feared then hurte .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See p. 123, where it is stated that this altered play had not been delivered on 16th May 1598.

<sup>2</sup> In various shapes this famous romance has ever since kept possession of the stage, last as an admirable burlesque.

<sup>3</sup> i.e., *Skirts* of white satin.

Lent unto M<sup>r</sup> Deckers, the same time, upon ther }  
 next boocke,<sup>1</sup> called Perce of Winchester ..... }  
 120<sup>li</sup> 15<sup>s</sup> 4<sup>d</sup> x<sup>s</sup>

*Here I Begyne to Receive the wholle gallerys from this daye,  
 beinge the 29 of July 1598.<sup>2</sup>*

Rd the 29 of July 1598.....	x <sup>li</sup>	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 6 of aguste 1598 .. ..	vij <sup>li</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 13 of aguste 1598 .. ..	ix <sup>li</sup>	ix <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 19 of aguste 1598 .. ..	vij <sup>li</sup>	xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 26 of aguste 1598 .. ..	vij <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 2 of septmbr 1598 .. ..	vij <sup>li</sup>	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 10 of septmbr 1598 .. ..	ix <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 17 of septmbr 1598 .. ..	vj <sup>li</sup>	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 24 of septmbr 1598 .. ..	vij <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 29 of septembr 1598 .. ..	v <sup>li</sup>	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 7 of octobr 1598 .. ..	vj <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 14 of octobr 1598 .. ..	vij <sup>li</sup>	xv <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 21 of octobr 1598 .. ..	x <sup>li</sup>	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 28 of octobr 1598 .. ..	v <sup>li</sup>	xix <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 5 of novmbr 1598 .. ..	vij <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 12 of novmbr 1598 .. ..	v <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 19 of novmbr 1598 .. ..	vj <sup>li</sup>	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 24 of novmbr 1598 .. ..	iiij <sup>li</sup>	xvj <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Drayton and Wilson joined Dekker in this new play, as we shall find hereafter.

<sup>2</sup> The receipts upon this account are weekly, and they are of very irregular amounts, the highest being £16 12s. 0d. on the 3rd June 1599, and the lowest £2 on the 13th October, 1599, when the statement closes, perhaps by reason of the smallness of the sum taken at the doors: it is interposed between the memoranda of advances to authors and actors. To what theatre it refers is not stated, but it was probably the Rose, shortly before the Fortune was constructed by Henslowe and Alleyn.

Rd the 2 of desembr 1598.....	vj <sup>li</sup>	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 9 of desembr 1598.....	vij <sup>li</sup>	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 16 of desembr 1598 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 23 of desembr 1598 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>	v <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 30 of desembr 1598 .....	xij <sup>li</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 7 of Janewary 1598 .....	vi <sup>li</sup>	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 14 of Janewary 1598 .....	viij <sup>li</sup>	xj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 21 of Janewary 1598 .....	viiij <sup>li</sup>	xijj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 28 of Janewary 1598 .....	vij <sup>li</sup>	vj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 4 of Febreary 1598 .....	x <sup>li</sup>	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 11 of Febreary 1598.....	vij <sup>li</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 18 of Febreary 1598 .....	vij <sup>li</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 29 of Febreary 1598 .....	xv <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>

Dew 233<sup>li</sup> 17<sup>s</sup> 7<sup>d</sup>

Rd the 26 of marche 1598 .....	ijj <sup>li</sup>	xvijj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the j of Aprell 1598 .....	ij <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 8 of Aprell 1598.....	ijj <sup>li</sup>	vijj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 15 of Aprell 1599 <sup>1</sup> .....	xijj <sup>li</sup>	vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 22 of Aprell 1599 .....	xijj <sup>li</sup>	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 29 of Aprell 1599 .....	xj <sup>li</sup>	v <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 6 of maye 1599 .....	vijj <sup>li</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 13 of maye 1599.....	ix <sup>li</sup>	
Rd the 20 of maye 1599.....	xj <sup>li</sup>	xj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 27 of maye 1599.....	x <sup>li</sup>	vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 3 of June 1599 .....	xvj <sup>li</sup>	xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 6 of octobr 1599 .....	v <sup>li</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 13 of octobr 1599 .....	ij <sup>li</sup>	

Received with the company of my Lord of notingame men to this place, beinge the 13 of octobr 1599 ; and yt doth apere that I have Received of the deate which they owe unto me iij hundred fiftie and eyght powndes.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The three last items ought also to have been 1599, reckoning the new year to begin on the 26th March.

<sup>2</sup> The whole amount of the debt of the Lord Admiral's players to Henslowe is nowhere stated in the MS.

Lent the company, <sup>1</sup> the 30 of July 1598, to bye a Boooke of John Daye, called the conqueste of Brute with the first fyndinge of the Bathe, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 8 of aguste 1598, to paye Mr Drayton, Willsone, and Dicker, in pte of payment of a boooke called perce of winschester, the some of .....	1s
Lent unto antonye Monday, the 9 of aguste 1598, in earneste of a comodey for the corte, <sup>2</sup> called —— the some of .....	xs
Mr Drayton hath geven his worde for the boooke to be done with in one fortnight. Witness THOMAS DOWTON .....	
Lent unto the company, the 10 of aguste 1598, to paye Mr Drayton, Willsone, and Dicker, in fulle payment for a boooke called perce of winschester, the some of .....	1s
Lent unto the company, the 18 of aguste 1598, to bye a Boooke called hoote anger sone cowld, <sup>3</sup> of Mr porter, Mr cheattell, and bengem- men Johnson, in fulle payment, the some of...	vj <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A leaf has been cut out of the MS. before this account of disbursements is renewed, but such may have been the state of the book when Henslowe made the entries. The last date was 18th July 1598, a payment in full to Drayton and Dekker for Hannibal and Hermes; and the renewed account begins 30th July 1598, leaving an interval of twelve days, to which the missing leaf may have applied.

<sup>2</sup> Either Henslowe or his scribe was ignorant of the name of the play, and a blank was left for it: this and the next item were subsequently crossed out.

<sup>3</sup> "Hot Anger soon cold" was the name of the play, rather strangely distorted in the orthography. The date of this memorandum is, as nearly as may be, a month before "Benjamin Jonson, bricklayer," (to use Henslowe's words) killed Gabriel Spenser.

Lent unto the company, the 19 of aguste 1598, to paye unto Mr Willson, monday, and Deckers, in pte of payment of a boooke called chance medley, the some of $iiiij^{ii}$ vs, in this maner—	$iiiij^{ii}$	vs
Willson, xxx <sup>s</sup> , cheattell, xxx <sup>s</sup> , <sup>1</sup> mondye, xxv <sup>s</sup> , I say .....		
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 21 of aguste 1598, to bye a sewte and a gowne for Vayvode, <sup>2</sup>	x <sup>li</sup>	
the some of tene pownde. I saye lent.....		
wittnes Mr WILLSONE.		
Lent Mr Willsone, the 21 of aguste 1598, in earnest of a Boooke called cattelyne, <sup>3</sup> some	x <sup>s</sup>	
of.....		
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 22 of aguste 1598, to by divers thinges for vayvode, the	xxxxvj <sup>s</sup>	
some of .....		
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 24 of aguste 1598, to bye divers thinges for vayvode, the	xiiij <sup>s</sup>	
some of .....		
Pd unto Mr Drayton, the 24 of aguste 1598, in fulle payment of a Boooke called chance medley, some of .....	xxxv <sup>s</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> There is evidently some mistake here, for, according to the first part of the entry, Dekker ought to have been paid, and not Chettle, for "Chance Medley." Drayton was afterwards paid 35s. as one of the authors of *Chance Medley*.

<sup>2</sup> Malone (Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 320) attributes "Vayvode" to Thomas Dowton, the actor, as if he were the author of it, when it is obvious that £10 was only advanced to him "to buy a suit, and a gown" for the play. See Painter's "Pal. of Pleasure," ii., fo. 140, &c., respecting "Vayvode."

<sup>3</sup> The same piece afterwards called "Cateline's Conspiracy :" it was by Wilson and Chettle. It was one of the oldest subjects on our stage, as Gosson had written a tragedy called "Cateline's Conspiracies" before 1579: see Shakesp. Soc. reprint of the School of Abuse, p. 30.

Lent unto Robart shaw, the 25 of aguste 1598, to paye the lace manes byll, ij <i>ii</i> xv <i>ii</i> s v <i>ii</i> d; and the tayllers byll, xxv <i>ii</i> s v <i>ii</i> d: some is .....	} iii <i>ii</i> for Vayvode.....	} vs
Lent unto Harey cheattell, the 26 of aguste 1598, in earneste of a Boocke called cattelanes con- speresey, the some .....		
Lent unto hary cheattell, the 29 of aguste 1598, at the apoyntment of thomas Dowton, for his playe of Vayvode, the some of .....		xx <i>s</i>
Lent unto Mr Willsone, the 29 of aguste 1598, at the request of hary cheattell, in earneste of cattelyne, the some of.....		x <i>s</i>
Lent unto the company, the 30 of aguste 1598, to geve in earneste of boocke called worse afeard then hurte, <sup>1</sup> unto Mr Drayton and Dickers, the some of .....		l <i>s</i>
Lent unto the company, the 4 of aguste 1598, to paye in fulle payment for a Boocke called worse Afeared then hurte, unto Mr Drayton and Mr Dickers, the some of.....		l <i>s</i>
Lent unto hary Cheattell, the 8 of aguste 1598, in earneste of a boocke called Brute, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....		ix <i>s</i>

<sup>1</sup> Malone (Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 321) makes this a distinct play, but reference to an entry already inserted on p. 128 shows that "Worse afeard then hurte" was only the second title of Hannibal and Hermes, by Drayton, Dekker, and Wilson. Perhaps the first title was subsequently dropped, and the second title considered more intelligible and attractive.

<sup>2</sup> By a preceding entry, on p. 131, we learn that John Day was Chettle's co-partner in the composition of this drama, which is there called "the Conquest of Brute, with the first Finding of the Bath." Malone mistakenly supposed that John Singer was also a joint author of it, but it only appears that a certain sum was paid to Chettle at his appointment as an actor, but not to Singer as an author.

Lent unto hary cheattell, the 9 of septmbr 1598, in earneste of a Boocke called Brute, at the Apoyntment of Johne Synger, the some of...	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto hary cheattell, the 16 of septembr 1598, in earneste of a Boocke called Brute ...	}	v <sup>s</sup>
Hary cheattell untell this place owes us viij <sup>l</sup> ix <sup>s</sup> dew, all his boockes and Recknynges payd. <sup>1</sup>		
Lent unto the company, the 19 of septmbr 1598, in Redy money, a bowt the agrement betwext Langly and them, <sup>2</sup> the some of.....	}	xxxv <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 23 of septmbr 1598, to bye divers thinges for perce of winchester, the some of x <sup>li</sup> , dd unto thomas Dowton. I saye	}	x <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 28 of septmbr 1598, to bye divers thinges, for pearce of winchester, the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 29 of septmbr 1598, to bye divers thinges for perce of win- chester <sup>3</sup> .....	}	xij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 29 of septmbr 1598, to bye a boocke of M <sup>r</sup> Drayton and M <sup>r</sup> Dicker, called the firste syvell wares in fraunce <sup>4</sup> .....	}	vj <sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> By this date Chettle had become still more deeply indebted to "us," meaning, perhaps, Henslowe and Alleyn in partnership: the word "us" may, however, apply to the company generally. By a note on p. 126 it appears that Chettle's debt was then only 30s.

<sup>2</sup> The nature of this agreement between Langley and the company is not explained: we have previously been informed (p. 109) that William Birde, or Borne, had been arrested by Langley.

<sup>3</sup> The words, "to fetche home a riche clocke which they had of M<sup>r</sup> Langley," are erased with the pen in this entry, and the other words substituted. Possibly Langley had agreed to furnish the company with certain apparel, and an entry on the next page refers to the same subject.

<sup>4</sup> Meaning, of course, the First Civil Wars in France.

Lent unto the company, the 31 of septmbr 1598,	} to bye a Boooke of Mr Chapman, called the founte of new facianes: <sup>1</sup> pd in pte.....	ijij <sup>ii</sup>
Bowght of Mr Jewby, the 28 of septmbr 1598, a blacke vellvet gercken, and a payer of harcoler clothe of sylver hooesse, for.....		
Bowght for the company, the 1 of octobr 1598, a whitte satten womanes dublett, and a blacke tynsell valle, for .....	} a whitte satten womanes dublett, and a blacke tynsell valle, for .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 3 of octobr 1598, to by a boooke of Mr Ranckenes, <sup>2</sup> called mul- mutius Donwallow, the some of.....		
Lent unto the company, the 4 of octobr 1598, to by a Riche clocke of Mr langley, which they had at ther agreement, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	} the some of .....	xix <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone (Sh. by Bosw., iii., 321) calls this play "the *Fountain* of new *Fashions*," and in a subsequent entry Henslowe gives it that name.

<sup>2</sup> At this date Rankins was writing and printing satires, as well as plays, and in 1598 came out a small 8vo., of only 27 leaves, with the following title: "Seaven Satyres, applyed to the weeke, including the worlds ridiculous follyes. True felicity described in the Phoenix. *Maulgre*. Whereunto is annexed the wandring Satyre. By W. Rankins, Gent. Imprinted at London by Edw. Allde, &c. 1598." There was a rage for satire-writing and satire-reading at the time, which led to the productions in this class of Donne, Lodge, Hall, Marston, Rankins, &c. Rankins may be considered inferior to all his rivals, and the most remarkable feature about his satires is, that they are in seven-line stanzas. "True felicity described in the Phoenix" is a pious poem, headed, *Sola felicitas: Christus mihi Phoenix*.

<sup>3</sup> This sum of £19 for even a "rich cloak" seems a large price, recollecting that it was then equal to nearly £100 of our present money. The next entry is also large, but that was for two cloaks: the cost to which the companies sometimes went for apparel in dressing their plays was enormous. See also upon this point the Alleyn Papers, printed by the Shakespeare Society, pp. 11 and 12.

Lent unto Thomas Dowton, to feache ij clockes owt of pane, the 2 of novmbr 1597, the some of xij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup> : the one clocke was and ashe colerd vellvet embradered with gowld, the other a longe black vellvet clocke layd with sylke lace, which they exspected into the stock, the 28 of septembr 1598: some .....	xij <sup>ii</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 8 of octobr 1598, to bye divers thinges for the playe called the firste sevle warres of france, the some of ...	vij <sup>ii</sup>	
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 11 of octobr 1598, to bye divers thinges for the playe called the first syvell wares of france, the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>	
Lent unto the company, the 12 of octobr 1598, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> Chapman, in fulle payment, for his playe called the Fowntayne of new facionys .....		xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companey, the 12 of octobr 1598, to geve harey cheattell, in pte of payment for his playe called Brutte, some of .....		x <sup>s</sup>
Payd for the company, the 12 of octobr 1598, unto the lace man, for the playe of Perce of winchester, the some of .....	v <sup>ii</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Payd unto M <sup>r</sup> Drayton and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, the 16 of octobr 1598, in pt payment for a Boocke called Connan prince of cornwell, <sup>1</sup> some of ...		xxx <sup>s</sup>
Pdunto Bradshaw, <sup>2</sup> at the requeste of M <sup>r</sup> Drayton and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, in pte of payment of ther boocke called the Connan prince cornwell, some of ...		x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone (Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 321) gives the date of September 1598 to this play, but we now hear of it first.

<sup>2</sup> Bradshaw was not concerned in the authorship of the play: he was either the messenger who conveyed the money to Drayton and Dekker, or this might be a mode taken by the two poets of paying a private debt.

Layde owt for the company, the 18 of octobr 1598, for a Boocke called Brutte, the some of To Harey chettell .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Layd owt for the companey, the 20 of octobr 1598, unto Mr Drayton and Mr Dickers, for a Boocke called connan prince of Cornwell, the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 22 of octobr 1598, to paye harey cheattell for his boocke called Brute, in fulle payment, the some of .....	1s
Lent unto Robart shaw and Jewby, the 23 of octobr 1598, to lend unto Mr Chapman one his playe boocke, and ij ectes of a tragedie of bengemens plotte, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Layd owt for the company, the 3 of novmbr 1598, to Mr Drayton and Mr Dickers, for a Boocke called the second pte of the syvell wares of fraunce, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	vj <sup>ii</sup>
[In the margin, and crossed out, we read as follows:—" Lent H. Cett. vs and ij <sup>s</sup> and ij <sup>s</sup> and vs ij <sup>d</sup> and ij <sup>s</sup> yj <sup>d</sup> , for to areste one with lord Lester. Lent H. Cet. js 8d."] <sup>3</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> We possess no farther information respecting this "tragedy of Benjamin's plot." Malone concludes that Benjamin Jonson was intended, and we know of no other person with that Christian name who was connected with the company. We have already seen, under date of 3 Dec., 1597, pp. 106 and 116, that Ben Jonson had shown the plot of a new play to the company; but we may perhaps conclude, from the terms used, that he was to have no coadjutor in it.

<sup>2</sup> This drama must have been the second part of the First Civil Wars of France, which, we have seen, p. 134, was complete on 29th September.

<sup>3</sup> Possibly "H. Cett" means Henry Chettle, and that for some cause or other he had arrested one of the players of the Earl of Leicester, though we hear of no company acting in 1598 under the name of that nobleman. The earldom became extinct ten years before.

Lent unto Jube and Thomas Dowton, the 8 of novmbr 1598, to bye divers thinges for the playe called the fownte of new faciones, some	v <sup>li</sup>
playe called the fownte of new faciones, some	
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 10 of novmbr 1598, to bye a sackbute of marke antoney for <sup>1</sup>	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 13 of novmbr 1598, to bye wemenes gownd, and other thinges for the fowntayne of newe faciones, the some of...	
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 14 of novmbr 1598, to bye divers thinges for the playe called the fowntayne of newe faciones, the some of...	v <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Robart shaw and Thomas Dowton, the 15 of novmbr 1598, to lend to M <sup>r</sup> Haslett, <sup>2</sup> the some of xx <sup>s</sup> , which W <sup>m</sup> Whitte hathe geven his word for yt .....	
Lent unto Robert shaw and Thomas Dowton, the 16 of novmbr 1598, to lend to M <sup>r</sup> Haslette, agaynest his valtinge, w <sup>ch</sup> W <sup>m</sup> Whitte hath geven his word for yt, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Jemes Cranwigge, the 4 of novmbr 1598, playd his callenge in my howsse, and I sholde have hade for my pte xxxx <sup>s</sup> , which the company Rd and oweth yt to me <sup>3</sup> .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Was "Mark Antony" a nickname for a player who had represented the part, or was the sackbut to be purchased for Mark Antony, as a property necessary in the performance of the character? Henslowe affords elsewhere no means of answering the question.

<sup>2</sup> Haslett, as we learn from the next and other entries, was a tumbler, or vaulter, who exhibited at Henslowe's theatre.

<sup>3</sup> Respecting James Cranwidge, some curious information may be found in Mr. Halliwell's Introduction to the Shakespeare Society's reprint of "Tarlton's Jests." He was a fencer, who had put out bills challenging all comers, and had agreed to pay a certain sum for the use of the theatre, 40s. of which ought to have gone to Henslowe; but it seems that the company had taken possession of his share as well as of their own.

Lent unto Robarte shawe, the 18 of novmbr 1598, to lend unto Mr Dicker, in earneste of a boocke called the 3 pte of the syvell wares of france, <sup>1</sup> some .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robarte shawe, the 18 of novmbr 1598, to lend unto Mr Cheattell, upon the mendyng of the firste pt of Robarte hoode, <sup>2</sup> the some of.....	
Lent unto Robert shaw and Jewby, the 19 of novmbr 1598, to bye divers thinges for the playe called the 2 pte of the syvelle wares of france <sup>3</sup> .....	x <sup>l</sup>
Lent unto Jewby, the 24 of novmbr 1598, to bye divers thinges for the playe called the 2 pte of the syvell wares of france, the some of.....	
Lente unto Harey Chettell, at the Requeste of Robart Shawe, the 25 of novmbr 1598, in earneste of his comodey called tys no deseayt to deseve the desever—for mendinge of Roben hood for the corte <sup>4</sup> .....	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The succession of new pieces must at this date have been rapid: we have heard of the *second* part of this subject only on the 3rd November, and now we learn, on the 18th of November, that a *third* part of the Civil Wars of France was in preparation.

<sup>2</sup> "The mending of the first part of Robin Hood" means making additions and improvements: the piece so mended was, in all probability, "the Downfal of Robert Earl of Huntington." By another entry, lower down, it appears that the play was one of those which had been selected by the Master of the Revels, &c., for performance before the Queen at Court, and on this account it was to be refurbished, and perhaps provided with a new prologue and epilogue.

<sup>3</sup> So that at this date the *second* part had probably not been acted, though Henslowe had paid money in hand for the *third* part.

<sup>4</sup> These words, "for mending of Robin hood for the Court," are interlined.

Lent unto Robart shawe, the 27 of novmbr 1598,	} to bye a dublett and a payer of hosse of clothe of gowld, layd thick with blacke sylke lace, some of .....	} lvij <sup>s</sup>
Sowld unto the company, the 28 of novmbr 1598,		
a shorte vellvett clocke, wraght with bugell, and a gearcken of vellvet layd with brade coper sylver lace, for .....	} iiiij <sup>ii</sup>	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 28 of novmbr 1598, to geve harey cheattell, in earneste of his boocke called tis no desayt to deseave the desever, <sup>1</sup> the some ..		
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 1 of novmbr 1598, <sup>2</sup>	} to lend unto Mr Chapman, the some of.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robarte Shawe, the 6 of desembr 1598, to bye a boocke called ware with owt blowes and love with owt sewte, of Thomas Hawodes, <sup>3</sup> some of .....		

<sup>1</sup> Chettle seems to have had no co-partner in the composition of "Tis no Deceit to deceive the Deceiver, which is first mentioned on the preceding page.

<sup>2</sup> This is an obvious error for 1st December 1598. There is an addition of £88 10s. 0d. under this item, probably due from the company, but it must refer farther back than the page at the bottom of which it is found, as the sums on that page amount only to £82 8s. 0d.

<sup>3</sup> We shall see elsewhere that Thomas Heywood became "a covenant servant" to Henslowe for two years, to play only at his house, on 25th March, 1598. He had been a writer for Henslowe a year and a half before he seems to have adopted the profession of an actor, if, under date 14 October 1596, p. 78, we are to understand "Hawode's boocke" to mean a play by him, which is highly probable. Hereafter we shall meet with frequent mention of him. An account of Heywood and his writings, dramatic and undramatic, as far as materials exist, is prefixed to the Shakespeare Society's reprint of his "Apology for Actors," 1612, 4to.

Lent unto Same Rowley, the 12 of Desembr 1598, to bye divers thinges for to macke cottes for gyantes <sup>1</sup> in Brutte, the some of .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Richard Jonnes, the 22 of desembr 1598, to bye a basse viall and other instru- mentes for the companey <sup>2</sup> .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 22 of desembr 1598, to bye a boocke of harey Poorter called the 2 pte of the 2 angrey wemen of abengton <sup>3</sup>	v <sup>li</sup>
Pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Drayton and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, the 30 of desembr 1598, for a boocke called the 3 pte of the syvell wares of france, the some of.....	v <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Chapman, the 4 of Jenewary 1598, upon iij actes of a tragedie, w <sup>ch</sup> thomas Dowton bad me dd hime, the some of, —— called.....	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 8 of Jenewary 1598, to paye M <sup>r</sup> Chapman in fulle payment for his tragedie, <sup>4</sup> the some of, —— called.....	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the xvij of Jane- wary 1598, to lend unto harey chettell, to paye his charges in the marshallsey, <sup>5</sup> the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chettle's play, in which, as we learn from this memorandum, giants were introduced. "Same Rowley" was of course Samuel Rowley, the dramatist and actor, a member of the company of the Lord Admiral's players, whose name has already occurred on p. 120.

<sup>2</sup> For music probably between the acts.

<sup>3</sup> Henry Porter had written the comedy of "the Two Angry Women of Abingdon" (printed twice in 1599); and we here see that he followed it up by a second part of the same subject.

<sup>4</sup> Henslowe did not know the name of this tragedy by Chapman: it was doubtless the same piece as that for which he had received £3 for three acts four days before.

<sup>5</sup> Chettle's necessities had, by this date, driven him into the Marshalsea prison, in Southwark, not far from Henslowe's theatre.

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 20 of Jane-	} iiij <sup>ii</sup>
wary 1598, to lend unto Mr Drayton, in	
earneste of his playe called W <sup>m</sup> longberd, <sup>1</sup>	
the some of.....	} iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Jube, <sup>2</sup> the 20 of Janewary 1598,	
to lend Mr Dickers, in earneste of his playe	
called the first Introducyon of the syvell wares	} xxxxs
of france, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	
Pd unto my sonne, Edward Alleyn, the 21 of	
Janewary, for the playe of Vay vod, <sup>4</sup> for the	
company, the some of xxxx <sup>s</sup> . I saye pd 1598	

<sup>1</sup> Malone (Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 320) misread this entry, and called the play William Longsword, instead of "William Longbeard:" he also assigns a wrong date to it. Nevertheless, it is quite clear, on Drayton's own evidence, that he had written a drama called William Lonsword: see p. 95. From the correspondence of dates it may perhaps be set down as Henslowe's error; but Thomas Lodge had printed a novel, in 1593, on the story of William Longbeard, and this play by Drayton might be founded upon it. Lodge's tract bears this title: "The Life and Death of William Long beard, the most famous and witty English Traitor, borne in the Citty of London. Accompanied with manye other most pleasant and prettie histories: by T. L. of Lincolns Inne, Gent. *Et nuga seria ducunt.* Printed at London by Rychard Yardley and Peter Short, &c. 1593." 4to, B.L. It is in prose, but interspersed with much poetry; and it contains so many materials adapted to stage representation, that it may appear strange that, in their eagerness to find subjects, it had not yet been taken up by Lodge himself, or some other dramatists of that day.

<sup>2</sup> Probably this Christian name should be Edward, not William: Edward Juby has been frequently spoken of.

<sup>3</sup> The civil wars of France had already, as has been shown (see pp. 134, 137, and 139) furnished three plays to Henslowe's company, and this seems to have been a fourth, in which Dekker took up the story anterior to its commencement by his fellow dramatists.

<sup>4</sup> Alleyn was perhaps the medium of conveying the money to the author of Vayvode.

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 22 of Janewary 1598, to leand unto Mr Chapman, in earneste of a boooke called the world rones a whelles, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 26 of Janewary 1598, to paye Thomas Hawode in full pay- ment for his boooke called Ware without blowes and love without stryfe, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	
Lent unto thomas Downton, the 26 of Janewary 1598, to bye the skyrtes of a womoans gowne of sylver chamlett, the some of .....	lv <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 30 of Jenewary 1598, to descarge Thomas Dickers frome the areaste of my lord Chamberlens men. <sup>3</sup> I saye lent .....	
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 31 of Janewary 1598, to bye tafetie for ij womones gownes, for the ij angrey wemen of abengton, <sup>4</sup> the some of	ijij <sup>ii</sup> ix <sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone erroneously places this comedy, "the World runs on Wheels," by George Chapman, under the date of Jan. 1597-8, but it in fact belongs to Jan. 1598-9. The expression was proverbial, and John Taylor published a tract, in 1623, entitled "The World runs on Wheels."

<sup>2</sup> In an earlier entry, on p. 140, this piece, by Thomas Heywood, is called "War without blows, and Love without suit."

<sup>3</sup> It is impossible now to ascertain why Dekker had been arrested by the Lord Chamberlain's men — the company to which Shakespeare belonged. Possibly he had received money from them in earnest for a play which he had neglected to write, or, when written, had carried it to Henslowe's theatre. Only ten days before this date he received £3 from Henslowe on account of his First Introduction of the Civil Wars of France.

<sup>4</sup> This must have been the *second* part of the play, already noticed, although not so marked in Henslowe's Diary. See also two memoranda, dated only twelve days afterwards, in both of which the *second* part of the Two Angry Women of Abingdon is referred to.

Layd owt for the company, the 1 of febreare 1598, to bye a blacke vellvett gercken, layd thicke with black sylke lace, and a payer of rownd hosse of paynes of sylke, layd with sylver lace, and caneyanes <sup>1</sup> of clothe of sylver, at the requeste of Robarte shawe, the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto harey Porter, the 17 of Janewary 1598, at the request of Richard Alleyn <sup>2</sup> and W <sup>m</sup> Birde, the some of .....		
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 10 of febreary 1598, to bye a boocke of M <sup>r</sup> Hewode, called Jonne as good as my ladey, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton and Samwell Redley, <sup>4</sup> the 10 of febreary 1598, to bye a boocke called fryer Fox and gyllen of Branforde, the some of .....		

<sup>1</sup> See also the word "canyoms," on p. 122, which seems meant for the same part of dress.

<sup>2</sup> Richard Alleyn is not to be confounded with Edward Alleyn, as Steevens confounded them in his note to "the plott of Frederick and Basilea," in Malone's Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 356.

<sup>3</sup> i.e., "Joan as good as my Lady:" on p. 1, Henslowe spelt Joan Jonne. He inserted the name of the comedy after he had made the memorandum of the advance, and partly in the margin of the MS.

<sup>4</sup> "Samuell Redley" is most likely an error for Samuel Rowley. We know of no person of the name of Samuel Redley in connexion with the stage; yet the wonder is how even Henslowe, having often had occasion to mention Samuel Rowley, could make the blunder. The piece here spoken of was some comic performance, in which Friar Fox and the celebrated Julian of Brentford figured. The lady is alluded to by many writers, and a very coarse piece in verse, called "Jyl of Brainfort's Testament," was written by R. Copland, and printed by W. Copland not very long before this date; but, as we have elsewhere remarked (p. 29), the incidents of that drama must have been entirely different from those of the tract, although Julian of Brentford was a character in it.

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 12 of febreyary 1598, to paye Mr Hawode, in fulle payment for his boocke called Jonne as good as my Ladey, the some of.....	ij <i>ii</i>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 12 of febreyary 1598, to paye Mr Poorter, in fulle payment for his boocke called the 2 pte of the angry wemen of abington, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 12 of febreyary 1598, to bye divers thinges for the playe called the 2 pte of the angrey wemen of abington ...	
Lent unto Mr Chapman, the 13 of febreyary 1598, in pt of payment of his boocke called the world ronnes on whelles .....	xx <sup>2</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley, the 16 of febreyary 1598, to lend in pte of payment unto Harry Chettell, upon his boocke of polefemus .....	
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 27 of febreyary 1598, to paye unto harey cheattell, in fulle payment for a playe called Troyes Revenge, <sup>2</sup> with the tragedy of polefeme, the sume of fiftye shellenges ; and strocken of his deatte, which he owes unto the company, fiftye shellinges more .....	1 <i>s</i>

<sup>1</sup> In reference to this play, and its first part, Malone made an extract from a subsequent part of Henslowe's Diary, (see the next page) but he misquoted the date by eight years, making it 28th Feb. 1590 instead of 28th Feb. 1598, which in fact, according to our present reckoning, was the spring of 1599. See Malone's Shaksp. by Bosw. iii., 322. Henry Porter is not mentioned by Henslowe until 1597.

<sup>2</sup> Malone (Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 322), read this entry "*Aeneas Revenge*," but is a clear mistake, and probably was the same play as *Polyphemus*, mentioned in the preceding entry. Chettle was paid 50s. in hand, and 50s. more were struck off the debt he owed to the company, he having been, as we have before seen, over-paid for plays.

Lent unto harey porter, at the Requeste of the company, in earneste of his boooke called ij mery wemen of abenton,<sup>1</sup> the sume of forty shellings; and for the Resayte of that money he gave me his faythfull promysse that I shold have all the boockes which he writte, ether him selfe or with any other, which some was dd the 28 of febreary, 1598. I saye  
 THOMAS DOWNTON, ROBART SHAWE.....

xxxx<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 8 of marche 1598, to paye unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revelles, for the lycensinge of ij playes, the some.....

xiiij<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Harey cheattell, the 4 of marche 1598, in earneste of his boooke, which harey Porter and he is a writinge, the some of, — called the Spencers<sup>2</sup> .....

x<sup>s</sup>

Pd unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revelles, the 18 of marche 1598, for the lysensynge of ij boockes, some of .....

xiiij<sup>s</sup>

Pd unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revelles man, for the lysensynge of a boooke called the 4 Kynges...

vij<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 22 of marche 1598, to paye unto M<sup>r</sup> porter, in full paymente of his playe called the Spencers the some of...

v<sup>ll</sup> x<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "The two merry Women of Abingdon" was probably another name for the second part of "the two angry Women of Abingdon." The conclusion of the entry shows that Henry Porter was in request as a dramatist, and that at this time there existed strong rivalry among the companies acting in London and its vicinity. The arrest of Dekker, at the instance of the Lord Chamberlain's players, p. 143, may perhaps be considered a proof to the same effect.

<sup>2</sup> Malone attributes this play, on the story of the Despencers, to Henry Porter alone, but Henry Chettle was concerned with him in writing it. Marlowe's Edward the Second was printed in 1598, perhaps owing to the success of Porter's and Chettle's play.

Pd unto the Mr of the Revelles man, for the lysenyng of a booke called Brute grenshillde, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Some 84 <sup>li.</sup> 16 <sup>s.</sup> 00 <sup>d.</sup> . <sup>2</sup>	
Lent hary chettell the 27 of marche 1598, the some of vs .....	
Lent unto Jewbe, the 31 of marche 1598, to bye divers thinges for alexander and lodewicke <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	v <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Harey Porter, at the apoyntment of Thomas Downton, the 7 of aprell 1599, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, to lende unto Mr Dickers and harey cheattell, in earneste of ther boooke called Troyeles and creasse daye, <sup>4</sup> the some of, — aprell 7 daye 1599 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Towne and Richard Alleyn, to go to the Corte upon ester even, <sup>5</sup> the some of, — Aprell 7 daye 1599.....	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> It may be doubted whether "Brute Grenshillde" is the same play as the "Brute," previously introduced on p. 133.

<sup>2</sup> This is Henslowe's addition of his advances at the bottom of the page, where the loan of 5s. to Chettle is thrust into the corner.

<sup>3</sup> On a revival of the play, for it had been produced (see p. 84) on the 14th January 1597.

<sup>4</sup> Malone quotes this remarkable entry (showing that Dekker and Chettle were engaged in April 1599 on a play with the name, and on the subject, adopted by our great dramatist) in Shaksp. by Boswell, iii., 381. Henslowe gets a little nearer the proper spelling of the title in a subsequent memorandum. It seems probable (Shakesp. by Collier, vi., 4) that Shakespeare's *Troilus and Cressida* was not acted until 1609, and that the entry in the Stationers' Registers of a play with that title, dated 7th Feb. 1602, applies to Dekker's and Chettle's drama.

<sup>5</sup> When, perhaps, there were theatrical performances at Court.

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 9 of Aprell 1599, to bye dyvers thinges, as 4 clothe clockes, and macke up a womones gowne, the some of—For the Spencers .....	xli
Lent unto the compayne, the 11 of aprell 1599, to bye a frenche hoode, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 14 of Aprell 1599, to macke divers thinges for the playe of the Spencers, the some of .....	xv <sup>h</sup>
Lent unto harey cheattell and M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, in pte of payment of ther boocke called Troyelles and cresseda, the 16 of Aprell 1599 <sup>1</sup> .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 17 of aprell 1599, to lend unto Edward, my lordes pagge, <sup>2</sup> which was dd unto Robart Shawe, the some of .....	xxx <sup>a</sup>
Delyvered unto Thomas Downton boye, Thomas parsones, to bye divers thinges for the playe of the Spencers, the 16 of aprell 1599, the some of <sup>3</sup> .....	v <sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone (Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 331) by an important error of the press, in connexion with Shakespeare's *Troilus and Cressida*, misdates this memorandum 16th April 1592.

<sup>2</sup> Meaning, the page of the Earl of Nottingham, Lord Admiral.

<sup>3</sup> After this entry, several leaves have been cut out, and the next ten pages relate to loans to various persons, either to or through Francis Henslowe, upon pledges of every description—plate, jewels, apparel, furniture, &c. The dates are between 16 January, and 11 October 1593. They are in no respect illustrative of the stage or drama of the time, and no poets or actors are named; and the following may be given as sufficient specimens of this portion of the MS.:—

“Lent unto Francis, the 9 of Aprill 1593, upon a remnant of brod clothe, which the Quene gave a mandy Thursday to a poore woman dwellinge in theveng lane, for x<sup>s</sup>.

“Lent unto Francis, the 9 of Aprell 1593, upon a sade greene cloke of goodwiffe Allen, which [she] lyfte in the rome of a remnant of clothe brode,

Hary Cheattell hath strocken of his deate as foloweth, 1598,  
with the companye.

Pd of his deate in his boocke of polefeme <sup>1</sup> .....	1 <sup>s</sup>
Pd of his deate in his boocke of the spencers.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd of his deate in his boocke of plasidas <sup>2</sup> .....	x <sup>s</sup>

Antony Jeaffes and the company doth owe unto me for my  
boye, Jeames Bristo, wages, from the 23 of Aprell 1600; w<sup>ch</sup>  
Robart shawe hath geven his word for the paymente.

Wittnes RICHARD JONNES.

THOMAS TOWNE.

Troyoles and creasseday.<sup>3</sup>

Received by me, thomas bristo,<sup>4</sup> scietison and uphollder,  
the xxix of Januarie 1594, in fulpemente of richarde vickers,  
the some of iiij<sup>l</sup> x<sup>s</sup>. I say received by mee, THOMAS BRISTO.

which the Quene gave on Mandy Thursday to a poore womon dwellinge  
in theven lane, x<sup>s</sup>.

“Lent unto Francis, the 11 of October 1593, upon iiiij gowld Ringes,  
1 pancey, j mayes, j ringe of a whitte stone, j hoope ringe, for xx<sup>s</sup>.

“Lent unto Francis, the 18 of July 1593, upon and owld carpett of  
turkey worcke, very thicke, for x<sup>s</sup>.

“Lent unto Francis, the 10 of Desembr 1593, upon a footmanes cotte  
of vellvet, with the colysenes [cognisances?] upon them of sylver, xx<sup>s</sup>.”

<sup>1</sup> This is a confirmation of the memorandum on p. 145, that Chettle had struck 50<sup>s</sup> off his debt to the company.

<sup>2</sup> Malone takes no notice of this entry, which shows that Chettle had contrived to make a play out of the dull incidents of the legend of Sir Placidus, upon which John Partridge had written and printed a poem in 1566, 8vo. It is mentioned again afterwards.

<sup>3</sup> This title stands by itself at the top of a page, as if something had been intended to be added which was omitted.

<sup>4</sup> Thomas Bristo, the writer of this note, was perhaps the father of Henslowe's boy, James Bristo, mentioned in the preceding entry.

Lent unto John Haslett, valter, the 27 of marche  
 1598, in Redey money, the some of tenne shil-  
 linges. I saye .....

x<sup>s</sup>

Wittnes PIGGE,<sup>1</sup> and JEMES.

Lent John Haslette, valter, to bye a gearte for  
 his horsse when he tombled<sup>2</sup> .....

vj<sup>a</sup>

Lent unto John Haslette, valter, the 7 of Aprell  
 1599, the some of .....

x<sup>s</sup>

wittnes E. ALLEYN.

Lent unto cheattell, for Sir plasidas, the 9 of  
 Aprell 1599, the some of .....

x<sup>s</sup>

Be it knownen to all men by these presents, that I, Henry  
 Chettle, of London, Stationer,<sup>3</sup> doo ow unto Phelip Hinslow,  
 of the parish of St Saviours, the somme of ix<sup>h</sup> ix<sup>s</sup>, on this 22<sup>d</sup>  
 of october 1598. In witnes whereof I have here under seat  
 my hand.

HENRY CHETTLE.

Wytnesse ROBT. SHAA.

<sup>1</sup> Apparently the nickname of some actor, introduced also into the plot of *Frederick and Basilea*. Malone conjectured that Pigge, and Pyge, and Pygge, might mean *Psyche*, (Shaksp. by Boswell, iii., 310, 313, 315), which, as Steevens remarked, was not a very happy guess. “Jemes,” the other witness to this loan, was most likely Henslowe’s boy.

<sup>2</sup> Haslett, who has been before mentioned on p. 138, was no doubt to vault over the horse.

<sup>3</sup> This entry is entirely written by Chettle, who in it calls himself “Stationer:” he had been, at one time, a printer, and writing to Thomas Nash, in 1596, subscribes himself “your old compositor.” See “Have with you to Saffron Walden,” sign. v, 2 b. One work with Chettle’s name at the bottom of the title-page is known: it bears date in 1591, and he was then in partnership with W. Hoskins and J. Danter. In 1592 he edited Greene’s *Groat’sworth of Wit*, and followed it by his own *Kindheart’s Dream*; but neither of them was printed by or for him.

Borrowed of phillip Henchlowe, xx<sup>s</sup>, the vij<sup>th</sup> of Aprill,  
anno. dom. 1599.

HENRY PORTER.<sup>1</sup>

Lent Thomas Dickers and harey chettell, the 2 of maye 1599, to descarge harey chettell of his A reste from Ingrome, <sup>2</sup> the some of twenty shellyngs, in Redy money: I saye lent xx <sup>s</sup> .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent more the same time unto Mr Dickers, in ear- nest of a Boocke called orestes fures <sup>3</sup> .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Frances Henslow, the 15 of desembr 1597, when he went to tack his howsse one the bancksyde, called the uper grown, <sup>4</sup> the some of vj <sup>ii</sup> I saye leant.. .....	vj <sup>ii</sup>

*Heare I begane to Receive the gallereys agayne, which they Received,  
begynnyng at myhellmas wecke, beinge the 6 of octobr 1599,  
as foloweth: <sup>5</sup>*

Rd the 6 of octobr 1599 .....	v <sup>ii</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 20 of octobr 1599.....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>	ij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This memorandum is in the handwriting of Henry Porter.

<sup>2</sup> Henry Chettle being again in difficulties, and under arrest, his friend Dekker seems to have interfered with Henslowe in his behalf.

<sup>3</sup> Dekker does not appear to have had any coadjutor in Orestes' Furies.

<sup>4</sup> The Upper Pike Garden is mentioned in the Alleyn Papers, p. 49: perhaps "the upper ground" was near it.

<sup>5</sup> This erased account occupies the whole page, and extends to the 13th July 1600. The company seems not to have performed between the 10th Feb. and the 9th March 1599-1600. Henslowe is very careless in his dates, and makes the 30th March belong to the year 1599, when in fact the year 1600 began five days earlier. See on p. 129 what appears to be the commencement of the same account, but here one item is repeated, and another omitted: here, as there, it is impossible now to ascertain why the receipts were so irregular.

Rd the 27 of octobr 1599 .....	ijj <sup>li</sup> xiiijs
Rd the 3 of novmbr 1599.....	vijj <sup>li</sup> xvjs
Rd the 10 of novmbr 1599 .....	vj <sup>li</sup> ix <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 18 of novmbr 1599 .....	ij <sup>li</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 25 of novmbr 1599 .....	vij <sup>li</sup> iiijs
Rd the 1 of Desembr 1599 .....	v <sup>li</sup> xiijs
Rd the 8 of Desembr 1599 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>
Rd the 16 of Desembr 1599.....	ij <sup>li</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 23 of Desembr 1599.....	iiij <sup>li</sup> iijs
Rd the 30 of Desembr 1599.....	x <sup>li</sup> viij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 6 of Jenewary 1599 .....	ix <sup>li</sup> ix <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 13 of Janewary 1599 .....	vj <sup>li</sup> xvjs
Rd the 20 of Janewary 1599 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 27 of Janewary 1599 .....	j <sup>li</sup> xvjs
Rd the 3 of febreary 1599 .....	vij <sup>li</sup> xiiijs
Rd the 10 of febreary 1599 .....	vij <sup>li</sup> xiijs
Rd the 9 of marche 1599 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> xiijs
Rd the 16 of marche 1599 .....	vj <sup>li</sup>
Rd the 23 of marche 1599 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 30 of marche 1599 .....	xj <sup>li</sup> xiiijs
Rd the 6 of Aprell 1600 .....	vj <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 14 of Aprell 1600 .....	v <sup>li</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 21 of aprell 1600 .....	vj <sup>li</sup> xiiijs
Rd the 29 of aprell 1600 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 4 of maye 1600.....	iiij <sup>li</sup> vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 11 of maye 1600 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> xvjs
Rd the 18 of maye 1600 .....	xij <sup>li</sup> iiijs
Rd the 25 of maye 1600 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 1 of June 1600.....	iiij <sup>li</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 8 of June 1600...	vj <sup>li</sup> xj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 15 of June 1600 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> xiijs
Rd the 22 of June 1600 .....	vij <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the j of Julye 1600.....	v <sup>li</sup> viij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 6 of Julye 1600 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 13 of Julye 1600 .....	iiij <sup>li</sup> vj <sup>s</sup>

*Layde out for the company of my lord of Notingame men, frome  
the 26 of maye 1599, as foloweth: 1599: <sup>1</sup>*

Pd unto the lace man, at the apoyntment of the company, the 26 of maye 1599, in pte of pay- ment to him for lace, the some of .....	vli
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Dickers and M <sup>r</sup> Chettell, the 26 of maye 1599, in earneste of a Boocke called the tragedie of Agamemnon, <sup>2</sup> the some of ...	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robarte Shawe, the 30 of maye, 1599, in full paymente of the Boocke called the tra- gedie of Agamemnone, the some of .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>
Pd unto the Mr of the Revelles man, for ly- censynge of a Boocke called the tragedie of agamemnon, the 3 of June 1599 <sup>3</sup> .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the lace man, the 2 of June 1599, in pte of payment to him for coper lace, the some of .....	vli
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 2 of June 1599, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> Chapman, for his Boocke called the worlde runnes a whelles, some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the lace man, the 8 of June 1599, at the apoyntment of the company, in pt of pay- ment to him for coper lace, some of .....	vli
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne and Jewby, the 21 of June 1599, to lend unto M <sup>r</sup> Chapman upon his Boocke called the world ronnes a whelles, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> It will be seen on p. 148 that the last account of this kind terminated  
on 16 April 1599, leaving therefore an interval of more than a month.

<sup>2</sup> This title is interlined over the words "Troylls and creseda."

<sup>3</sup> We may perhaps conclude that the tragedy was represented for the  
first time very soon after it had been so licensed.

Lent unto thomas Dowton, the 2 of July 1599, to paye Mr Chapman, in full paymente for his boocke called the world rones a whelles, and now all foolles, but the foolle, <sup>1</sup> some of.....	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent thomas Dowton, the 5 of Julye 1599, to bye coper lace, the some of .....	}	xij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 13 of July 1599, to bye enstrumentes <sup>2</sup> for the company, the some of .....	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samewell Rowley and Thomas Down- ton, the 15 of Julye 1599, to bye a Boooke of Thomas Dickers, called the gentle Craft, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	}	iiij <sup>lii</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 17 of Julye 1599, to lend unto Mr Chapman, in earneste of a pastrall tragedie, <sup>4</sup> the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Deckyers, the 24 of July 1599, at the Requeste of Samuell Rowly and Thomas Downton, in earneste of a Boooke called step- mothers tragedy <sup>5</sup> .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> In this memorandum, we seem to have a notice of three separate works by Chapman; the *World runs on Wheels*, *All Fools*, and *The Fool*. Of the two last, no mention is made by Malone, but *All Fools* was printed in 1605, and is reprinted in Dodsley's *Old Plays*, last edit., vol. iv., p. 102. It may be doubted whether Henslowe does not mean that the title of *All Fools* was substituted for the *World runs on Wheels*.

<sup>2</sup> Musical instruments. We have had money advanced for the same purpose before: see p. 141.

<sup>3</sup> This play was first printed anonymously in 1600, under the title of *The Shoemaker's Holiday, or The Gentle Craft*. Henslowe interlined the title, not knowing it when he first made the memorandum of the payment of the money.

<sup>4</sup> Malone overlooked this entry regarding Chapman's *Pastoral Tragedy*.

<sup>5</sup> Dekker and Chettle were joint authors of this play, but Malone, vol. iii., p. 323, erroneously assigns it to Chettle only.

Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 1 of aguste 1599, to paye Mr Deckers for a boooke called beare a braine, <sup>1</sup> the some of xxxx <sup>s</sup> , in fulle payment: lent unto Mr Deckers, at that time, xx <sup>s</sup> , so all is .....	ijij <sup>h</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, alles birde, the 10 of aguste 1599, to lend unto Bengemyne John- sone and thomas Deckers, in earneste of ther boooke they are a writtinge, called pagge of plimothe, <sup>2</sup> the some .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 20 of aguste 1599, to lend unto Hawghton, in earnest of a Boooke called the poore manes paradice, the some of .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Harey Chettell and Th. D. <sup>3</sup> the 23 of aguste 1599, in earneste of his playe called the stepmothers tragedie, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 25 of aguste 1599, to pay Thomas Hawton <sup>4</sup> for his Boooke called the poore manes paradice, the some of	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, Thomas Downton, and Jewbey, the 25 of aguste 1599, to paye harye Chettell for his Boooke called the step- mothers tragedie, some .....	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Interlined above "Better latte then never," which is struck through.

<sup>2</sup> Malone calls this piece *Peg of Plymouth*, and (vol. iii., p. 323), assigns the authorship of it to Bird, Downton, and Juby, who were only actors in it: elsewhere, however, (p. 334) he informs us that Ben Jonson was concerned in it with Dekker. On p. 105 will be seen an entry of Dec. 1597, regarding a drama on the same subject.

<sup>3</sup> Th. D. no doubt stands for Thomas Dekker. For "his play" we should of course read *their* play.

<sup>4</sup> This author's name was William, and not Thomas Haughton: it is interlined, Henslowe having first written H. Chettle. In the next item but one Henslowe calls Edward Juby William Jube.

Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, Thomas Downton, W <sup>m</sup> Jube, the 2 of Septembr 1599, to paye in fulle pay- ment for a Boocke called the lamentable tra- gedy of Pagge of plemoth, the some of.....	vj <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 3 of septmbr 1599, to lend unto Thomas Deckers, Bengem- men Johnson, hary Chettell, and other Jentell- man, in earneste of a playe calld Robart the second, Kinge of Scottes tragedie, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	
Lent unto Jewbey and thomas towne, the 12 of septmbr 1599, to bye wemen gownes for Page of plemoth, the some of.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley and Robart shawe, the 15 of septmbr 1599, to lend in earneste of a Boocke called the Scottes tragedi, unto Thomas Dickers and Harey chettell, the some of.....	x <sup>ii</sup>
Lent hary chettell, the 16 of septmbr 1599, in earneste of a Boocke called the scottes tragedie, the some of.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 27 of Septmbr 1599, to lend unto Bengemen Johnsone, in earneste of a Boocke called the scottes tragedie, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, the 28 of septembr 1599, to lend unto M <sup>r</sup> maxton, the new poete (M <sup>r</sup> Mastone), <sup>2</sup> in earneste of a Boocke called —, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone quotes this item (Shaksp. by Boswell, iii., 334), but he puts "gentleman" in the plural, as if four poets were not enough for one tragedy. Henslowe did not know the name of the "other gentleman" concerned with Dekker, Jonson, and Chettle.

<sup>2</sup> The name, "Mr. Mastone," is interlined in a different hand, in order to correct the misspelling of "Maxton;" but neither was right, "the

Lent unto the littell tayller, the 4 of octobr  
 1599, to bye divers for the play of polefeme,  
 the some of.....} viij<sup>s</sup>

The 13<sup>th</sup> of october 1599, Lent unto Thomas  
 Downton, for the Booke of Trystram de  
 Lyons<sup>1</sup> .....} 3<sup>ii</sup>

Reckned with the company of my lorde the Earlle of not-  
 ingames men to this place, and I have layd owt for them the  
 some of vj hunderd and thirtie two powndes, and they have  
 payd unto me of this deatte iij hunderd and fiftie and eyghte  
 powndes, to this daye, being the 13 of octobr 1599.

Lent H. chettell, some of v<sup>s</sup>

Lent H chettell, some v<sup>s</sup>

Lent H chettell, some v<sup>s</sup>

Lent iij<sup>s</sup> Lent ij<sup>s</sup>.<sup>2</sup>

This 14<sup>th</sup> o October 1599.

Receaved by me Robt Shaa, of phillip Henslowe,  
 to paye H. Chettle, in full painment of a booke  
 called the stepmothers tragedy, for the use of  
 the company, iiiij<sup>ii</sup> I say Receaved<sup>3</sup> .....

new poet" being, no doubt, the celebrated John Marston. The name of his contribution to Henslowe's company is nowhere mentioned: his *Antonio and Mellida* and *Antonio's Revenge* were printed in 1602, and it might be one of them. As far as we know, he commenced his career in 1598, when his "Metamorphosis of Pigmalion's Image and certain Satyres" came out: his "Scourge of Villany" appeared in the same year, and both were so popular that they were twice reprinted in 1599. This last fact is not generally known.

<sup>1</sup> Malone takes no notice of this play of Tristram de Lyons: the note is entirely in Downton's handwriting.

<sup>2</sup> This entry of small advances to Chettle is inserted in the margin of the MS., and is without date.

<sup>3</sup> This memorandum is in Shaw's handwriting.

This 16 of october 99

Received by me, Thomas Downton, of phillip Henslow, to pay M <sup>r</sup> Monday, M <sup>r</sup> Drayton, and M <sup>r</sup> Wilson and Hathway, for the first pte of the lyfe of S <sup>r</sup> Jhon Ouldcasstell, and in earnest of the second pte, <sup>1</sup> for the use of the compayny, ten pownd, I say received .....	10 <sup>11</sup>
Received by me, Samuell Rowlye, of phyllyp Henchloe, for Harrye chettell, in earneste of the playe of patient Gryssell, for the use of the comepanye <sup>2</sup> .....	
Lent unto Robart shaw, the 1 of novmbr 1599, to lent unto Mr Willsones, the some of.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart shaw, the 1 of novmbr 1599, to lend unto W <sup>m</sup> Harton, in earneste of a Boocke called the tragedie of John Cox, some of .....	
Received of M <sup>r</sup> Hinchloe, for M <sup>r</sup> Mundaye and the Reste of the poets, at the playnge of S <sup>r</sup> John Oldcastell, the ferste tyme. As a gefte <sup>2</sup> .....	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This entry (which is quoted by Malone, iii. 329, in proof that Shakespeare had nothing to do with the piece as printed in 1600) is entirely in the handwriting of Downton, the actor, who received the money for Monday, Drayton, Wilson, and Hathway. At a subsequent date, we shall find that Dekker was paid for "additions to Oldcastle." It deserves remark that there are copies of the play, printed in 1600, with and without the name of Shakespeare on the title-page.

<sup>2</sup> In the handwriting of Rowley. Haughton and Dekker were also (as appears by other memoranda) concerned in it: it was printed anonymously in 1603, and has been reprinted by the Shakespeare Society.

<sup>3</sup> Although this entry (by Samuel Rowley) is without date, yet, placed where it is, there can be little doubt that the first part of Sir John Oldcastle was played for the first time between the 1st and the 8th of Nov. 1599. The success seems to have been so great as to induce the old careful manager to make the four poets a present of half-a-crown each.

Received of Mr Ph. Hinchlow, by a note under the hand of Mr Rob. Shaw, in full payment, for the second pt of Henrye Richmond, <sup>1</sup> sold to him and his Companye, the some of eight pownds current money, the viij <sup>th</sup> daye of november 1599 .....	vij <sup>ii</sup>
By me R. WILSON.	
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Harton and John Daye, at the Apoyntment of Thomas Dowton, in earnest of a Boooke called the tragedie of Cox of collinster, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
The ix of november.	
Received of Phillip Hinchlow, to pay Thomas Deckker, in earnest of a booke cald the hole history of Fortunatus, <sup>2</sup> xxxx <sup>s</sup> : by me Thomas Downton.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 10 of novmbr 1599, to lend unto harey chettell, in earnest of his boooke called —, the some of tenne shellenges. I saye.....	x <sup>s</sup>
The xiiij <sup>th</sup> of november 1599.	
Received of Mr Phillip Hinchlow, to pay to William hauton and Jhon Day, for the tragedy of Cox of Collomton, <sup>3</sup> the som of three pownd.—received in full .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> We know nothing of any *first part* of Henry Richmond.

<sup>2</sup> This play must have been some new version of the story of Fortunatus, for we have already seen (p. 64) that the first part of a play, with that title, had been acted in 1595. Possibly the earlier drama was not by Dekker, as we have supposed, or that this "whole history of Fortunatus" is to be considered the *second* part of the former play. It appears that Dekker received £6 for the play, and afterwards £3 more for altering it, to adapt it for performance at Court. It was printed in 1600.

<sup>3</sup> Malone calls this play "John Cox of Colmiston," and just above we see the place enclit Collinster but the true title of it was "John Cox of

Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Harton, the 21 of novmbr, in earneste of his boooke called Merrie, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dickers, the 24 of novmbr 1599, in earneste of his Boooke called the wholle historye of fortewnatus, the some of...	}	ij <sup>ii</sup>
Wittnes JOHN SHAA. <sup>2</sup>		
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Harton and John Daye, the 27 of novmbr 1599, in earneste of a tragedie called Mereie, the some of .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
as may apere.		
Lent unto harey chettell, the 27 of novmbr 1599, in earneste of a Boooke, called the tragedie of orphenes, <sup>3</sup> the some of —, as may apere ...	}	x <sup>s</sup>
Receaved of M <sup>r</sup> Henslowe, this xxx <sup>th</sup> of novembr 1599, to pay M <sup>r</sup> Deckers, in fulle payment for his booke of fortunatus .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
By me ROBT. SHAA. <sup>4</sup>		
Receaved of M <sup>r</sup> Henshlow, this xxxi <sup>st</sup> of novem 1599, for the use of the Com., ten pownd for wemens gowns.....	}	x <sup>ii</sup>
By me THOMAS DOWNTON.		

Collumpton," and it related to a murder committed there. It has been already introduced on p. 95, under date of 1 Nov 1599.

<sup>1</sup> Afterwards called "the Tragedy of Merry." See also pp. 92 and 93.

<sup>2</sup> Probably related to Robert Shaa, or Shaw, whose name has frequently occurred. The signature only was written by John Shaa.

<sup>3</sup> "The tragedy of Orphans" is inserted by Malone, in his extracts from Henslowe's Diary, under the date of Sept. 1601, but this is an error. It has been before noticed on p. 93, and possibly it was founded on the popular story of the Children in the Wood.

<sup>4</sup> In this part of the MS. the entries were not unfrequently made by the actors themselves, and not by Henslowe or the scribe he sometimes employed: such is the case in the present instance.

Lent unto Thomas Dickers, at the apoyntment of Robart shawe, the 31 of novmbr 1599, which I borowed of Mr greffen, <sup>1</sup> for the altrenge of the boocke of the wholl history of fortewnatus, the some of.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawton and John Day, the 5 of Desembr 1599, in earneste of ther boocke called Mereye, at the apoyntment of Robart shawe, the some of —, as may apere.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Daye, the 6 of desembr 1599, in earneste of a boocke called merye, as may apere .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton and John Daye, the 6 of Desembr 1599, in full payment of ther boocke called the tragedie of merie, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Received of Mr Hinchlow, for the use of the companye, x <sup>li</sup> , for to by things for Fortuna- tus .....	x <sup>li</sup>

By me      THOMAS DOWNTON.

Pd unto Mr Deckers, the 12 of Desembr 1599, for the eande of Fortewnatus, for the corte, at the apoyntment of Robarte shaw, the some of	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto harey chettell and W <sup>m</sup> Harton, the 13 of Desembr 1599, in earneste of his Boocke called arceadian virgen, <sup>2</sup> the some of.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto harey chettell and W <sup>m</sup> Harton, the 17 of Desembr 1599, in earneste of ther boocke called arkedian virgen, the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> We might infer from this entry that there had been so considerable a drain upon Henslowe's purse, that he was obliged to borrow £1, to be paid to Dekker for *altering* Fortunatus: "the whole history" may therefore have been an improvement of the old play of 1595.

<sup>2</sup> Henslowe no doubt meant some piece called The Arcadian Virgin, of which we hear on no other authority.

Lent unto thomas Dickkers, harey chettell, W<sup>m</sup> harton, in earneste of a Boocke called patient Grissell, at the apointment of Robart shawe, by his letter, the some of three pownds, the 19 of desembr 1599 ..... } *iiij<sup>li</sup>*

Received of Mr Henshlowe, to pay the taylor xxv<sup>s</sup>, and to the Mr of the Revells man xiiiij<sup>s</sup> for the lycensyng of 2 bookes ..... } *xxxix<sup>s</sup>*

by me ROBT SHAA.

Received of M<sup>r</sup> Henchlow, for the use of the company, to pay M<sup>r</sup> Drayton for the second pte of S<sup>r</sup> Jhon Ouldcasell, foure pownd: I say received ..... } *iiij<sup>li</sup>*

p me THOMAS DOWNTON.<sup>1</sup>

Received of Mr Henshlowe, the 26<sup>th</sup> of decembr 1599, to pay Tho. Deckers, H. Chettle, and Will Hawton, for pacient Grissill, vj<sup>li</sup> I say Received } *vj<sup>li</sup>*

by me ROBT SHAA.<sup>2</sup>

Lent unto thomas Deckers, the 28 of desembr 1599, in earneste of a playe called pacient gresell, the some of ..... } *v<sup>s</sup>*

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Harton, the 29 of Desembr 1599, in earneste of patient Gresell, some of ..... } *v<sup>s</sup>*

Received this 19<sup>th</sup> of January 1599, in behalfe of the Company, to pay the Laceman vli: I say Received ..... } *vli*

ROBT. SHAA.

<sup>1</sup> Quoted by Malone in Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 329. On the 16th October 1599 (p. 158), we have had a previous entry of money paid upon account for this second part of Sir John Oldcastle.

<sup>2</sup> In the whole, it seems that Henslowe paid the unusually large sum of £10 10s. 0d. for Patient Grissill.

sent unto John Daye, the 10 of Jenewary 1599,	} in earneste of his boocke called the etalyan tragedie of <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
sent unto mihell Drayton, antony mondaye, M <sup>r</sup>		
Hathwaye, and M <sup>r</sup> Willsone, at the apoynt- ment of Thomas Downton, in earneste of a	} playe Boocke called Owen teder, <sup>2</sup> the some of >d unto the M <sup>r</sup> of the Revells man, for lycen- synge of a Boocke called Beeches tragedie, <sup>3</sup>	iiiij <sup>ii</sup>
the some of.....		
sent unto Thomas towne, the 18 of Janewary 1599, to lend Thomas Dickers, in earneste of	} a playe Boocke called trewghts suplication to candelighte, <sup>4</sup> some of, as may apere .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Received of M <sup>r</sup> Henshlowe, the 26 <sup>th</sup> of January 1599, xx <sup>s</sup> , to geve unto the tayler to buy a		
grey gowne for gryssell, <sup>5</sup> I say Received.....		xx <sup>s</sup>
by me ROBT. SHAA.		

<sup>1</sup> A blank was left by Henslowe for the name of this Italian tragedy, which he did not afterwards fill up.

<sup>2</sup> No doubt Owen Tudor, as Malone prints the title.

<sup>3</sup> Beeches, or Beech's tragedy must have been the same as the tragedy of Thomas Merry, previously introduced. Beech was a London merchant, who was murdered by his servant Merry.

<sup>4</sup> Henslowe means "Truth's Supplication to Candle-light." See another entry on p. 95, where the title is properly spelt.

<sup>5</sup> The gown in which she was dressed in the earlier scenes of the play, and in which the Marquis, before she is sent back to her father, has her e-clad:—

"Disrobe her of these rich habiliments;  
Take down her hat, her pitcher, and her gown,  
And as she came to me in beggary,  
So drive her to her father's" &c.

Pd unto the Lace man, the 28 of Janewary 1599, at the apoyntment of Robart shawe and Thomas Downton, the some of .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Dickers, at the apoyntment of the company, the 30 of Janewary 1599, in erneste of a Boocke called trewth suplication to candelithe .....	
Rd by W <sup>m</sup> Harton for hime.	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 6 of febreary 1599, for to bye a drome, to go into the countrye <sup>1</sup> .....	
Received of Mr Henslowe, this 7 <sup>th</sup> of feb- reary 1599, the some of xxij <sup>s</sup> , to buy 2 trum- pettes ... .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>

ROBT. SHAA.

Pd unto the laceman, the 9 of febreary 1599, at the apoyntment of the company, the some of .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 9 of Febreray 1599, to paye the cootchman, Symes, the some of .....	
Lent unto me, W. Birde, the 9 of februarye, to paye for a new booke, to Will Boyle, <sup>2</sup> cald Jugurth, xxx <sup>s</sup> , w <sup>ch</sup> if you dislike Ile repaye it backe .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Probably, the company made an expedition into the provinces, and for this purpose required a drum, and the two trumpets mentioned in the next entry, which were often beaten and blown to give notice of their arrival in any town where they intended to perform. Lower down, there is a payment of £3 on the 9th Feb. to a coachman.

<sup>2</sup> The name of William Boyle has not occurred before; and as it is not afterwards mentioned by Henslowe, we may perhaps infer that he "disliked" the piece, and that W. Birde (who wrote the entry) was obliged to refund the money.

Lent unto Thomas Dowton, 10 of febrearye 1599,	x <sup>s</sup>
for the companye to geve unto father ogell, and other thinges .....	
Sence we left playinge.—Layd owt for the com- pany, the 13 of febrearye 1599, for a boocke called the Spaneshe Mores tragedie, <sup>1</sup> unto	iiij <sup>li</sup>
Thomas Deckers, W <sup>m</sup> Harton, John Daye, in pte of payment, the some of .....	
Layd owt for the company, the 16 of febrearye 1599, in earnest of a Boocke called Damon and Pethyus, <sup>2</sup> as may apere: some is .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
to harry chettell.	
Receavd of Mr Hinchlow, the 1 of march, to paye to Harry chettell, Thomas Decker, William hawton, and Jhon Daye, for a boocke calld the 7 wise M <sup>rs</sup> , <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	xl <sup>s</sup>

W. BIRDE.

<sup>1</sup> i.e., "The Spanish Moors Tragedy," which Malone miscalls "the Spanish Morris." (Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 324.) The error is the more important as it is most likely the very piece of which a Spanish Moor is the hero, and which was printed in 1657 under the title of "Lust's Dominion," and then falsely attributed to Marlowe. *Vide* Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, iii., 96; and Dodsley's Old Plays, ii., 311, last edition. The historical events in Spain to which Lust's Dominion relates did not happen until some years after the death of Marlowe, and this fact was pointed out as long since as the year 1825; but it has nevertheless been absurdly included in the collected editions of the works of Marlowe. See Collier's Shakespeare, iv., 98.

<sup>2</sup> Richard Edwards wrote a play on the story of Damon and Pythias, which was printed in 1582, if not earlier, and included in Dodsley's Old Plays, i., 177, last edit. This might be a new piece, by Henry Chettle, on the same incidents, or an alteration of the older play.

<sup>3</sup> By subsequent entries (not, like the present, in Birde's handwriting), it will be seen that Henslowe advanced no less than £38 for taffaty, satin, &c., for producing the play of the Seven Wise Masters. This sum was equal to not much less than £200 of our present money.

Lent unto Samewell Rowley, the 8 of marche  
 1599, to paye unto Harey chettell and John  
 Daye, in fulle payment of a boocke called the  
 vij wisse masters, the some of ..... }  
 1s  
 SAMUEL ROWLYE.

Lent unto hary chettell, the 2 of marche 1599,  
 in earneste of a boocke called the 7 wisse }  
 masters, the some of ..... } xxx<sup>s</sup>  
 Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Birde, the 10 of marche 1599,  
 to geve harey chettell, in earneste of his }  
 Boocke called Damon and pethias, the some of } xxvj<sup>s</sup>  
 Lent unto Robarte shaw, the 10 of marche 1599,  
 to lend W<sup>m</sup> Harton, to releace hime owt of }  
 the clyncke,<sup>1</sup> the some of ..... : ..... } x<sup>s</sup>  
 Dd unto the littell tayller, at the apoyntment of  
 Robart Shawe, the 12 of marche 1599, to macke }  
 thinges for the 2 pte of owld castell,<sup>2</sup> some of } xxx<sup>s</sup>  
 Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Harton, the 18 of marche 1599,  
 in earneste of a Booke called ferex and porex,<sup>3</sup> }  
 the some of ..... } xx<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> At this date, William Haughton was confined in the Clink prison, Southwark. He had most likely got into some scrape, as the Clink was not a prison for debtors, but, to use Stow's words (Survey., Edit. Thoms., p. 151), "for such as should brabble, fray or break the peace" on the Bankside. The Rose theatre was situated in what was called the Liberty of the Clink, and Henslowe and Alleyn (until the removal of the latter to Dulwich) resided in the same district.

<sup>2</sup> i.e., The second part of the play of Sir John Oldcastle. See pp. 158, 162.

<sup>3</sup> Here we see Haughton resorting to old plays. Lord Buckhurst and Thomas Norton, as is well known, were the authors of an early drama under the title of *Ferrex and Porrex*, which was called the *Tragedy of Gorboduc* in the first edition of 1565. From this very rare impression it is about to be reprinted by the Shakespeare Society.

Lent unto Robart Shaw, the 18 of marche 1599, ]  
 to geve unto the printer, to staye the printing }  
 of patient gresell,<sup>1</sup> the some of ..... }  
 by me, ROBT. SHAA.

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Harton, the 25 of marche 1599, ]  
 in earneste of his Boocke called ferex and }  
 porexe, the some of ..... }  
 Receaved of M<sup>r</sup> Henslowe, to lay out for the ]  
 play of the 7 wise M<sup>rs</sup>, in taffataes and sattyns, }  
 the some of ..... }  
 In behalfe of the Companey: by me, ROBT. SHAA.

Receaved more of M<sup>r</sup> Henslowe, to lay out for ]  
 the play of the 7 wise Maisters, in behalfe of }  
 the Companey ..... }  
 Receaved more of M<sup>r</sup> Henslowe, to lay out for ]  
 the play of the 7 wyse Maisters, in behalfe of }  
 the Company ..... }  
 By me, ROBT. SHAA.

Lent unto Robart Shaw, the 2 of aprell 1600, ]  
 for to by a Robe for Tynie,<sup>2</sup> some of ..... }  
 xxxxs<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> No doubt it was thought that the printing of *Patient Grissill* would be injurious to the receipts of the theatre: a printer, who had obtained a copy of it, in March 1599, was therefore to be induced to relinquish the design of publishing the play by a present of 40s. His name is not given; but when the comedy came out in 1603 it was "imprinted for Henry Rocket." See the Shakesp. Soc. reprint. This single fact, without adverting to others, will account for the very few plays that have come down to us in a printed form, compared with the immense number written and irretrievably lost.

<sup>2</sup> Time had therefore been introduced upon the stage (perhaps as the Chorus) by some author anterior to Shakespeare, supposing the *Winter's Tale* to be one of his later plays, as there is little doubt it was.

Lent W <sup>m</sup> Harton, at the apoyntment of Robarte shawe, the 3 of marche 1600, in earneste of a boocke called ferex and porex, the some of ...	vij <sup>s</sup>
Receaved of M <sup>r</sup> Henshlowe, in behalfe of the Company, to pay Will Haulton, in full pay- ment of his play of Ferrex and Porrex, iiij <sup>l</sup> iijs <sup>s</sup>	
By me, ROBT SHAA .....	iiij <sup>l</sup> iijs <sup>s</sup>
Receaved of M <sup>r</sup> Henshlowe, to pay for x lb of Copper lace, in behalfe of the Company.	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
By me, ROBT SHAA <sup>1</sup> .....	
Pd unto the sylke man, the 13 of aprell 1600, at the apoyntment of Robart shawe, forty shel- lings. I say lent .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Harton, the 16 of aprell 1600, in earneste of a boocke called the Ingleshe fege- tives, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>

W. HAUGHTON.

Item, received more of M <sup>r</sup> Henchelowe, in earnest of the englishe fugitives, on the 24 <sup>th</sup> of Aprill, by me received .....	20 <sup>s</sup>
W. HAUGHTON. <sup>2</sup>	

Lent unto harey chettell, the 26 of aprell 1600, in pte payment of a Boocke called Damon and pethias, at the apoyntment of Robart Shawe, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
HENRY CHETTLE. <sup>3</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> The memoranda signed by Shaw are always in his handwriting in this part of the MS.

<sup>2</sup> This entry is in Haughton's handwriting, and that above is signed by him. We may guess that the play was on the story of the Duchess of Suffolk, afterwards dramatised by Drue, and printed in 1631: it was also the subject of a well-known ballad.

<sup>3</sup> Chettle's own signature, but the entry is by Henslowe.

Lent unto the Company, to goo to Winswarth,<sup>1</sup> to the installinge, the 27 of aprell 1600 ..... }  
 Receaved of Mr Henslowe, in behalfe of the Company, to geve Tho. Deckers and Jhon Day, in earnest of a booke called The golden Ass, and Cupid and Psiches<sup>2</sup> ..... }  
 By me, ROBT SHAA.

Pd to Harry Chettle, in full payment of vij<sup>ii</sup> for his booke of Damon and Pithias, xxxxiiij<sup>s</sup> ... }  
 Receaved by me, Henry Chettle, of Mr Henslowe, in earnest of a booke called the Wooinge of Death<sup>3</sup> ..... }  
 By me, HENRY CHETTLE.

Pd, at the apoyntment of Robart Shawe, to one for coper lace, the 6 of maye 1600, some of ... }  
 Lent unto Richard Alleyne, the 6 of maye 1600, to lende unto hary cheattell, the some of ..... }  
 Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Harton, the 6 of marche 1600, in earneste of a Boooke which he wold calle the Devell and his dame<sup>4</sup> ..... }  
 Pd for lycencyng of a Boooke to the Mr of the Revelles, called ferox and porex ..... }  
 viij<sup>s</sup>  
 vs  
 v<sup>s</sup>  
 viij<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> No doubt Henslowe's scribe, who wrote this memorandum, meant Windsor, by Winswarth, and that the company had been called upon to perform there during an installation.

<sup>2</sup> "The xj Bookes of the Golden Asse" of Apuleius had been translated as early as 1566 by W. Adlington, and often reprinted.

<sup>3</sup> This note regarding the Wooing of Death was written by Shaw and signed by Chettle.

<sup>4</sup> This entry has been struck out, but is still legible. Possibly Haughton never meant to write any piece under the title of the Devil and his Dam, but, by pretending that he did, he persuaded Henslowe to lend him 5s.

Lent, at the apoyntment of Robart shawe, to  
 thomas Deckers, and John Daye, and harye  
 chetell, the 10 of maye 1600, in pte payment }  
 of a Booke called the gowlden asse, cupid and  
 siches,<sup>1</sup> some of..... } ij*ii*

By JOHN DAY, to the use of TH.  
 DEKKER, HARRY CHETTLE, and himselfe.<sup>2</sup>

Pd at the apoyntment of Robart Shawe, the 14  
 daye of maye 1600, in fulle payment of a }  
 Boooke called the gowlden asse, cuped and  
 siches, to thomas Deckers, and hary chettell,  
 and John Daye, some of ..... } xxx*s*

Pd unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revelles man, for lycen-  
 synge of a Boooke called Damon and pethias,  
 the 16 of maye 1600, some of<sup>3</sup>..... } vij*s*

Receaved of M<sup>r</sup> Henslowe, the 17th of maye  
 1600, in behalfe of the Company, to paye }  
 Will Haulton and M<sup>r</sup> Pett, in full payment }  
 of a play called straunge newes out of Poland<sup>4</sup> } vj*li*

<sup>1</sup> This play of "The Golden Asse, Cupid and Psyche," introduced in a previous entry without date, was perhaps a modernization of an older drama, mentioned about 1581 by Stephen Gosson in his "Plays confuted in five Actions," as having been performed by "the children of Pauls," as they were called. The same author, in the same work, speaks of "Cæsar and Pompey," "The Fabii," "The Blacksmith's Daughter," "The Jew," and "Ptolemy," as popular and commendable performances.—Vide Hist. of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, ii., 418, and iii., 274.

<sup>2</sup> This addition, after the signature of Day, was made by Dekker.

<sup>3</sup> Above we had, paid 7*s.* for licensing Ferrex and Porrex. We may presume that plays so authorised were brought out soon afterwards, but we have no means of fixing the precise date.

<sup>4</sup> We have no other notice of "M<sup>r</sup> Pett" as a dramatic poet. The play of "Strange News out of Poland" was probably founded on some popular tract of the time not now known.

Receaved of M<sup>r</sup> Henslowe, the 26<sup>th</sup> of May 1600, in behalfe of the Companye, to pay H. Chettle and John Day, in full payment of a booke called the blynd Begger of bednall green,<sup>1</sup> the some of ..... } v<sup>li</sup> x<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Harton, the 27 of maye 1600, in earneste of a Boocke called Indes, the some... } x<sup>s</sup>

W. HAUGHTON.<sup>2</sup>

Dd unto the littell tayller, at the apoyntment of Robart Shaw, the 25 of maye 1600, for to macke sewts for the play called strange newes out of powland ..... } iiij<sup>ii</sup>

Receaved of M<sup>r</sup> Henslowe, thys 3<sup>d</sup> of June 1600, in behalfe of the Companye, to An. Munday and the reste,<sup>3</sup> in pte of payment for a booke called the fayre Constance of Roome, the some of ..... } iiij<sup>ii</sup> v<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Thomas Dowton, the 5 of June 1600, to bye a sewt for his boye in the playe of cuped and siches, the some of ..... } xxxx<sup>s</sup>

Pd unto Drayton, Hathway, Monday, and Deckers, at the apoyntment of Robart Shawe, in full payment of a boocke called the fayre constance of Rome, the 14 of June 1600, some of ..... } xxxxiiij<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This play was printed in 1659, as by John Day only, under the title of "The Blind Beggar of Bethnal Green, with the merry Humours of Tom Strowd, the Norfolk Yeoman." It was founded upon the older ballad, and seems to have been very popular.

<sup>2</sup> The entry was written by Henslowe, and the name may be read either "Indes," as we have printed it, or *Judas*: it is more like the last, but *Judas* would hardly have been a fit subject for a drama at this date.

<sup>3</sup> i.e., Drayton, Hathway, and Dekker, as appears by the next entry but one.

Lent to W<sup>m</sup> Hawton, ij<sup>s</sup>: more ij<sup>s</sup>  
 Dd unto Robart shawe, the 19 of June 1600, for }  
 to lend unto harey chettell and J. Daye, in }  
 earnest of a boocke called<sup>1</sup> ..... } x<sup>s</sup>  
 Lent unto Robart shawe, the 20 of June 1600, }  
 to lend them, Hathway, in earneste of the }  
 second pte of Constance of Rome,<sup>2</sup> the some of } xx<sup>s</sup>  
 Payd to M<sup>r</sup> Allen, by M<sup>r</sup> Henshlowe, in behalfe }  
 of the Company, the some of xj<sup>li</sup>, which is the }  
 remainder of a debt of l<sup>li</sup>, for the payment of }  
 which we stood bound in a Ch ..... } xj<sup>li</sup>  
 So that the full some of all the debtes which we }  
 owe M<sup>r</sup> Henshlowe, this x<sup>th</sup> of July 1600, }  
 cometh to just the some of three hundred }  
 powndes ..... } ccc<sup>li</sup>

Which some of three hundred poundes, we whose names are  
 hereunder written doe acknowledge our dewe debt, and doe  
 promyse payment.

J SINGER. <sup>3</sup>	ROBT SHAA.
THOMAS DOWNTON.	THOMAS TOWNE.
HUMFRY JEFFES.	W. BIRDE
ANTHONY JEFFES	RICHARD JONES.
CHARLES MASSYE.	EDWARD JUBYE.
SAMUELL ROWLYE.	

Lent unto Robart shawe, the 14 of aguste 1600, }  
 to bye a dublett and hosse of sewater grene }  
 satten, some of ..... } iiij<sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A blank is left for the name of the play.

<sup>2</sup> We have only heard of the *first* part on 3 June, and in the interval it had probably been acted.

<sup>3</sup> These are the original signatures of the eleven players being sharers of the company, exclusive of hirelings, who were paid a weekly stipend, and boys, employed under the principal actors. Just above we have had a notice of Downton's boy, who played in "Cupid and Psyche."

Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 16 of aguste 1600, for viij yards of mury satten, the some of.....	iiij <sup>li</sup>	xij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 29 of aguste 1600, the some of fower powndes. I saye.....	iiiij <sup>li</sup>	
Pd unto Robart shawe, the 2 of aguste 1600, the some of.....		vij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robarte Shawe, the 6 of septmbr 1600, to paye unto Thomas Deckers, for the boocke called the forteion tenes, <sup>1</sup> some of.....		xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 12 of septembr 1600, the some of three powndes, I saye.....	iiij <sup>li</sup>	
Lent unto the compayne, the 11 of novmbr 1600, to paye unto my sonne, E. Alleyn, abowt ther composicion, <sup>2</sup> the some of fower powndes. I saye lent.....	iiij <sup>li</sup>	
Pd unto my sonne, Alleyn, for the firste weckes playe, the xj parte of xvij <sup>li</sup> ix <sup>s</sup> , which came to therti and ij shellenges. I saye pd .....		xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley, the 14 of desembr 1600, to geve unto Thomas Dickers, for his paynes in fayeton, <sup>3</sup> some of .....		x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> It is not easy to make anything out of the name given by Henslowe to this play by Dekker: Malone passes it over without notice. It may possibly have been some farther alteration of *Fortunatus*.

<sup>2</sup> What is meant here by the "composition" on the part of the company it is difficult to decide. It was perhaps connected with the next entry, from which we may gather that the profits upon the week being £17 9s., Alleyn became entitled to an eleventh share, or £1 12s. It appears from the acknowledgment on the preceding page, that Alleyn was either not an acting member of the company in the summer of 1600, or that he was not considered one of the parties who owed Henslowe £300.

<sup>3</sup> Dekker's "Phaeton" was at this date represented at Court, as the entries almost immediately following shew, and the author was called upon to make some alterations in it to suit the occasion.

Lent unto Samwell Rowley, the 20 of desembr 1600, to lend unto W <sup>m</sup> Harton, in earneste of a Boocke called Roben hoodes penetrthes <sup>1</sup> ...	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the littell tayller, at the apoyntment of the companye, the 20 of desembr 1600, some .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley, the 22 of desembr 1600, to geve unto Thomas Deckers, for alteryng of fayton for the corte .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, unto the littell tayller, in full payment upon his bille, some of xix <sup>s</sup> , the 23 of desembr 1600. I saye pd .....	xix <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto William Hawghton, the 27 of de- sembr 1600, in earneste of his Boocke called Roben hoodes penetrthes.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Bird, the 2 of Janewary 1600, for divers thinges abowte the playe of Fayeton, for the corte, some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Ranckens and hathwaye, in earnest of a Boocke called Hanyball and Sepius, <sup>2</sup> the 3 of Janewary 1600, some of ...	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Haughton, the 4 of Jenewary 1600, in pt payment of a Boocke called Roben hoodes penetrths, some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> hathway and Ranckens, the 11 of Janewary 1600, in pt of payment of a play called Haneball and sepius.....	v <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "Robin Hood's Pennyworth's" was probably the title, but what was meant by it is now hardly intelligible. It was doubtless in some way connected with the Downfal and Death of Robinhood, two much applauded plays by Anthony Monday and Henry Chettle already mentioned. See pp. 118 and 119.

<sup>2</sup> Of course Hannibal and Scipio. Afterwards, Henslowe gets a little nearer the name of Scipio.

Pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Ranckene and M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye, the 12 of Janewary 1600, in fulle payment of a boocke called Haneball and sepios, some of ...	ijij <sup>li</sup>	xv <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of W <sup>m</sup> Birde unto M <sup>r</sup> Harton, for his playe of Roben hoodes penerthe, the 13 of Janewary 1600 .....		xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye and Rancken, the 23 of Janewary 1600, in earneste of a Boocke, wherein is skogen and scellton, <sup>1</sup> some of .....		x <sup>s</sup>

Wittnes E. ALLEYN.

*Lent unto my sonne edwarde Allen, as foloweth, for the  
company, 1596.<sup>2</sup>*

Itm lent the 2 of maye 1596 .....	x <sup>lii</sup>
Itm lent the 10 of maye 1596 .....	liij <sup>s</sup> iiiij <sup>d</sup>
Itm lent the 13 of maye 1596 .....	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Itm lent the 15 of maye 1596 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Itm lent the 16 of maye 1596 .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Itm lent the 25 of maye, to paye marcum <sup>3</sup> ...	xxx <sup>s</sup>

21 13 04

<sup>1</sup> Malone (Shaksp. by Boswell, iii. 324) calls this piece "Scogan and Skelton," as if that had been the name of the play, whereas it was a "book" in which Scogan, or Scoggin, and Skelton, (a jester and a jester-poet of the reign of Henry VIII.,) were characters: it is mentioned frequently afterwards in the same terms. The Rev. Mr. Dyce, in his Account of Skelton and his Writings, takes no notice of the manner in which he was thus brought on the stage near the end of the reign of Elizabeth.

<sup>2</sup> This account commences a new page: it proves how irregularly, in point of date, Henslowe kept his Diary; but of this fact we have already had abundant evidence.

<sup>3</sup> Probably the name of a person; but, as Henslowe and his scribe used capitals and other letters merely capriciously, it is impossible to judge from that circumstance.

Lent unto my sonne, for the company, to bye a newe sewte of a parell.....	viij <sup>ii</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto my sonne, to feneshe up the blacke velvet gowne .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>	
Turned over from my sonne, the some of.....	viij <sup>ii</sup> vj <sup>s</sup> viij <sup>d</sup>	

E. A.<sup>1</sup>

*Received agayne of my sonne E. A. of this deate above written, as  
foloweth.*

Rd the 10 of maye 1596.....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 11 of maye 1596.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 12 of maye 1596 .....	xxxxvjs
Rd the 13 of maye 1596.....	xxxxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 14 of maye 1596.....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 15 of maye 1596.....	xxiijs <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 16 of maye 1596 .....	xxxvj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 17 of maye 1596 .....	ls
Rd the 18 of maye 1596 .....	lij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 22 of maye 1596 .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 23 of maye 1596 .....	xxxxs
Rd the 24 of maye 1596 .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 25 of maye 1596 .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 11 of June 1596 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> iij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 23 of June 1596 .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> xij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 25 of June 1596 .....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 26 of June 1596 .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 27 of June 1596 .....	xxj <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 1 of Julye 1596 .....	xxxxvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 2 of Julye 1596 .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 5 of Julye 1596 .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 6 of Julye 1596 .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 8 of Julye 1596 .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The initials of Edward Alleyn, which were added by Henslowe: the whole amount is not summed up in the MS.

Mr. VAHAN.<sup>1</sup>—I have often seante unto you to leat you understand, that I have ben therepened to be sued by one Edward Phillipes, consernyng the state which I have of a platte of grownd and barnes and stables, belonginge unto the howsse ther, called the corner howsse, which I have in the behalfe of the children of Edmond hensley, I being exsexor to the sayde Edmonde. The trewth is the sayd Phillipes hath mad a Reentry, and beinge with stooode hath brothe yt to a exsegente: therfor I desyer you to locke unto yt, and forther more wolde advise you, because you stand bownd to conferme the state to be good; yf not upon your perell be it, for I promes you yf I be forced to spende aney money for the sute of yt, which I speack unto youe abowt you are to looke unto and to conferme, I wishe you to acknowlege your sealffe that I have geven you to understand of yt, and that you will conferme me the state quietly, and defend me from sute and trubell abowt yt, or I promes youe, yf I sustayne aney damages by the sute of yt, I will put your band in sute, and this asure your sealffe: and this I reast, comitinge you to God. from London the ix of febreary 1593.

Yours as you use hime,

PHILLIPE HENSLOWE.

This is a trew copeye of a leater seant  
downe in to Susex unto the partie abowffe  
named: witnesses to the same

WILLIAM HENSLEY

EDWARDE ALLEYN.<sup>2</sup>

Sr.—I praye you cause such monye as is dewe unto me for my quarters fee dew to be payd at our ladye daye laste, to be

<sup>1</sup> This copy of a letter is inserted in the midst of matters of account with which it has no connection.

<sup>2</sup> These are not original signatures: the handwriting is the same as that of several letters preserved at Dulwich.

delyvered unto this bearer, and this shalbe your suffeyante  
discarge. frome grenweche this xvij of aprell 1596

RAFFE BOWES.<sup>1</sup>

To our lovinge frende

M<sup>r</sup> William Kelegraye esquier.

Memorandum, that I, the said phillipe Henslow, did demand of widow Valle, the 8 daye of July 1597, her halfe yeares reant, which was dew unto me by my leasse from the quene, under the great seale of Ingland, bowght of M<sup>rs</sup> Keyes, which rente wasse to be payd at midsumer in this yeare 1597, or with in xiiij dayes after. This Rent wasse by me lafulye demanded at the quarter, and at the 14 daye after, which was the laste daye, and not payd nor tendered, for which cause I saye heare leasse is forfette. wytnesse to the demand

HEWE DAVIS.<sup>2</sup>

Md., that I, Robert Wilson,<sup>3</sup> do owe unto M<sup>r</sup> Phillippe Hensloe the some of twentie shillinges, current moneye of England, to be payde unto hym, his executors and assignes, att hys or theyr will and pleasure. Wytnes my hand, the second daye of June 1598, A<sup>o</sup> Regni Reginæ nunc quadragesimo. By me,

R. WILSON.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This appears to be only a copy of the order by Sir Ralph Bowes, then Master of the Queen's games.

<sup>2</sup> If this were the original writing and signature of Hugh Davis, (as it would seem to be,) it shews that he was the scribe or clerk Henslowe sometimes employed in keeping his books and writing his letters. However, by an entry on p. 59 it seems that Hugh Davis was only a marksman.

<sup>3</sup> Previous to this memorandum, the account of loans upon pledges to, or through, Francis Henslowe is renewed, and continues for seventeen pages without affording any information on the history of the stage. The dates are from 10th Dec. 1593, to the 22nd Jan. 1594.

<sup>4</sup> The whole of this memorandum is in the handwriting of R. Wilson.

xxv<sup>th</sup> daie of October 1599

Rec. the daie aforesaid, for the use of my Mr  
 Edmond Tylney Esquier, of Mr Henslowe, }  
 the some of..... } ij<sup>ii</sup>  
 p me, RICH. VEALE.<sup>1</sup>

xx<sup>o</sup> die Novembr 1599

Rec. the daie aforesaid, for the use of my Mr  
 Edmond Tylney Esquier, of Mr Henslowe, }  
 the some of..... } ij<sup>ii</sup>  
 p me, RICH. VEALE.

the ix<sup>th</sup> daye of Januarie 1600

Rec. the daye aforesayde, for the use of my Mr  
 Edmond Tyllney, Esquire, Mr of the revells,  
 of Mr Henslowe, the some of..... } ij<sup>ii</sup>  
 p mei, WILLM PLAYSTOW

the ix<sup>th</sup> daye of Februarye 1600.

Rec. the daye and yeare abovewritten, for the  
 use of my Mr, Edmond Tyllney, Mr of the  
 revelles of Mr Henslowe, the some of..... } ij<sup>ii</sup>  
 p me, WILLM PLAYSTOWE.

ROBERT JOHNSON, of letherhed,  
 in Sury, Mr Revells man.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> These and other entries of the same kind shew, that at least Henslowe's company at this date made a heavy monthly contribution to the Master of the Revels, besides the payment of seven shillings on the licensing of every new play. By pp. 79, 91, and 109, it appears that the monthly payment had been 40s., but here and afterwards we see that it was raised in 1599 to £3, equal to at least £15 of our money; and is probably to be looked upon as a gratuity to secure the favour of the Master of the Revels.

<sup>2</sup> This note stands by itself at the top of a page in the MS.

the xxvij<sup>th</sup> of Aprill 1600.

Receaved the daye and yeare above written, for  
the use of my Mr, Edmond Tylney, esquire, of  
phillip Hinslowe, the som of iij<sup>li</sup>, of good and  
lawefull money of England: I say the som of  
p mei, WILLM PLAYSTOWE.

iij<sup>li</sup>

the xxvij<sup>th</sup> of maye, ano 1600.

Receaved the daye and yeare above written, for  
the use of my Mr, Edmonde Tyllneye, esquire,  
of Phillip Hynslowe, the som of three poundes,  
of good and lawfull monye of England: I say  
Rd .....  
p mei, WILLM PLAYSTOWE.

iij<sup>li</sup>

Received of Mr Henchlowe, in ernest of the  
second parte of the blind begger of Bednall  
Greene, the sum of .....  
29 of January 1600

40<sup>s</sup>

W. HAUGHTON.

J. DAY.<sup>1</sup>

Lent unto Nicolas Bickers,<sup>2</sup> the 10 of June  
1601, at the Request of the lorde of Noteng-  
ams players, the some of thirtie shillenges, to  
be payd me agayne by ij<sup>s</sup> a week, begenenge  
at the daye above written. I saye lent .....

xxx<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See p. 171 for a memorandum, dated some months before the present, regarding what was probably the *first* part of this play. It shews that the first part was the production of Chettle as well as Day, and here we see that Haughton was Day's coadjutor in the *second* part. We shall soon find that a *third* part on the same subject was written.

<sup>2</sup> We are without any other information respecting Nicholas Bickers, (or more probably Vicars,) but he was doubtless an inferior actor of the company.

Rd the 24 of June 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 28 of June 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 11 of Julye 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 18 of Julye 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 8 of aguste 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 15 of aguste 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 22 of aguste 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 3 of septembr 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 10 of septembr 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 17 of septembr 1601, in pte .....	ij <sup>s</sup>

*I begin to Receive of antony Jeaffes, for the weackes which he is  
behind and owes unto me for my boye Jeames wages, which  
begines the 8 of aguste 1600, as foloweth:*

Rd the 8 of aguste, of antony Jeffes .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 16 of aguste, of antony Jeffes .....	ij <sup>s</sup>

*My lord of Penbrockes men begane to playe at the Rosse, the 18  
of octobr 1600, as foloweth:<sup>1</sup>*

Octbr 28 Rd at the licke unto licke <sup>2</sup> .....	xj <sup>s</sup>
29 Rd at Rodericke .....	v <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This account is headed, and continued, as far as it goes, in Henslowe's handwriting. Probably it is so short because the experiment did not answer, and the receipts did not make it worth while for the company to persevere in their performances: shortly afterwards they removed to the Fortune Theatre, recently erected by Henslowe and Alleyn in Cripplegate parish.

<sup>2</sup> Possibly some adaptation of Ulpian Fulwell's comic interlude, or moral-play, printed in 1568 under the title of "Like will to Like, quod the Devil to the Collier:" the word "Devell" was first written, and struck out with the pen. Rodericke, in the next line, may have been a drama on "Roderick the great," who divided Wales, and who is mentioned in "Thameseidos," 1600, by E. W., Lib. 2.

July 31. 1601.

Rd from M<sup>r</sup> Henslowe, by me, Willm Plaistowe, ]  
 to the use of my M<sup>r</sup>, M<sup>r</sup> Edmond Tylney, M<sup>r</sup>  
 of hir Mat<sup>es</sup> Revells, for one monthes paye, } ij*li*  
 due unto him the daie and yeare above written,  
 the some of 3*l*. I saye.....

p mei, WILLM PLAYSTOWE.

Received of M<sup>r</sup> Hinchlo, the xxix<sup>th</sup> of Agust, ij*li*, for this  
 last moneths pay for the Fortune.<sup>1</sup>

ROBERT HASSARD.

The j and twentie daie of septtember a thousand  
 six houndred borrowed of M<sup>r</sup> Hinchlowe, in Redie  
 Duke ] monie, the som of fortie shillings, to be paid the  
 player ] twentie daie of october next follenge the date her  
 of: in witnes her of I set my hand.

JOHN DUKE.<sup>2</sup>

Sowld unto Richard Bradshawe, player, the 15 ]  
 of Desembr 1600, j pownd and ij owences of  
 cooper lace, to be payd at his retorne agayne  
 to London next after the datte herof: some of } xiiij*s*  
 xiiij*s*; and for the aknowlegement of this the  
 sayd Bradshaw hath herunto seat his hand ...

RICHARD BRADSHAWE

Wittnes E. ALLEYN.

<sup>1</sup> If this entry be taken as of the 29 August 1601, it will shew that the Fortune theatre was then open, and had been open for at least a month. The indenture with Street, the carpenter, under which it was constructed, bears date on the 8th Jan., 1599-1600. *Vide* Hist. of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, iii., 302. Perhaps Henslowe was required to pay £3 for each of the theatres, as long as he continued the use of the Rose as well as the Fortune.

<sup>2</sup> Duke never attained eminence in the profession. This entry is entirely written by him, and it is the first time his name has occurred.

Lent more unto Richard Bradshawe, player, the  
 29 of aprell 1601, in money, to be payd at his  
 next Retorne to London, the some of ..... }  
 vs

June 13<sup>th</sup> 1601

Borrowed of Mr Phillip Hinsloe, by me, An-  
 thonie Wadeson, the sum of xx<sup>s</sup>, in earnest of  
 a booke cald the honorable lyfe of the Humor-  
 ous Earle of Gloster, with his conquest of  
 Portugall ..... }  
 xx<sup>s</sup>

ANT. WADESON.<sup>1</sup>

Wittnes THOMAS DOWNTON.

*The earlle of nothengames players deattes, as (1600)  
 foloweth:*

Lent at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowley,<sup>2</sup> and  
 thomas towne, unto Mr Ranckens, and Mr  
 Hathwaye, this 26 of Janewarye 1600, in  
 earneste of a Boooke called —, wherein is  
 Skogen and Skelton, the some of ..... }  
 xxx<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Harton and J. Daye, at the  
 apoyntment of Samwell Rowly, the 29 of  
 Janewarye 1600, in earnest of a Boooke called  
 the second pte of the blinde beager of bednowle  
 grene, with the end of Strowde,<sup>3</sup> the some of }  
 xxxx<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The signature and the whole entry are Wadeson's writing. Downton witnessed the payment in his own hand.

<sup>2</sup> These entries are continued from p. 175.

<sup>3</sup> Malone miscalls this piece "the second part of Thomas Strowde," adding a conjecture in a note, that it was the same play as the *Blind Beggar of Bethnal Green*. (Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 324.) There can be no doubt from this entry, which Malone overlooked, that it was a sequel to that drama. Thomas Strowd is a principal character in the play as it was printed in 1659. See note 1, p. 171.

Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye and M <sup>r</sup> Rancken, upon a boocke wherein is Skogen and Skelton, at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowley, the 5 of febreary 1600, some of .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Ranckens, the 8 of febreary 1600, in earneste .....	}	ij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Harton and John Daye, in ear- neste of a Boocke called the 2 pt of Thome Strowde, <sup>1</sup> the 10 daye of febreary 1600, some of	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathewye, the 25 of febreary 1600, for a Boocke wherein is Skelton and skogen, at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowlye, in pte of payment, the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
SAMUELL ROWLYE. <sup>2</sup>		
Lent unto the compayne, the 6 of march 1600, to pay M <sup>r</sup> Threheren and his wiffe, and M <sup>r</sup> haies screvener, some of .....	}	xxvij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
The compayne dothe owe unto me, for my boye Jemes bristoes wages, frome the 3 of aprell 1600, unto the xv of febreary 1600 next, after the ratte of ij <sup>s</sup> a weeke, some .....	vj <sup>h</sup>	ix <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the compayne, from the 14 of aguste 1600, at severall tymes, as may apere ..... 51 <sup>h</sup> 19 <sup>s</sup> 06 <sup>d</sup>		
Pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Ranckens and M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye, at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 8 of marche 1600, in full payment of a Boocke wherein is skogen and skelton, some of .....		xvij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of Samell Rowley, unto John Daye and W <sup>m</sup> harton, for a boocke called the second pte of thome strowd, the 10 of marche 1600, the some of .....		xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Meaning the second part of the Blind Beggar of Bethnal Green.

<sup>2</sup> This actor-author's own signature: he seems to have been only an  
actor at this date.

Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> hathwaye and M <sup>r</sup> Rankens, <sup>1</sup> the 24 of marche 1600, in earneste of a Boocke called conqueste of Spayne, some of— at the apoyntment of Samewell Rowly.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Layd owte at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowlye, unto harey chettell, in pte of payment for a Boocke called Al is not gowld that glesters, <sup>2</sup> the laste of marche 1601, some of.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Daye and W <sup>m</sup> Haughton, the 4 of aprell 1601, in earneste of a playe called the conqueste of the weste enges, <sup>3</sup> at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowlye, the some of	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Mr Hathwaye and Mr Ranckens, the 4 of Aprell 1601, in earneste of a playe called the conqueste of Spayne, the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto Harey chettell, the 6 of aprell 1601, in full payment of a Boocke called al is not gould that glysters, at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowley, some of.....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye and M <sup>r</sup> Rankens, the xj of aprell, in earneste of a boocke called the conqueste of spayne, by John a Gant, some...	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> Smyth and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, the xj of Aprell 1601, in earnest of a Boocke called the conquest of the west enges, at the apoynt- ment of Samwell Rowlye, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone misread the name of Rankens, *Hawkins*, (Shakspeare by Boswell, iii., 325.) The full title of the play, as appears by other memoranda, was "The Conquest of Spain by John of Gaunt."

<sup>2</sup> Malone erroneously assigns to Samuel Rowley a share in the authorship of this play. Rowley was only the actor at whose instance the money was paid, and "All is not gold that glisters" seems to have been the sole work of Chettle.

<sup>3</sup> We find by other entries that Wentworth Smith was concerned with Day and Haughton in writing "The Conquest of the West Indies."

Lent unto Mr Hathwaye and Mr Ranckens, the 16 of aprell 1601, in pte payment for a Boocke called the conquest of Spayne, some of .....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Jubey, the 18 of aprell 1601, to lend unto Thomas Deckers and harey chettell, in earneste of a boocke called Kinge Sebas- tiane of Portingalle, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 20 of Aprell 1601, to bye a blacke satten dublette, the some of...	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Lent Mr Ranckens and Mr Hathwaye.....	iiij <sup>s</sup>
Dd unto the littell tayller, the 27 of aprell 1601, for the yousse of the compayne, to bye a sutte of lace for the 2 pte of Strowde, the some of	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto John Daye, at the apoyntment of the companye, 1601, after the playinge of the 2 pte of Strowde, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Dd unto the littell tayller, at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 2 of maye 1601, to bye divers thinges for the playe of the blind beg- ger of elexsandria. <sup>2</sup>	
Lent W <sup>m</sup> Haughton, in earneste of the playe called the conquesete of the weste enges, the 2 of maye 1601, the some of.....	vs
Pd unto John Daye and W <sup>m</sup> Hawgton, in fulle payment of a playe called the 2 pte of Strowde, the 5 of maye 1601, some, at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowlye .....	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Probably these ten shillings were paid to Day as a gratuity, over and above the price of the play, after the successful performance of "the Second Part of the Blind Beggar of Bethnal Green." Only the date of the year is here given, and we shall see presently another memorandum of a final payment for the play, dated 5th May 1601.

<sup>2</sup> This must have been a revival of Chapman's play, which, as we have seen, p. 64, was first acted on the 12 February 1595, and printed in 1598.

Dd unto Radford, the littell tayller, the 5 of maye 1601, at the apoyntment of the Com- panye, to bye dyvers thinges for the playe of the blinde begger of Elexsandrea, the some of	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the company, to geatte the boye into the ospetalle, which was hurte at the Fortewne <sup>1</sup> .....	
Lent unto the littell tayller, the 8 of maye 1601, at the apoyntment of the company, to bye thinges for the blinde beggar of elexsandrea, some .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the coper lace man, at the apoyntment of the companye, the 8 of maye 1601, for coper lace, the some of .....	
Pd unto Mr Heath, merser, the 13 of maye 1601, at the apoyntment of the companye, for ther deat in pt, the some of tenne powndes, I saye	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companye, the 16 of maye 1601, to paye unto Thomas Deckers and Harye chettell, in pte of payment of a playe called Kynge Sebastian of portingall, <sup>2</sup> the some of	
Lent unto Robart Shawe and Mr Jube, the 19 of maye 1601, to bye divers thinges for the Jewe of malta, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	vli
Lent unto the littell tayller, the same daye, for more thinges for the Jewe of malta, some of	

<sup>1</sup> i.e., The Fortune theatre, where performances at this date were taking place, as well perhaps as at the Rose, or the Fortune would not have been specified.

<sup>2</sup> In 1601 was published "The strangest Adventure that ever happened." It is by A. Munday, and relates to the history of Don Sebastian, and was doubtless the foundation of this play.

<sup>3</sup> Of course a revival of Marlowe's play, in the representation of which Edward Alleyn was so famous as the hero. The first mention of the Jew of Malta in this MS. is on 26 Feb. 1591. See p. 21.

Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, the 20 of maye 1601,	x <sup>s</sup>
in earnest of the vj yemon of the weaste, <sup>1</sup> the	
some of...Lent more unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton ...	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Daye, the 21 of maye 1601, in	
earneste of a Boocke called the West enges,	
the some of—	
at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowley .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Daye, at the apoyntment of	
Samwell Rowley, the 21 of maye 1601 in	
earnest of a Boocke called the 3 pte of Thome	x <sup>s</sup>
Strowde, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	
Pd at the apoyntment of E. Alleyn, the 22 of	iij <sup>li</sup>
may 1601, unto Thomas Deckers, in fulle pay-	
ment of a boocke called Kynge Sebastian of	
Portyngall, the some of.....	iij <sup>li</sup> xiiij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>i</sup>
Pd unto the coper lace man for iij score ownce	
of coper lace, at x <sup>d</sup> an ownce, for the manes	
gowne and a sewte for the blind begger of	
elexsandria, the some of .....	
Lent at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowlye, the	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
4 daye of June 1601, unto John Daye, in pt	
of payment of a Boocke called the vj yemon of	
the weaste, the some of .....	

<sup>1</sup> Founded upon Deloney's "Thomas of Reading, or the six worthy Yeomen of the West," which had been printed only a short time before: the earliest extant notice of it is in Kempe's "Nine Days Wonder," 1600, 4to., but no impression seems to be now known of so old a date. Kempe there calls Deloney "the great ballad maker.....chronicler of the memorable lives of the Six Yeomen of the West," &c. The memorandum of the 5s. lent to Haughton was a subsequent interlineation.

<sup>2</sup> We have before had what was called "the end of Strowde," (p. 183) but as the character was popular, the dramatist seems to have thought that he could still make something more of him, and therefore wrote (or undertook to write) a *third* part of "The Blind Beggar of Bethnal Green."

Pd unto M <sup>r</sup> Richard Hethe, syleckman, the 5 of June 1601, at the apoyntment of the compayne, and for theyer deate, the some of forten powndes and fyften shellenges and seven-pence, in fulle payemente of the some of 24 <sup>l</sup> xv <sup>s</sup> vij <sup>d</sup> : pd by me, Phillip Henslow, some of .....	xiiij <sup>l</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 7 <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowlye 1601, to paye unto harye Chettell, for writtinge the Boooke of carnalle Wolseye lyfe, <sup>1</sup> the 5 of June, some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the coper lace man, the 6 of June 1601, at the apoyntment of the compayne, for ther dete for coper lace, the some of sixe powndes, in pte of payment, I saye pd .....	vij <sup>l</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowlye, unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, in pte of payment of a boooke called the vj yemon of the weste, the 6 of June 1601, the some of .....	xv <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowlye, the 8 of June 1601, to paye unto W <sup>m</sup> Howghton, in fulle payment of a boooke called the vj yemen of the weste, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "Carnalle Wolseye lyfe" of course means Cardinal Wolsey's life. Malone (Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 325) "suspected" that this play (which he only calls "Cardinal Wolsey," and which is generally so entitled by Henslowe) was not written by H. Chettle, because the commentator only saw a subsequent entry for "altering" the play: here we find it stated that it was *written* by him, and, according to a subsequent memorandum, dated 14 July 1601, he received 40s. "in full payment" for "the Book of Carnowle Wollsey." This play was, in all probability, anterior to Shakespeare's Henry the Eighth, which, at least in the form in which it has come down to us, could not have been produced before James I. came to the throne. See Collier's Shakespeare, v. 495. It will be seen by various memoranda hereafter, that the expenditure on bringing out "Cardinal Wolsey's Life" was unusually great.

Lent at the apoyntment of Thomas Downton,  
 the 13 of June 1601, unto Antony Wadeson,  
 in earneste of a Boocke called the life of the  
 humeros earlle of Gloster, and his conquest of  
 portingalle, some of 20*s*, as may apere<sup>1</sup> .....

xx<sup>s</sup>

Rd of M<sup>r</sup> E. Alleyn, the 4 of maye 1601, the  
 some of twenty eyght powndes and ten shel-  
 lenges, which he receved at the Corte, for ther  
 cort monye, for playinge ther at cryssmas,  
 which was dewe unto the earlle of nothingames  
 players, and I received yt in pt of a more some,  
 I say Rd<sup>2</sup> .....

xxvij<sup>li</sup> x<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto M<sup>r</sup> Paschall, the 28 of Desembr 1599,  
 upon the lickinge of his horse, the some of  
 fyftie and five shillinges, which horse I licked  
 not, so he is to paye me agayne my .....

lv<sup>s</sup>

Be it knowne unto all men by this presentes, that I,  
 Williame Birde, being one of the earlle of nothingam players,  
 dothe aknowlege my seallfe to owe and stand firmly indeattted  
 unto philipp Henslow, of the parishe of Sent Saveors in  
 sothwarke, gentellman, the some of twenty and three powndes  
 of good and lawfull monye of Ingland to be payd unto the sayd  
 philipp or his ears, exseqetors, adminestrators or asynes, at  
 suche tymes as he the sayd Phillip or his asynes shall thinck

<sup>1</sup> This is followed by entries of two loans to Arthur Langworth, of Ringmer, Sussex, of £20*s* and £10, the first of which was paid to him by Henslowe on the 7th December 1594, and the last by Mrs. Alleyn on the 9th June 1595.

<sup>2</sup> The next entry relates to the payment of £26 10*s. Od.*, for the company to a Mr. Trehern, on a bond, but it is incomplete, as half the page has been cut away. On the following page are two notes of loans of 10*s.* and 50*s.* to Arthur Langworth, one dated 20th and the other 29th June 1604. The transactions out of which these several payments grew are not stated.

mette and convenient; and for which monye, well and trewlye to be payd, I bind me my ers, exsetors, admينistrators and asynes by this presence: in wittnes wheroft I have her unto seatte my hand, even the 11 daye of Julye 1601.

W. BIRDE.<sup>1</sup>

Rd in pte of paymente, the 29 of octobr 1601,	xxv <sup>s</sup>
of this bill above written, of W <sup>m</sup> Birde, the	
some of .....	
Dd backe agayne, to W <sup>m</sup> Birde of this.....	xx <sup>s</sup>

Md that the 12<sup>th</sup> daye of marche 1602, W<sup>m</sup> Bird hath paid to me Phillip Hinslowe, the some of xvij<sup>ii</sup> x<sup>s</sup>, in pte of paiement of the saied debte of xxij<sup>ii</sup>, soe that there restes due by him to me peticulerlie, this daie, iiiij<sup>ii</sup> x<sup>s</sup> as the remaynder of this debte, and another debte of vj<sup>ii</sup> or theareabouts uppon a bond. And he is cleere of all debtes and demaundes except theis debtes, and suche stocke and covenauentes as I mae clayme and challendge of him by reason of his conjunction with the companie.

PHILLIPPE HENSLOWE.

Witnes me W<sup>m</sup> HARRIS.<sup>2</sup>

Be it knownen unto all men by thes presentes, that I George Chapman of London, gentleman, doe owe unto M<sup>r</sup> Phillip Henslowe, of the parishe of St Saviours, gentleman, the some of x<sup>ii</sup> x<sup>s</sup> of lawfull money of England. In witnesse whereof I have hereunto sett my hand, this xxij<sup>th</sup> of octobr 1598.

GEO. CHAPMAN.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The signature only was written by Birde: the body of the acknowledgment, with its strange variety of orthography, was by Henslowe's scribe.

<sup>2</sup> This memorandum was drawn up by Harris, the scrivener, and signed by Henslowe.

<sup>3</sup> The signature only is in the handwriting of Chapman.

Be it knowne unto all men by thes presentes, that I William Paschall, on of her mātes gentlemen Sewers, doe owe unto Mr Phillip Henslowe, of the parishe of St Saviours, gentleman, the sume of v<sup>l</sup> of lawfull mony of England. In witnessse wheareof I have here unto sett my hand, this xiiij<sup>th</sup> of June 1599: to be payed upon the iiij of July nexte.

WILLIAM PASCHALL.

wittnes ED. ALLEYN.

Receyved by me William Paschall, at the apoyntement of my lord Chamberlen, at the hands of Mr Phillip Henselow the sum of ten poundes, in part of twenty,<sup>1</sup> upon the xxvij day of marche 1600.

WILLIAM PASCHALL.

Lent unto frances Henslow, to descharge hime seallfe owt of the whitte Lion, <sup>2</sup> from a hat- macker in barmsey streeete, abowt his horsse which was stolen from hime, and he sewed my kynsman at the syes for hime, and to macke and end betwext he payde hime fyve pownd which I lent hime, I saye .....	v <sup>l</sup>
Lent unto frances Henslow, the same tyme, to geve unto the knyghtes mane, which sewed them for robinge of his master, three pownds and sex shellynges and eyght pence, and pro- mesed hime iij <sup>l</sup> more, which Saverey must paye his parte. I saye .....	
	iij <sup>l</sup> vjs 8d

<sup>1</sup> In what way this £20 became due to the Lord Chamberlain does not appear. This and the previous entry are in the handwriting of Paschall, witnessed by Ed. Alleyn in his own signature.

<sup>2</sup> See an account of the White Lion prison, Southwark, in Stow's Survey by Thoms, p. 153. It had been an inn, and was not used as a jail until about forty years before Stow wrote in 1598.

Layd owt <sup>1</sup> at the apoyntment of my sonne and the companye unto harey cheattell for the altrynge of the booke of carnowlle Wollsey, the 28 of June 1601 .....	xxs
Lent unto the companye, the 1 of Julye 1601, to bye divers thinges for the vj yemen of the weaste, the some of fortye shellenges .....	xxxxs
Pd unto the coper lace man, the j of Julye 1601 for coper lace, the some of .....	vjs
Lent unto the littell tayller, at the apoyntment of the [companye], the 2 of Julye 1601, to bye divers thinges for the vj yemen of the weste, some of .....	xxs
Pd unto the coper lace man, the 2 of Julye 1601, at the apoyntment of the companye, for coper lace for the vj yemen of the weaste, some.....	ixs
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 3 of Julye 1601, to the coper lace man for owld deats, the some of .....	iiijii
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 3 of Julye 1601, unto the coper lace man, for lace for the vj yemen of the weaste, some of — Umfrey Jeffes sewte <sup>2</sup> .....	vjs
Lent unto John Daye and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, at the apoyntmente of Robarte shawe, in earneste of a Boooke called fryer Rushe, and the proud womon, <sup>3</sup> the some of — the 4 daye of Julye .....	xxs

<sup>1</sup> These payments are resumed after an interval of three leaves: Henslowe in a note calls it *six* leaves, but he probably meant pages. This is the memorandum to which Malone refers respecting Chettle's connexion with the play of Cardinal Wolsey.

<sup>2</sup> i.e., The copper lace was for the *suit* worn by Humphrey Jeffes.

<sup>3</sup> Malone (Shakesp. by Boswell, iii., 325) calls this play merely "The proud Woman of Antwerp," whereas it appears that the piece was at

Lent unto Robarte shawe, the 14 of Julye 1601, to paye unto Harey cheattell, for the Booke of Carnowle Wollsey, in fulle payment, the some of	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the coper lace man, for coper lace, for the vj yemen of the west, the 4 of July 1601, the some of — 10 a ownce, 36 onces.....	vjs
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 6 of Julye 1601, to paye unto the tayller for mackynge of Umfrey Jeaffes sewt in the vj yemen, the some of.....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of Robarte shawe, the 6 of Julye 1601, unto the littell tayller, for mack- ynge of sewtes for the vj yemen, the some of	xxij <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the coper lace man, the 10 of Julye 1601, at the apoyntment of the compayne, in fulle payment, the some of.....	lij <sup>s</sup> x <sup>d</sup>
The wholle deat was xij <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup> x <sup>d</sup> .	
Lent unto Robarte shawe, the 14 of Julye 1601, to geve unto W <sup>m</sup> hawghton and John Daye, in earneste of a Boooke called the prowde womon of Anwarpe and frier Rushe, the some of.....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent H. Chettell js. Lent H. Chettell ij <sup>s</sup> . <sup>1</sup>	
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, the 18 of Julye 1601, in pte payment of the 3 pt of Thome Strowde .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent more, the same time, unto John Daye, in earnest of a Boooke called the 3 pt of Thome strowde, some of.....	vs

least in part founded upon the very popular tract of "The History of Friar Rush." The earliest known edition of it is dated 1620, but we may be quite confident that it had been printed many years before. (See Bridgewater Catalogue, p. 272.) As it has reached us, there is nothing in it relating to any proud woman of Antwerp, which was most likely engrafted upon it by the dramatists.

<sup>1</sup> These small advances to Chettle are crowded into the margin.

Lent John Daye ij <sup>s</sup> . Lent John Daye ij <sup>s</sup> . <sup>1</sup>	
Lent unto the compayne, the 17 of July 1601, to geve unto Harey chettell for the Boooke of the Carnowlle Woolsey, to pay unto Mr Bromfield, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the compayne, the 23 of Julye 1601, to paye for viij pownde of coper lace, the some of .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Antony Wasone, at the apoyntment of <sup>3</sup> a boooke called the onerable lyfe of the hewmerus Earlle of Gloster, some of.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley, 1601, the 25 of Julye, to lend unto John Daye, and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, in pt of payment of a boooke called the thirde pt of Thome Strowde, the some of.....	40 <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley, the 30 of Julye 1601, to paye unto John Daye and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, in fulle payment of a Boooke called the third pt of thome Strowde, the some of .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup> v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Daye, the same time, in earnest of a Boooke called the 2 pte of Thome Dowghe, <sup>4</sup> the some of.....	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Also inserted in the margin.

<sup>2</sup> Bromfield was a mercer and dealer in cloth, who supplied the company, and is mentioned again afterwards.

<sup>3</sup> The words "the company for" have been accidentally omitted in this entry to Antony Wadeson: the title of the play has occurred before on p. 190, when Wadeson was paid 20s. "in earnest" for it, and when it is first noticed as in progress.

<sup>4</sup> We have heard nothing of any *first* part of Tom Dough. It is very likely that, like Tom Strowd, Tom Dough gave the name to the play in which he was a principal character, but no such drama is extant. Henslowe omitted the title at first, and inserted it afterwards, partly in a blank he had left, and partly by interlineation.

Layd owt at the apoyntment of the companye, the 2 of aguste 1601, for aparel for Machewmet, <sup>1</sup>	x <sup>s</sup> iiijd
the some of.....	
Layd owt at the apoyntment of the company, toward ther supper, to M <sup>r</sup> Mason, <sup>2</sup> at the quenes head, the some of —,	xx <sup>s</sup>
the 3 of aguste .....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, for mack- ynge of divers thinges for Mahewmett, unto	xij <sup>s</sup>
Dover the tayller .....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, unto W <sup>m</sup> Whitte, for mackynge of crownes and other thinges for Mahewmett, the 4 of aguste 1601, the some of .....	1 <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley, the 5 of aguste 1601, to lend in pte payment, unto John Daye and W <sup>m</sup> Hawgton, of a Boocke called the weaste enges, <sup>3</sup> some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 7 of aguste 1601, to bye divers thinges, lange cottes, for the playe of Carnowld Wollsey, <sup>4</sup> the some of.....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent the same tyme unto the littell tayller, for the same playe of Carnowle Wollsey, some of .....	vij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Probably a mere revival of an older play, previously mentioned on p. 39. No author was paid for making additions to or alterations in it, unless a memorandum on p. 198, dated 22 Aug. 1601, of a payment of 40s. to Edward Alleyn, were for improvements he introduced.

<sup>2</sup> Mr. Mason might be the dramatic author who wrote a tragedy called "The Turke," printed in 1610, and entered two years earlier at Stationers' Hall; but it is more likely that Mason was the tavern-keeper at the Queen's Head.

<sup>3</sup> Doubtless the same play previously called the Conquest of the West Indies.

<sup>4</sup> The "long coats" for the play of Cardinal Wolsey are interlined.

Bowght of M <sup>r</sup> Stonne, merser, the 10 of aguste 1601, ij pylle vellvet of carnardyn at xx <sup>s</sup> v <sup>d</sup> , and sattenes at xijs, and taffeties at xijs vj <sup>d</sup> , which I layd owt for the company, for the playe of carnowll Wollsey, <sup>1</sup> some is .....	xxj <sup>ii</sup>
Layd owt more for the playe of Carnowle wollsey, for tynsell and tyffeny, and lynynge and other thinges, the same tyme, dd unto Jewby, the some of .....	iij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the coper lace man for whit coper syllver lace, the same tyme, the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 11 of aguste 1601, to bye cottes for the playe of carnowle Wollsey, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton and John Daye, the 11 of aguste 1601, in pt payment of the playe called the west Enges, some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 12 of aguste 1601, to bye divers thinges for the playe of car- nowle wollsey, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the littell tayller, the 12 of aguste, to bye divers thinges for the playe of carnowle Wollsey, at the apoyntment of my sonne, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt at the apoyntment of the company, the 13 of aguste 1601, for ij tayllers billes and W <sup>m</sup> Whittes bill, after the playe of carnowelle Wollsey, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	vij <sup>ii</sup> 4 <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Henslowe seems to have gone to an extraordinary expense in getting up "Cardinal Wolsey." It is rarely that we meet with an item so large as this, itself more than £100 of our present money.

<sup>2</sup> i.e., Edward Alleyn, who probably acted the part of the Cardinal.

<sup>3</sup> Perhaps after the playe of Cardinal Wolsey had been first acted. This entry may therefore shew that it was brought out prior to the 13th of August, 1601.

Pd unto the tyerman, the 14 of aguste 1601, for mony which he layd owt to bye teffeny for the playe of carnowlle Wollsey, some of .....	xiiij <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 18 of aguste 1601, to pay unto harey chettell for his Booke of carnowlle Wollsey, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	
Lent unto the compayne, the 20 of aguste 1601, to bye a docters gowne, for the playe of carnowlle Wollsey, the some of —, pd to Radford .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart shawe, the 21 of aguste 1601, for vellvett and mackynge of the docters gowne, in carnowlle Wollsey, the some of.....	
Pd unto Edward Alleyn, at the apoyntment of the company, the 22 of aguste 1601, for the Boocke of Mahemett, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Robart shaw, the 24 of aguste 1601, to lend unto harey chettell, in earneste called the j pt of carnall Wollsay, <sup>2</sup> the some of.....	

<sup>1</sup> If "Cardinal Wolsey" were brought out before 13th August 1601, Chettle was not paid in full for it until afterwards, which does not seem at all likely, considering his poverty; besides, according to other memoranda, Henslowe paid for the material and making of a doctor's gown subsequent to the production of the play.

<sup>2</sup> We may suspect an error here, and for "the j pt of carnall Wolsey" we ought perhaps to read "the 2 pt," which Chettle undertook in consequence of the success of his first part. On the other hand, it may refer to the play called "The Rising of Cardinal Wolsey," hereafter mentioned, in which Chettle and several other poets were concerned. It is certain that Henslowe's company produced two plays on the incidents of Wolsey's life, and that treating of his "rising" was posterior in point of composition: in a subsequent page, 204, it is called "the first part of Cardinal Wolsey," but Henslowe's memoranda are greatly confused, owing frequently to his ignorance of the titles of plays at the time he made his entries.

Lent unto John Daye, the 26 of aguste 1601, in pt of payment of a boocke called the Weast enges, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent at the apoyntment of the company, the 27 of aguste 1601, unto Dover the tayller, to bye dyvers thinges for the 3 pte of Thome Strowde, the some of .. .. ..	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, <sup>1</sup> the 29 of aguste 1601, to paye the Jewrey xv <sup>s</sup> , and the clarke of the syes x <sup>s</sup> viij <sup>d</sup> , and ower diner viij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup> , some ...	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companye, the j of septembr, to lend John Daye, in pte of payment of a Boocke called the Weast enges, some of .. .. ..	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the j of Septembr 1601, to bye blacke buckrome to macke a sewte for a fyer drack, in the 3 pt of thome Strowde, <sup>2</sup> the some of .. .. ..	iiij <sup>s</sup> v <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 3 of septmbr 1601, to paye the tayller, Dover, for mackenge of divers thinges for the third pte of tome strowde, the some of .. .. ..	l <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 3 of Septembr 1601, to paye unto John Daye and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, in pt of payment of a boocke called the 2 pte of thome Dowghe .. .. ..	iiij <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps this entry has reference to the trial of Francis Henslowe, before mentioned on p. 192; but, if so, we do not see why the company should be charged with these expenses: it is also to be observed that, as well as we can gather from Henslowe's previous entry, the matter was settled without coming into court.

<sup>2</sup> A fire-drake was an artificial firework, and was sometimes used for a will o' the wisp; but in this memorandum it seems to have meant a fire-dragon, for which a suit of buckram was required. Those who wish to see more about fire-drakes of various kinds, may consult Malone's note to Henry VIII., A. v., sc. 3.

Lent unto the company, the 3 of Septembr 1601, to paye unto the M <sup>r</sup> of the Revelles for licens- yng of the 3 pte of thome Strowde, and the remainder of Carnowle Wollseye <sup>1</sup> .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 10 of Septembr 1601, which them selfes must paye, for to paye unto Dover, the tayller, upon his bill for the 3 pt of thome Strowde, the some of .....	
Lent at the apoyntment of Robart Shawe, the 11 of Septembr 1601, to lend unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, in pt of payment of the 2 pt of Thome Dowghe, some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the [companye], the 19 of septmbr 1601, for the playe of the wys man of Westchester, <sup>2</sup> unto my sonne, E. Alleyn, the some of.....	
Layd owt for the company, the 21 of Septembr 1601, for ower meetyng at the tavern, wher we did eatte ower vensone, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> xij <sup>s</sup> 9 <sup>d</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the companye, 1601, the 23 of Septembr, unto M <sup>r</sup> Bromfelld, for v yds of Roset brode clothe, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
For the 3 pt of thome Strowde	

<sup>1</sup> This is rather a singular entry, showing that "Cardinal Wolsey" was licensed piecemeal by the Master of the Revels; as if he had not seen the whole of it at once, but that the company was in such haste to bring it out that had they sent it to him in portions. We must conclude, from the date, that the payment refers to what is called on p. 198 "the j pt of carnall Woollsay."

<sup>2</sup> This was a revival of the old play, often mentioned by Henslowe, and first produced 2 December 1594: see p. 45. Alleyn was probably paid 40s. for what he had done to render it fit for the purpose, by communicating some novelty to the performance.

<sup>3</sup> One of the patrons of the players had most likely presented the company with some venison: the feast was rather a costly one.

Lent unto Samwell Rowley, the 24 of Septembr 1601, to paye unto Harey chettell, in pt of payment for a Boocke called the Orfenes tragedy, <sup>1</sup> some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Mr Alleyn, the 25 of Septembr 1601, to lend unto Bengemen Johnson, upon his writtinge of his adicions in Geronymo, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Dd unto W <sup>m</sup> Haughton, at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowley, the 31 of septmbr 1601, in pt of payment of a boocke called the prowde womon of Anwarpe, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto my sonne and W <sup>m</sup> Jube, the 31 of septmbr 1601, to bye divers thinges, and sewtes and stockenes for the playe of the weaste enges, the som of .....	x <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Pd more the lace man, for coper lace, some .....	ijjs ix <sup>d</sup>
Pd more for coper lace for this playe .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Pd the tayllers bille, Radford and W <sup>m</sup> Whittes bell, at the apoyntment of Robart Shawe and Jube, the 10 of octobr 1601, for the playe of the weaste enges, the some of .....	lvij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> By a memorandum on p. 93 it appears that Chettle had been paid 10s. on account of the *Orphan's Tragedy* on 27 November, 1599.

<sup>2</sup> These additions were made not to the play called "The First Part of Jeronimo," but to "the Spanish Tragedy." Ben Jonson's additions, here spoken of, were printed in 1602 with "The Spanish Tragedy," which on the title-page is stated to be "newly corrected, amended, and enlarged, with new additions of the Painters part and others." They are reprinted in Dodsley's Old Plays, last edition, vol. iii., p. 97; but Gifford, and other biographers of Ben Jonson, have taken little notice of them, although singularly beautiful and highly characteristic of that great poet. The earliest known edition of "The Spanish Tragedy" is dated 1599, but it had been printed before, because on the title-page the "gross faults" in "the former impression" are mentioned.

Lent unto Robarte shawe, to lend unto hary Chettell, and antonye Mondaye, and mihell Drayton, in earneste of a boooke called the Rissenge of carnowlle Wolsey, <sup>1</sup> the 10 of octobr. 1601 .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowley, the 12 of octobr 1601, to M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye and Wentworthe Smyth, and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, in earneste of a playe called the vj clothers, the some of.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent at the apoyntment of Samwell Rowley, the 22 of octobr 1601, unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathewaye and Wentworthe Smyth, and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, in pt of payment of a boooke called the vj clothers, some.....	ijij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Jube, the 3 of novmbr 1601, to bye stamell clothe for a clocke for the Gwisse — Webster <sup>2</sup> .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This new play, "The Rising of Cardinal Wolsey," we may presume had its origin in the success of Chettle's "Cardinal Wolsey's Life" (generally called "Cardinal Wolsey" by Henslowe). We shall hereafter see that not merely Chettle, Monday, and Drayton, but Wentworth Smith were engaged upon "The Rising of Cardinal Wolsey," for the greater despatch in bringing it out, in order to take advantage of the popularity of the drama, to which, in fact, it was introductory.

<sup>2</sup> The name of Webster is interlined, perhaps in a different hand; but there is little doubt that this distinguished dramatist at this date either re-wrote, or made some extensive alterations and additions to, Marlowe's "Massacre at Paris;" in the next entry it is called by Henslowe, not "the Guise," as in the memorandum before us, but "the Massacre of France." In the dedication to his "Devil's Law Case," (as already stated in a note on p. 110) Webster mentions that he had written a play called "The Guise," which is most likely the very piece referred to by Henslowe. It might be an entirely new play upon the same historical event as that treated by Webster's great predecessor.

Lent unto the company, to lend the littell tayller, to bye fusthen and lynyng for the clockes for the masaker of France, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samelle Rowley and Robt Shawe, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathewaye, and M <sup>r</sup> Smyth, and W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, for a boocke called the 2 pte of the vj clothyers .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, the 8 of novmbr 1601, to paye unto the littell tayller, upon his bell for mackynge of sewtes for the gwesse, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Samwell Rowley, by the apoyntment of the companye, the 9 of novmbr 1601, to paye unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton, for his boocke of the proud woman of Anwarppe, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the company, for the mending of hew Daves <sup>2</sup> tanye cotte, the some of .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
which was eatten with the Rattes	vj <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto harey chettell, by the company, at the eagell and the childe, <sup>3</sup> in pt of payment of a Boocke called the Rissynge of carnell Wollsey, the some of, the 6 of novembr 1601.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companye, the 9 of novmbr 1601, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> mondaye and Harry chettell, in pt of payment of a boocke called the Ris- synge of carnowle Wollsey, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> i.e., The Guise, or Massacre of France, Webster's drama.

<sup>2</sup> Hugh Davies, whose name has frequently occurred before, was a member of the company in some inferior capacity.

<sup>3</sup> Probably the sign of a public-house, or tavern; but it is to be recollected that Thomas Walkley, the publisher of the first edition of "Othello," lived at the sign of "the Eagle and Child," and he might be in treaty with Chettle for the printing of the play, although usually objected to by companies in the time of Shakespeare.

Lent unto the company, the 12 of novmbr 1601, to paye unto Antony mondaye and harey Chettell, mihell Drayton and Smythe, in fulle paymente of the firste pt of carnowl Wollsey, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	ij <i>ii</i>
Lent unto the companye, the 13 of novmbr 1601, to paye the littell tayllor, Radford, upon his bill for the Gwisse, the some of.....	xx <i>s</i>
Lent at the apoyntment of the companye and my sonne, unto Harry Chettell, in earneste of a playe called to good to be trewe, or northern Man, <sup>2</sup> the some of — the 14 of novmbr 1601	v <i>s</i>
Pd at the apoyntment of the companye, unto my sonne, E. Alleyn, for a Boocke called Vor- tiger, <sup>3</sup> the 20 of novmbr 1601, the some of...	xxxx <i>s</i>
Pd at the apoyntment of the companye, unto the littell tayller, in fulle payment of his Bille for the Gwisse, the 26 of novmbr 1601, some	xxiiij <i>s</i> 6 <i>d</i>
Lent unto Samwell Rowlley, the 29 of novmbr 1601, to paye Wm Hawghton, in full paye- [ment] for his playe called the proud WOMON of anwarpé, the some of .....	xx <i>s</i>
Layd owt for the companye, to bye buckerom for a sewt for the playe of the Nutte, <sup>4</sup> to the littell tayller, the 4 of desembr 1601, the some of	v <i>s</i>

<sup>1</sup> Here we see "The Rising of Cardinal Wolsey" called the first part of Cardinal Wolsey. See also p. 198, note 2.

<sup>2</sup> Doubtless, a comedy upon the story of "the King and the poor Northern Man, or too good to be True." In other entries the play is called only "Too good to be True." An old poem on this subject was reprinted in 1841 by the Percy Society.

<sup>3</sup> A revival of a play first acted 4 Dec. 1596. (See p. 83.) Alleyn was paid 40*s.* for his trouble, &c.

<sup>4</sup> In all probability, a revival of the old play "Crack me this Nut." It was originally produced 5 Sep. 1595. See p. 56.

Dd unto the littell tayller, to bye for the playe of hercollas, <sup>1</sup> the 14 of desembr 1601, the some of.....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the littell tayller, 18 of desembr 1601, for divers thinges for the playe of Hercolas, the some of.....	}	v <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne, at the apoyntment of the company, the 20 of desembr 1601, in earnest of a Boocke called Judas, which Samewell Rowly and he is a writtinge <sup>2</sup> some of .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne unto M <sup>rs</sup> Gosson, <sup>3</sup> for a head tyer, the 21 of desembr 1601, the some of.....	}	xij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, unto him at the eagell and chyld, for holberds, <sup>4</sup> the 21 of desembr 1601, the some of .....	}	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, in fulle payment, for a Boocke called Judas, unto W <sup>m</sup> Borne and Samwelle Rowley, the 24 of desembr 1601, the some of.....	}	v <sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See pp. 51 and 58 respecting the first and second parts of Hercules performed on 7th and 28th May 1595. "The two parts of Hercules" are also mentioned on p. 123, but which of them was now revived, or whether it was a play compounded of both, and printed, in 1613, as Thomas Heywood's, under the title of "The Brazen Age," must be matter of conjecture.

<sup>2</sup> Here the name of *Judas*, or *Indes*, or *Indas*, again occurs, as on p. 171. It seems the earliest notice of Samuel Rowley as an author.

<sup>3</sup> There was a bookseller of the name of Henry Gosson, who published the first edition of *Pericles*, 1609. As it is rather an unusual name, perhaps this was his wife, and he might be some relation to Stephen Gosson, the early enemy of theatrical performances.

<sup>4</sup> This is the second mention of "the Eagle and Child." See p. 203. It is probable that the halberts here spoken of had been borrowed of the keeper of a tavern for the purpose of some play.

Lent at the apoyntment of the companye, unto the lettell tayller, to [bye] tafty sasenet, to macke a payer of hosse for Nycke <sup>1</sup> to tumbell in before the quene, the 25 of desembr 1601, some of .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>
.....	
Pd unto Robart shaw, the 26 of desembr 1601, to descarge his Recknyng at the sonne, the some of .....	xvij <sup>s</sup> 3 <sup>d</sup>
.....	
Pd for the company, to M <sup>r</sup> Hewettes, for mony which they borowed of him for to bye xj yardes of vellvett, the 1 of Janewary 1601, which Robarte shawe borowed, the some .....	ijij <sup>l</sup> ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
.....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the companye, unto the sylkdier, for dienge of the imbradered klocke, the 2 of Janewary 1601, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
.....	
Lent unto Antony Jeffes, the 3 of Janewary 1601, to bye clothe for the playe of Judas, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
.....	
Pd at the apoyntment of Robarte shawe and thomas Towne, unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye and M <sup>r</sup> Smythe, in pte of payment of a boocke called to goode to be trewe, <sup>2</sup> the 6 of Janewary 1601, the some of .....	l <sup>s</sup>
.....	
Rd by me, Kenricke Williams, the 2 <sup>d</sup> of July 1601, in full pament, the somme of 7 <sup>l</sup> 3 <sup>s</sup> 0 <sup>d</sup> , in full pament of all Reckning, the some of... I say Rd p me	07 <sup>l</sup> 3 <sup>s</sup> 0j <sup>d</sup>

KENRICKE WILLIAMS.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Of Nick, the tumbler, who exhibited before the queen at Christmas 1601, we do not hear elsewhere.

<sup>2</sup> We have already seen, p. 204, that Chettle also had a hand in this play, there called "Too good to be true or Northern Man."

<sup>3</sup> This memorandum is inserted by Kenrick Williams in the midst of theatrical details. See also p. 208.

Pd at the apoyntment of E. Alleyn, the 6 of Janewary 1601, in pte of payment of a boocke called the spaneshe fygge, the some of	ijij <sup>ii</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of Robart shawe, the 7 of Janewary 1601, unto Harry Chettell and Mr. Hathwaye and Mr. Smythe, in fulle payment for a boocke called to good to be trewe, the some of .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto Thomas Deckers, at the apoyntment of the companye, for a prologue and a epiloge, for the playe of Ponesciones pillet, <sup>1</sup> the 12 of Janewary 1601, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Deckers, at the apoyntment of the companye, the 16 of Janewary 1601, toward the alterynge of Tasso, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the companye, the 18 of Janewary 1601, unto E. Alleyn, for ij boockes which were played called the french Docter, the massaker of France, and the nutte, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	vj <sup>ii</sup>
Pd for x dossen of lace, to lace the harecolord clocke, the some of x <sup>s</sup> , and vj dossen more, vj <sup>s</sup> , some .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Pontius Pilate is, in all probability, what Henslowe means by "Ponesciones pillet." It was perhaps an old scriptural play, on the revival of which Dekker was employed to write a new prologue and epilogue. The performance of such a piece makes it more likely that the drama on which Rowley and Borne were engaged in the preceding month was "Judas," and not *Indas*.

<sup>2</sup> A revival, with alterations, of the old play of "Tasso's Melancholy," which was performed for the first time in 1594: see p. 39.

<sup>3</sup> Alleyn, we may conclude, was paid this money for his instrumentality in reviving and getting up these three plays, which had been long upon the stage. See pp. 30, 43, 56: On pp. 200, 204, we are told that he had been similarly employed on two other old dramas.

Rd by me, Kenricke Williams, the 2 of auguste  
 1600, of Mr Alline, the some of twenty pound,  
 in pt of pament of a more some. I say Rd  
 p me ..... } 020<sup>li</sup> 0<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>

KENRICKE WILLIAMS.<sup>1</sup>

Rd by me, Kenricke Williams, the 20 of  
 Auguste 1600, of Alline, the some of ten  
 pound, in part payment of a more some. I  
 say Rd ..... } 010<sup>li</sup> 0<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>

p me, KENRICKE WILLIAMS.

Rd by me, Kenricke Williams, the 3 of Sep-  
 tember 1600, in pt pament of a more some: I  
 saye Rd the ffe pound in pt ..... } 05<sup>li</sup> 0<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>

p me, KENRICKE WILLIAMS.

Rd by me, Kenricke Williams, the 20<sup>th</sup> of Sep-  
 tember 1600, of Mr Henslowe, in pt pament,  
 the some of ten poundes, in pte of more some.  
 I say Rd ..... } 10<sup>li</sup> 0<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>

KENRICKE WILLIAMS.

Rd by me, Kenricke Williams, the 10<sup>th</sup> of  
 October 1600, of Mr Henslowe, in pt pament,  
 the some of ten pounds. I say Rd p me ... } 10<sup>li</sup> 0<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>

KENRICKE WILLIAMS.

<sup>1</sup> There is no heading to these items, ascending in the whole to £75 10s. 0d., and some of the amounts were paid by Alleyn, and others by Henslowe. The date, which is earlier than the previous dramatic entries, tends to show that the payments were made on account of the Fortune Theatre, then in a course of construction and preparation: they also immediately precede a detailed statement, which evidently relates to the Fortune. Kenrick Williams was perhaps a contractor for some part of the work, and his receipt in full has been inserted on p. 201, with the date of 2 July 1601: Peter Street (the contract with whom is preserved at Dulwich, and the particulars of which may be found in the Hist. of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, iii., 302) was the carpenter employed, and Williams may have been the bricklayer.

Rd by me, Kenricke Williams, the fyrste of  
 november 1600, in pt of pament, the some of }  
 xlii. I saye Rd the same ..... } 10<sup>li</sup> 0<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>  
 p me, KENRICKE WILLIAMS.

Pd unto Kenricke Williamse, the 26 of novmbr }  
 1600, in fulle payment of all Reckenengs, from }  
 the begynenge of the world unto the daye of }  
 the datte herof, the some of thertie shellinges<sup>1</sup> } xxx<sup>s</sup>

*What we owe about our Howsse,<sup>2</sup> as foloweth: 1600.*

Itm from Dickensone, j lode of dubell quarters	
Itm frome Dickenson, hallfe a lode of syngell quarters	
Itm northumbeland cort, ij hundred of delles, at	xij <sup>li</sup> v <sup>s</sup>
Itm more from hime, j hundred of delle at .....	v <sup>li</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Itm more from hime, ij hunder of furepowlles	
Itm more, fore clape bordes	
Itm from Dickenson, ij lode of dubell quarters	
Itm from Dickensone, j lode of dubell quarters	
Itm from Dickenson, j lode of Rafters	
Itm from northumberland cort, j hundred of del- borde .....	vj <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm from northumberland cort, j hundred of del- borde .....	vj <sup>li</sup>
Itm for one powle for the stayer casse	
Itm frome Dickenson, j lode of Rafters	

<sup>1</sup> This last note is Henslowe's writing: the others are written and signed by Kenrick Williams. This memorandum does not agree with the receipt on p. 206 either in date or amount.

<sup>2</sup> "Our house" was unquestionably the Fortune Theatre, built by Henslowe and Alleyn. The "Northumberland Court" mentioned in the account (which is in Henslowe's handwriting) was no doubt a timber-yard there, the proprietor of which is not named.

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, j lode of dubell quarters

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, j lode of singell and dubell quarters

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, j lode of dubell quarters

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, hallfe a lode of syngell quarters

Itm̄ frome northumberland corte, j hunderd of delbordes ..... ... vj<sup>li</sup>

Itm̄ frome Dickensone, j lode of duble quarters

Itm̄ frome Northumberland corte, hallfe a hundred of delbordes

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, j lode of quarters

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, halfe a lode of Rafters

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, j lode of sorted quarters

Itm̄ more vj gystes

Itm̄ frome northumberland corte, hallfe a hunderd of dealle ..... iiiij<sup>li</sup> x<sup>s</sup>

Itm̄ frome northumberland corte, hallfe a hunderd of dealle

Itm̄ frome Dickensone, hallfe a lode of dubell quarters, hallfe a lode of sengell quarters, halfe a lod of gystes ]

Itm̄ frome northumberland corte, j quarter of lod of slyte dealles

Itm̄ frome northumberland cort, j quarter of lode of slette dealles

Itm̄ frome northumberland corte, j quarter of delbordes

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, ij of aguste 1600, hallfe a lode of syngell quarters

Itm̄ frome northumberland, xv furpowles and vj delbordes

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, vj dubell and vj syngell quarters

Itm̄ frome Dickenson, — Inche bordes

Itm from northumberland cort, vj furpowlls and  
 viij delbordes

Itm from Mr Dickenson, j quarter of a hunderd }  
 of syngell quarters }

Itm from northumberland corte, xx delbordes }  
 and x slette dealles at }

Itm from northumberland corte, vj dealles

Jemes Rosse, goynor, and Gorge Dixson, hath geven his  
 worde for a Biche<sup>1</sup> of Wm Dixson, that she shalbe forthe  
 cominge to serve the Quene when I shall send for her, and  
 upon this promisse hath tacken of me a jd, on a asumsette to  
 forfette vli yf she be not browght when I shall send for her,  
 and for the performance of vli they have sett to their handes  
 the 29 of septembr 1601.

JEMES + ROSES marke.

GORGE + DIXSON.

Wittnes to the above written,

JOHN NOLFELLD.<sup>2</sup>

Mdm that Mr arture Langworth hath promysed, the 16  
 daye of maye 1595, to paye unto me, philippe Henslow, the  
 some of j hundredth powndes, for a howsse, and land, with  
 goods, which he bargened with me with owt any condition, but  
 absolutly to paye me so muche mony, and to take suche a  
 surence as I have at this time: witnesses to this promes of  
 payement.

E. ALLEYN.

EDWARD + ALLENES wiffes  
 marke.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This bitch was to "serve the Queen," by being employed in the  
 bear and other baitings for the amusement of the Court.

<sup>2</sup> The whole is in Henslowe's scribe's handwriting, excepting merely the  
 marks of Ross and Dixon.

<sup>3</sup> Hence we see that Mrs. Alleyn (formerly Joan Woodward) could  
 not write: the signature of the other witness is that of Edward Alleyn

Rd in pte of payment, the 3 of June 1595, the }  
 some of fiftie pownd. I saye Rd ..... } 50<sup>li</sup> 0<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup>  
 Lent unto M<sup>r</sup> artur Lengworth, in Redey money, }  
 the x of June 1595, the some of tenne }  
 powndes, which was delyvered unto him by }  
 the handes of my dawghter, Edwardes Allenes } x<sup>li</sup>  
 wiffe. I saye.....

*A noot what I have layd oute sence we went about ower new  
 howsse<sup>1</sup> as foloweth. 1600:—*

Pd for removinge the dunge with the carte	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd for goinge at Grenwiche with Robart shawe	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Pd for a bracke faste at that time with shawe	xij <sup>d</sup>
Pd for drincke when we payd wages	v <sup>d</sup>
Pd at the Rede crosse for brackfaste, when we sowght Strete	ij <sup>s</sup>
Geven to the workmen to drincke	vj <sup>d</sup>
Pd the waterman for goinge throwe Brige from blackfryers	iiiij <sup>d</sup>
Pd the 2 of June 1600, for stretes dinner and myne	xij <sup>d</sup>
Pd for goinge by water with the M <sup>r</sup> of the Revelles <sup>2</sup>	xij <sup>d</sup>

himself. The body of the memorandum is in the handwriting of Henslowe's scribe.

<sup>1</sup> "Our new House" was the Fortune Theatre, to which this account also relates. It consists of many more items than are here inserted, but most of them are mere repetitions of the cost of Henslowe's dinners with East and Street. Street, as we have stated, was the carpenter, and East was perhaps another contractor for a different part of the work. The Red Cross, at which they once breakfasted, was, no doubt, in Red Cross Street, very near the new theatre.

<sup>2</sup> This item seems to show that the Master of the Revels (possibly in his official capacity) surveyed the progress of the undertaking,

Pd for cominge by water, my sonne and I	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Pd the 25 of June, for workmen and our selfes diner	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Pd for whipcorde and goinge by water	v <sup>d</sup>
Pd the 6 of Julye for diner for Peter, Easte and my sellfe <sup>1</sup>	xvij <sup>d</sup>
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Henslow, the 6 of maye 1601, for the usse of my M <sup>r</sup> , Richard Walles, in pte of pay- ment, the some of fyve powndes. I saye Rd	v <sup>ll</sup>
by me .....	

ROBERT CLYFTON.

Receaved by us, Ri. Hathway, Wentworth Smyth, and William Haughton, of M<sup>r</sup> Hinslye, the summe of forty shillinges, in earneste of the play called the second pte of the sixe clothyers.

R. HATHWAY.

W. SMYTH.

Receyved of Mr Hynchloe, the 9<sup>th</sup> of June, iiij<sup>ll</sup>, which he is to paye for the monethes paye for the Fortune,<sup>2</sup> and due unto the M<sup>r</sup> of the Revelles.

ROBTE HASSARD.

going part of the way by water, or accompanied Henslowe to Greenwich. We shall presently meet with the first payment to him of his "months money," £3, for his sanction to performances at the Fortune.

<sup>1</sup> These entries for dinners, at prices varying from 11*d.* to 18*d.*, are continued daily until the 8th August, when the account terminates at the bottom of the page.

<sup>2</sup> There is no date of the year to this memorandum, but it was probably 9th June 1601: we have just seen, by previous accounts, that the Fortune Theatre was not finished on the 8th August 1600: Peter Street, East, and Henslowe, were engaged upon it up to that date.

Lent unto frances Henslow, to goyne with owld  
 Garland, and Symcockes, and Savery, when  
 they played in the Duckes name<sup>1</sup> at ther  
 laste goinge owt, the some of vij<sup>ii</sup>. I saye  
 lent ..... . . . . . } vij<sup>ii</sup>

Received of M<sup>r</sup> Philip Hinchloes in earnest of the Booke of  
 Shoare, now newly to be written for the Earle of Worcesters  
 players at the Rose, of M<sup>r</sup> Hinchloes, xl<sup>s</sup>. I say received.<sup>2</sup>

30 Die Januarij 1598.<sup>3</sup>

Receaved by mee, Thomas Dekker, of M<sup>r</sup> Phillip Hynch-  
 low, the some of Three Powndes Ten shillings, to bee repayd  
 unto Him or his Assignes upon the last of February next

<sup>1</sup> This entry perhaps belongs to the year 1604, and "the Duke" (under whose name Francis Henslowe and others went into the country) was most likely the Duke of Lennox. Vide Memoirs of Edward Alleyn, page 69. Savery has been already mentioned on p. 192, under not very advantageous circumstances.

<sup>2</sup> This undated and unsigned entry gives us information on two or three points. In the first place, it is in the handwriting of Chettle, who had "newly written" a play on the story of Jane Shore: next, it informs us that the Earl of Worcester's, and not the Earl of Nottingham's players, were in the occupation of the Rose Theatre. Of this company, Thomas Heywood, who had originally engaged with Henslowe, was a member, and upon the accession of James I. (as Heywood himself informs us in his "Nine Books concerning Women," 1624) this association became the players of Queen Anne, as the Earl of Nottingham's players became the theatrical servants of Prince Henry. When the Earl of Worcester's players were acting at the Rose, those of the Earl of Nottingham had removed to the Fortune, both houses being occupied at the same time. This memorandum must be anterior to the accession of James I.

<sup>3</sup> The body and subscription of this acknowledgment are in the handwriting of Dekker. Those of the witnesses also are original signatures.

ensuing : for payment whereof I bynd mee my Heyres, Executors, and Administrators.

THOMAS DEKKER.

Wittnes E. ALLEYN

SAMUELL ROWLYE.

Rd of Mr Henslowe, the viij<sup>th</sup> of July 1602, for  
one months paye due the third of July, being  
this present month, the som of 3<sup>l</sup>, to the use }  
of my Mr, Mr Edmond Tylney esquire: I }  
say Rd the som of. .... } iiij<sup>l</sup>

p mei WILL. PLAYSTOWE.

William Birde.

Rd the 30 of June 1601, in pt ..... xvij<sup>s</sup> 8<sup>d</sup>  
Rd the 4 of Julye 1601, in pt ..... xiiiij<sup>s</sup>

Pd him backe agayne this mony.

Lent unto W<sup>m</sup> Kempe, the 10 of marche 1602, ]  
in Redy monye twentye shellenges for his }  
necessarie usses, the some of<sup>2</sup> ..... xx<sup>s</sup>

Robarte shawe.

Rd the 30 of June 1601, in pte ..... xvij<sup>s</sup> 8<sup>d</sup>  
Rd the 4 of Julye 1601, in pte ..... xiiiij<sup>s</sup>  
Rd the 11 of Julye 1601, in pte ..... xiij<sup>s</sup> 4<sup>d</sup>  
Rd the 18 of Julye 1601, in pte ..... xvij<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This is the earliest notice of Kempe in the MS.; but he must have belonged to the company under Henslowe and Alleyn, as early as 1592 (see p. 28): he afterwards joined the Lord Chamberlain's servants; then rejoined some of his old associates, as one of the players of the Earl of Worcester, and finally re-united himself with the company to which Shakespeare was attached. It seems probable that Henslowe and Alleyn held out inducements to Kempe, the most popular low comedian of his day, when they opened the Fortune.

Rd the 25 of July 1601, in pte .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>	j <sup>d</sup>
Rd the j of aguste 1601, in pte .....	v <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 8 of aguste 1601, in pte .....	x <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 15 of aguste 1601, in pte.....	xv <sup>s</sup>	iii <sup>d</sup>

*Begininge to Receive of thes meane ther privat deates,<sup>1</sup> which they  
owe unto me, accordinge to the dayes which foloweth: 1601.*

Richard Jonnes.

Rd the 30 of June 1601, in pte .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 4 of Julye 1601, in pte .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 11 of Julye 1601, in pte .....	xij <sup>s</sup>	4 <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 18 of Julye 1601, in pte .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 25 of Julye 1601, in pte .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>	j <sup>d</sup>
Rd the j of aguste 1601, in pte ... ..	v <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 8 of aguste 1601, in pte .....	x <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 15 of aguste 1601, in pte....	xv <sup>s</sup>	iii <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 22 of aguste 1601, in pte.....	xv <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 29 of aguste 1601, in pte.....	xx <sup>s</sup>	

Thomas Downton.

Rd the 30 of June 1601, in pte <sup>2</sup> .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 4 of Julye 1601, in pt .....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 11 of Julye 1601, in pt .....	xij <sup>s</sup>	4 <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 18 of Julye 1601, in pt .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>	
Rd the 25 of Julye 1601, in pt .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>	j <sup>d</sup>
Rd the j of aguste 1601, in pt .....	v <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 8 of aguste 1601, in pt .....	x <sup>s</sup>	vij <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 15 of aguste 1601, in pt .....	xv <sup>s</sup>	iii <sup>d</sup>
Rd the 22 of aguste 1601, in pt .....	xv <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Money advanced by Henslowe on the condition of weekly repayments, which he records. Such was probably the case with the preceding accounts, under the names of Birde and Shawe, although Birde occurs again just afterwards as Henslowe's debtor.

<sup>2</sup> This first entry of a payment is struck through with the pen.

Rd the 29 of aguste 1601, in pte.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Rd the 5 of Septmbr 1601, in pt.....	xiiij <sup>s</sup>

Lent W <sup>m</sup> Birde, alles Borne, the some of ...	v <sup>li</sup>
Rd in pte .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pte .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pte .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pt the 18 of octobr 1601 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> 8 <sup>d</sup>
Rd in pt the 25 of octobr 1601 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Rd in pt the j of novmbr 1601 .....	ij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>

Lent unto Mr. Jonnes, the 2 of octobr 1601, and

Received as folowethe—some.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pte, the 3 of octobr 1601 .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pte the 11 of octobr 1601 .....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Rd in pte, the 18 of octobr 1601.....	ij <sup>s</sup> 8 <sup>d</sup>
Rd in pte, the 25 of octobr 1601.....	ij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
Rd in pte, the 1 of novmbr 1601.....	ij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>

Pd and quite.<sup>1</sup>

Pd the 21 of Janewary, <sup>2</sup> for xij oz of lace for Indies, <sup>3</sup> x <sup>s</sup> , and pd to Spencer for twiste, ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup> : pd for ij tiers, x <sup>s</sup> , and pd for v oz and lacynge the sleves, v <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup> , to E. Alleyn, the some of .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
---	--------------------

<sup>1</sup> The whole sum borrowed by Jones seems to have been £2, out of which, according to this account, though Henslowe declared it to be "paid and quit," Jones had only returned £1 2s. 4d. In the preceding account Birde only paid £1 17s. 4d. out of the £5 borrowed. Perhaps the difference was made up in some unexplained way at the time the matter was closed; but Henslowe's accounts are generally too confused to bear nice examination.

<sup>2</sup> These items are continued from p. 207.

<sup>3</sup> "Indies" in this entry means probably what Henslowe has previously called (p. 196) "enges" and "weste enges."

Lent unto Robart Shawe, the 21 of Janewary 1601, to geve unto harey cheattell, for mend- inge of the Boocke called the prowde womon, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntmente of E. Alleyn, for the companye, unto the coper lace man, for lace, the 25 of Janewary 1601, the some of.....	
Pd at the apoyntmente of the companye, to M <sup>rs</sup> Gosson, for a headtyer, the 7 of febreary 1601, the some of .....	xxxv <sup>s</sup>
Totalles frome ther handes before in the yeare 1600, from the x of July, is 318 <sup>l</sup> 16 <sup>s</sup> 04 <sup>d</sup> . <sup>2</sup>	

JOHN SINGER  
THOMAS DOWNTON  
WILLIAM BYRD  
EDWARD JUBY  
THOMAS TOWNE  
HUMPHREY JEFFS  
ANTHONY JEFFS  
SAMUELL ROWLEY  
CHARLES MASSY.<sup>3</sup>

Frome ther handes to this place is 308<sup>l</sup> 06<sup>s</sup> 04<sup>d</sup>, dewe  
unto me, and with the three hundred of owld is 608<sup>l</sup> 06<sup>s</sup> 04<sup>d</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> This play has been already assigned to Day and Haughton in a memorandum dated 4th July 1601 (see p. 193); and we hear of it again on 29th Nov. 1601: (see p. 204.) Perhaps "Friar Rush and the proud Woman of Antwerp" had never yet been performed, and Chettle was employed to "mend" it even before it was represented.

<sup>2</sup> This addition appears, from what follows the names of the players, (all of them written by John Singer) to be incorrect, but the figures are much altered and blotted.

<sup>3</sup> That some importance was attached to the order in which the names of the members of the company stood may be judged from the circum-

Lent unto the company, to geve unto Mr Jonnes and Mr Shaw, at ther goinge a waye,<sup>1</sup> fyftyne powndes, which is not in this Recknyng: I saye.....] 50*li*

*Begininge with a new Recknyng with my lord of nottingames men, the 23 daye of febreyar 1601, as foloweth:*

Itm pd unto my sonne E. Alleyn, which was after we had Reckneyd togeather, the company and I, which after our castyng dew to my sonne owt of the gallery mony, the some of.....] xxvij*s vj<sup>d</sup>*

Lent unto harey cheattell, March 25, at the apoyntment [of] Thomas Dowton and my sonne, E. Alleyn, at the seallynge of H. Chet-tells band to write for them,<sup>2</sup> the some of ...] iii*li*

Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 18 of aprell 1602, unto Charles massey, for a playe Boocke called Malcolm kynge of Scotsies,<sup>3</sup> the some of.....] v*li*

stance that John Singer, the celebrated comedian, originally placed himself third in the list, but his name was subsequently erased with a pen and crowded in before that of Thomas Downton.

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps "their going away" referred to some temporary secession or absence in the country, for Jones and Shaw certainly did not finally quit the company.

<sup>2</sup> According to this item, Henry Chettle had entered into a bond to write, we may suppose, exclusively for the Earl of Nottingham's players, and had received £3 for so doing.

<sup>3</sup> Massey is put down by Malone (iii., 326) as the writer of Malcolm King of Scots, but he could only have been employed by the author or authors to introduce it to the theatre. Massey was comparatively illiterate, as may be seen by the fragment of a letter from him to Alleyn, requesting a loan of £50: "Memoirs of Edward Alleyn," p. 109.

Pd at the apoyntment of my sonne, the 21 of aprelle 1602, for a scertes <sup>1</sup> of clothe of sylver, for a womons gowne, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 27 of aprell 1602, to bye a sewt of motley for the Scotch- man, for the playe called the Malcolm kynge of Scotes, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 4 of maye 1602, to bye a Boocke of harye Cheattell and M <sup>r</sup> Smyth, called the Love partes frenship, the some of .....	vii <sup>ii</sup>
Lent at the apoyntment of Samewell Rowlye, unto John Daye, the 4 of maye 1602, in earneste of a play called Bristo tragedi, <sup>2</sup> as may apere, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
written by him selfe.	
Lent unto the compayne, the 5 of maye 1602, to geve unto antoney monday and thomas Deckers, in earnest of a Boocke called Jeffte, <sup>3</sup> as may apeare, the some of .....	vii <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 15 of maye 1602, to pay Harey Chettell for the mendynge of the fyrste pte of carnowlle Wollsey, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 16 of maye 1602, to geve harey cheattell, in earnest of a playe called Tobyas, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A *scertes* perhaps means "a skirts" of cloth of silver.

<sup>2</sup> This was probably the play printed anonymously in 1605, under the title of "The fair Maid of Bristol." It has been assigned to Day on the authority of the above entry.

<sup>3</sup> This name is spelt differently, but (if it mean Jephthah, as it most likely does) never rightly spelt by Henslowe or his scribe. The ballad of "Jephthah Judge of Israel," quoted in "Hamlet," is well known: see Percy's Reliques, i., 193, edit 1812.

Layd owt for the companye, the 16 of maye 1602, for to bye a dublett and a payer of venesyons of clothe of sylver wrught with read sylke, the some of fower pownd and ten shellinges, I saye .....	iiij <sup>l</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the company, when they Read the playe of Jeffa, for wine at the tavern, dd unto Thomas Downton .....	ij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 18 maij, to bye maskyng antycke sewtes, for the 2 pte of carnowlle Woolsey, the some of .....	iiij <sup>l</sup> v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 20 of maij 1602, to bye a grene sewt and womon'sleves, the some of —, for Wollseye .....	l <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companye, the 22 of maij 1602, to geve unto Antoney Monday and Mihell Drayton, Webster, Mydelton and the Rest, in earneste of a Boocke called sesers Falle, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	v <sup>ll</sup>
Pd unto John Daye, at the apoyntment of W <sup>m</sup> Jube and the Reste of the companye, for a Boocke called Bristo tragedi, the 23 of maij 1602, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
written by hime selfe	
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 27 of maij 1602, to bye W <sup>m</sup> someres <sup>2</sup> cotte and other thinges, for the 2 pte of Wollsey, the some of	iiij <sup>l</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone passed over this important entry without notice: it shews that in May 1602 four poets, who are named (viz. Monday, Drayton, Webster, and Middleton), and some others not named, were engaged in writing a play upon the subject of the Fall of Cæsar. See Collier's Shakespeare, vii., 4, where it is contended that the *Julius Cæsar* of our great dramatist was written in 1603.

<sup>2</sup> A coat for Will Somers, the celebrated and favourite jester of Henry VIII.

Dd at the apoyntment of Thomas towne, the 28 of maye 1602, unto John Daye, in fulle pay- ment for his playe, written by hime selffe, <sup>1</sup> called Bristo tragedie, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 29 of maye 1602, to bye Rebatoes and other thinges for the 2 pte of carnowlle Wollsey, the some of	xxv <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 29 of maye 1602, to paye Thomas Dickers, Drayton, Mydellton, and Webster, and Mondaye, in fulle paymente for ther playe called too harpes, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 31 of maye 1602, to paye unto the coper lace[man], in pt of payment, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 31 of maye 1602, to bye a sewt for ther playe called love partes frenshippe .....	l <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 2 of June 1602, to paye unto the coper lace man, in fulle payment for the lace for the 2 pt of Wollsey .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 2 of June 1602, to geve harey cheattell, upon his Boocke of Tobyas, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 8 of maye 1602, <sup>3</sup> to bye cottes for the playe of Jeffa, the some of .....	vj <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The meaning of the words "written by himself" is most likely that Day alone was the author of it, without any coadjutors.

<sup>2</sup> Malone calls this piece "the Two Harpies," and that may be the correct title of it. In the original entry it is difficult to ascertain whether Henslowe's scribe wrote "too hapes," "too hopes," or "too harpes."

<sup>3</sup> This date seems erroneously given as 8th May 1602. The place it occupies shews that it ought to be the 8th June 1602.

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 12 of June 1602, to bye Rebatoes and other thinges for the playe of Jeffa, the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto bengemy Johnsone, at the apoynt- ment of E. Alleyn and W <sup>m</sup> Birde, the 24 of June 1602, in earneste of a boocke called Richard crockbacke, and for new adicyons for Jeronymo, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	x <sup>li</sup>
Pd at the apoynt of Thomas Downton, unto the tayller for mackynge of sewtes for Jeffa, the 25 of June 1602, some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 26 of June 1602, to paye unto harey Chettell, in pte of payment for a Boocke called tobyas, the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 27 of June 1602, to paye unto Harey chettell, in fulle payment of his Boocke called tobias, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, 1602, the 27 of June, to paye unto him which made ther pro- pertyes for Jeffa, the some of .....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 5 of Julye 1602, to paye the cutter <sup>2</sup> for the playe of Jeffa, the some of .....	xxij <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See Malone's Shaksp. by Boswell, iii., 335, where this entry, (in which the words "unto bengemy Johnsone" are interlined) with some slight variations, is quoted. The play was clearly on the events of the reign of Richard III. The "new additions to Jeronymo" were probably some scenes in the Spanish Tragedy beyond those which were entered on p. 201 as paid for before: there they are called merely "additions," but here "new additions" are spoken of.

<sup>2</sup> Who or what was "the cutter for the play of Jeffa" it is not easy to conjecture: the sum is too considerable, or we might suppose it to be payment to the man who played the executioner. A cutter was a well-

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 7 of Julye 1602, to geve unto Harye chettell, in earneste of a tragedy called a Danyshe tragedye, the some of .....	}	xxs
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 9 of July 1602, to lend unto Antony the poyete, in earneste of a comody called the Widowes cherme, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	}	xs
Pd at the apoyntment of E. Alleyn, the 16 day of July 1602, to Mr Stonnes man, for a payer of crymson satten venysions, with a strype of gowld lace, the some of <sup>2</sup> .....	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton and Edward Jewbe, to geve unto Thomas Dickers, in earneste of a comody called a medyson for a curste wiffe, 19 of July 1602.....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto H. chettel, vs. <sup>3</sup>		
Lent unto Samwell Rowley and Edwarde Jewbe, to paye for the Booke of Samson, the 29 of Julye 1602, the some of .....	}	vii

known character, and Heywood was paid for writing the part of *cutting* Dick; but if introduced into the tragedy of Jephthah, the actor would hardly have been paid separately.

<sup>1</sup> "Antony the poyete" was either Anthony Munday, or Anthony Wadeson; most likely the latter, as Anthony Munday was better known. Malone suggests, without any apparent ground, that this comedy, "the Widow's Charm," was the play afterwards printed, and called "the Puritan Widow." He means of course "the Puritan, or the Widow of Watling Street," which came out in 4to in 1607, with the initials W. S. on the title-page, and was included in Shakespeare's Works, edit. 1664, folio.

<sup>2</sup> This entry (like some others) has a large cross against it in the margin, as if it had been erased, but the word *steate* (probably meant for *stet*) has been written over it. The same remark will apply to two subsequent entries on the same page.

<sup>3</sup> Inserted in the margin of the MS.

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 31 of July 1602, to paye unto Thomas Dickers, in pte of payment of his comedey called a medyssen for a curste wiffe, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto my sone, E. A., for ij boocke called Phillipe of Spayne and Longshankes, <sup>2</sup> the 8 of aguste 1602, the some of .....	iii <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto Antoney the poyet, in pt of payment of a comedy called widowes Charme, the 26 of aguste 1602, the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde and W <sup>m</sup> Jube, the 2 of Septembr 1602, to paye unto Antoney the poyet, in pte of payment of a comedey called A widowes Charme, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, and Thomas Towne, and Edward Jube, the 8 of Septembr 1602, to paye unto W <sup>m</sup> Hawghton for a playe called Cartwryght, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	I <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Umfrey Jeaffes, the 9 of Septembr 1602, in pte payment to Mr Robensone for a tragedie called Femelanco, <sup>4</sup> the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This "Medicine for a Curst Wife" was probably some new version of the "Taming of a Shrew," which preceded Shakespeare's comedy, and which has been reprinted by the Shakespeare Society from the *unique* copy of 1594, in the library of the Duke of Devonshire.

<sup>2</sup> Two old plays, we may presume, in the revival of which E. Alleyn had been instrumental. Longshanks had been brought out in 1595 (see p. 55); but of Philip of Spain we know nothing—excepting that he is introduced into Lust's Dominion, a play long erroneously assigned to Marlowe.

<sup>3</sup> Founded upon a tragical incident of the time: Cartwright murdered a Mr. Storr, a clergyman, and a tract containing the facts was published with the date of 1603, and is extant.

<sup>4</sup> This dramatist is heard of again afterwards: it appears that he was assisted by H. Chettle in the composition of Femelanco. There was a

Lent unto Edward Jube, the 10 of Septembr, to macke ij sewtes a liche for the playe of Morty- more, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	vj <sup>ii</sup>
More for the same sewtes at the playhowse .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Antony the poet, the 11 of septembr 1602, in pte of payment of a comody called the widowes charme, the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 15 of Sep- tmbry 1602, to paye unto Harey chettell, in pte of payment for his tragedie of femelanco, the some of.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the company, 1602, to paye the billes for tayllors and others for the new playe of the earlle of Harford, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of Thomas Downton, to harey chettell, in full payment of his tragedie called Femelanco, some of.....	l <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto Samwell Rowley, the 27 of septmbr 1602, for his playe of Jhosua, <sup>3</sup> in fulle pay- ment, the some of .....	vij <sup>ii</sup>

player of the name of Robson in the company in 1597 (see p. 259); and two persons of the name of Robinson, Richard and William, were also on the stage: Richard Robinson is enumerated in the folio of 1623 as one of "the principal actors in all these plays." On the authority of Wright's *Historia Histrionica*, 1699, it has been stated that he was killed by Gen. Harrison in 1645 at the storming of the Basing House.

<sup>1</sup> Ben Jonson left behind him the fragment of a drama on the Fall of Mortimer, which is supposed to have been one of his later efforts; but it may possibly have been the "play" here mentioned, of which Malone takes no sort of notice.

<sup>2</sup> This anonymous piece of "the Earl of Hertford," or *Harford*, as Henslowe spells it, is not mentioned by Malone.

<sup>3</sup> This was a considerable sum for Rowley's *Joshua* (probably founded upon Scripture history); but perhaps he was paid also for his services as an actor in preparing it for the stage.

Pd unto my sonne, E. Alleyn, at the apoynt- ment of the company, for his boooke of Tam- bercam, <sup>1</sup> the 2 of octobr 1602, the some of	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoynt[ment] of W <sup>m</sup> [Edw] Jube, the 21 of octobr 1602, unto M <sup>r</sup> Medelton, in pte of payment for his playe called Chester trage- die, <sup>2</sup> the some of.....	iiiij <sup>ii</sup>
Pd unto my sonne, E. Alleyn, the 22 of octobr 1602, at the apoyntment of the company, for a grogren clocke, ij velvet gerkens, and ij dub- lets, and ij hed tyers, j payer of hosse, the some of <sup>3</sup> .....	xx <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto my sonne, E. Alleyn, the 3 of novmbr 1602, to geve unto Thomas Deckers, for mend- inge of the playe of Tasso, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Edward Jube, the 8 of novmbr 1602, to geve unto John Daye, in earneste of a boocke called Mery as may be, <sup>4</sup> for the corte, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "The plott of the first part of Tamer Cam," taken from the ori-  
ginal formerly preserved at Dulwich College, is to be seen in Malone's  
Shaksp. by Boswell, iii., 356. There was a new play called Tamber-  
came produced in May 1596 (vide p. 68), and in June of the same year,  
the *first* and *second* parts of the same piece are registered by Henslowe  
as having been performed, the second part for the first time, although a  
"second part of Tambercame" had been acted on 28th April 1592  
(pp. 25, 74). The confusion may arise from revivals having been some-  
times treated by Henslowe as new plays.

<sup>2</sup> Malone conjectured, without the slightest reason, that this play was  
"probably the Mayor of Queenborough." In a later entry, this "Chester  
Tragedy" is called "Randall Earl of Chester." "Femelanco" had been  
first written by Henslowe, and corrected by Singer, the actor.

<sup>3</sup> This memorandum is crossed out in the MS.

<sup>4</sup> Wentworth Smith and Richard Hathwaye were partners with Day  
in this comedy of "As Merry as may be," which they wrote for the

Lent unto Edward Jube, the 9 of novmbr 1602, to paye unto Mr Mydelton, in fulle paymente of his playe called Randowlle earlle of Chester, the some of.....	x
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 17 of novmbr 1602, to paye unto John Daye and Mr Smyth, and hathwaye, in fulle paymente for a Boocke called as merey as be, the some of.....	vj <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto the compayne, the 22 of novmbr 1602, to paye unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde and Samwell Row- ley, for ther adicyones in Docter Fostes, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	iiiij <sup>li</sup>
Lent unto Edward Jube, the 2 of desembr 1602, to paye unto Antoney Monday, in fulle paye- ment for a playe called the seeat at tenes, <sup>2</sup> some is .....	iiij <sup>h</sup>
Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Birde, the 4 of desembr 1602, to paye unto Thomas Deckers, in pte of pay- ment for Tasso, the some of .....	
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 14 of desembr 1602, to paye unto Mr Mydelton for a pro- logue and epeloge for the playe of Bacon <sup>3</sup> for the corte, the some of .....	

Court, and for which they obtained, as appears by this entry, 40 subsequently £6 more. A blank was left for the name of the which was subsequently filled in by Day.

<sup>1</sup> Marlowe's "Faustus," which at this date was revived with ad by Birde and Rowley.

<sup>2</sup> The true title was "The Set at Tennis." See p. 47, note 1, r ing the play called "The Set at Maw."

<sup>3</sup> Greene's "Friar Bacon," which was revived for the Court new prologue and epilogue by Middleton, of which Malone ta notice. Mr. Dyce did not consult Henslowe's Diary, and was th not acquainted with this and some other interesting particulars r to Middleton and his works.

Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 18 of desembr, unto Mr Stone, merser, in fulle pay- ment of all Recknynges, to this daye, as may aper by his quittance, the some of .....	vij <sup>li</sup> xvij <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 17 of desembr 1602, to paye unto harey chettell, in earneste of a playe called London florenten, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 20 of desembr 1602, unto Thomas Hewode, in pt for his playe called london Florentyne, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 22 of desembr 1602, to paye unto Harey chettell, in fulle payment for his play called the London floren- tyn, the some .....	
Caste up my acowntes <sup>2</sup> to this place, now at cryssmas 1602, all Recknenges abated, and they owe unto me, with fyftie pownde which I lent them to geve Jones and Shawe, .....	226 <sup>li</sup> 16 <sup>s</sup> 8 <sup>d</sup>

Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 29 of desembr 1602, to paye unto harey chettell for a pro- logue and epylogue for the corte, the some of ...	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Downton, the 29 of desembr 1602, to geve unto Harey Chettle, in pte of paymente for a tragedie called Hawghman, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	

<sup>1</sup> It appears by the item immediately following, that Thomas Heywood was partner with Chettle in writing this play called "the London Florentine."

<sup>2</sup> The summing-up of the debt of the company is crowded in at the foot of a page, and in the midst of the entries.

<sup>3</sup> "Hoffman," no doubt the tragedy here meant, was printed anonymously in 1631: it has many merits.

Pd for the company, the 7 of Janewary 1602, unto Thomas Hawode, in fulle payment for his playe called the London florantyn, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, 1602, the 13 of Janewarye, unto John Synger, for his playe called Syngers Vollentarye, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	vli
Ld owt at the apoyntment of Thomas Hewode, in earneste of a playe called Like quits Like, <sup>2</sup> unto M <sup>r</sup> Harey chettell and thomas Hewode, the 14 of Janewary 1602, some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the companye, the 10 of desembr 1602, unto Robarte Shawe, for a boocke of the 4 sonnes of Amon, the some of .....	xl <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Jube, the 1 of marche 1602, to geve unto John Daye and Hathwaye, in earneste of a playe called the bosste of billengesgate, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> John Singer, the celebrated comic actor, was the reputed author of this piece before noticed on p. 6. Henslowe calls it "a play," and the sum paid for it (£5) may show that it was more than that brief species of entertainment technically called "a jig," which seems to have consisted of singing, recitation, and dancing, by actors who usually filled the parts of clowns in the theatres. A work by Singer in verse, printed in 1600, is extant, of which no notice has ever been taken.

<sup>2</sup> It is just possible that this may have been a play on the same story as *Measure for Measure*, near the end of which this line occurs—

*Like doth quit like, and Measure still for Measure.*

The success of *Measure for Measure* at this date might have produced the rival play. As has often been the case, the title of the piece was clumsily filled in by Henslowe after he made the entry.

<sup>3</sup> Day and Hathwaye, according to another memorandum by Henslowe, had either one or more coadjutors in this Boast of Billingsgate.

Lent the 7 of marche 1602, in pt of paymente for the playe called the Bosste of bellingsgate, unto John Daye and Hathwaye, the some of	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Edward Jube, the 7 of marche 1602, to geve unto Charles Masseye, in earneste of a playe called the sedge of Doncerke, with Alleyn the pyrete, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the companye, the 7 of marche 1602, unto Mr Bromfelde, for the playe which harey chettell layd unto hime to pane <sup>2</sup> for .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd the 12 of marche 1602, for the companye, unto John Daye and his felowe poetes, in fulle paymente for his playe called the boste of Belleingesgate, the some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of Thomas Dowton, the 12 of marche 1602, unto harey chettell, in earneste of the 2 pte of the florentyne, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	}	xx <sup>s</sup>
Some is vj <sup>l</sup>	Some upon band	211 <sup>l</sup> 9 <sup>s</sup> 0 <sup>d</sup>
		188 <sup>l</sup> 11 <sup>s</sup> 6 <sup>d</sup>
		<hr/>
		400 <sup>l</sup> 0 <sup>s</sup> 6 <sup>d</sup>
ttottalles ..... 193 <sup>l</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> . 06 <sup>d</sup>		
besydes the 50 which M Jonnes and Robart Shawe hade		Besydes the band which they owe unto me as maye apere by band and boocke, the some as foloweth dew.

<sup>1</sup> Massy must have been instrumental in procuring this play for Henslowe's theatre, not in writing it: see p. 219, note 3. Malone mentions "the Siege of Dunkirk," iii., 328, but omits "Alleyn the Pirate."

<sup>2</sup> Another proof of the distresses of Chettle, who had "laid" a play "to pawn" with Mr. Bromfeld, the mercer, for 20s.

<sup>3</sup> No doubt the second part of a play before mentioned on p. 229, "The London Florentine." Malone concludes that Heywood assisted

Ther resteth dew unto me to this daye, beinge the v daye of Maye 1603, when we leafte of playe now at the Kynges cominge,<sup>1</sup> all Recknynges abated, the some of a hundred fowerscore and sevntene powndes and thirtene shellynges and fowerpence: I saye dew ..... 197<sup>ii</sup> 13<sup>s</sup>. 4<sup>d</sup>

The fyftyne powndes which Jonnes and Shawe had at ther goinge a way not reckoned.<sup>2</sup>

Layd owt for facynge of a blake grogren clocke,	ix <sup>s</sup>
with taffytye .....	
Lent unto the company, to geve unto Thomas	vli
Deckers and Middelton, in earneste of ther	
playe Called the pasyent man and the onest	
hore, the some of — 1604 <sup>3</sup> .....	
ttotalles from there hands <sup>4</sup> is	194 <sup>ii</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 06 <sup>d</sup>

Caste up all the acowntes from the begininge of the world

Chettle in the second part, as well as in the first, and though it is likely, there is no evidence of the fact.

<sup>1</sup> This memorandum shews that two days before the arrival of James I. at the Charter House on the 7th May, Henslowe's company had ceased to perform; most likely by order from the Lord Chamberlain.

<sup>2</sup> Henslowe is far from clear, and it is not easy to make his calculations here tally with his former statement on p. 229.

<sup>3</sup> Malone notices this entry, but by an obvious error assigns the date of March 1602-3 to it, when 1604 is very distinctly written at the end of it. (See Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 328). It is upon this authority that Middleton has been assigned a share in the composition of the play, which was printed in 1604 under the following title:—"The Honest Whore, with the Humours of the Patient Man and the Longing Wife." Together with the second part, it may be found in vol. iii., of Dodsley's Old Plays. The Rev. Mr. Dyce knew nothing of Henslowe's memorandum but what he found stated by Malone, and therefore necessarily fell into Malone's error.

<sup>4</sup> i.e., From where the players had entered their names in the book.

untell this daye, beinge the 14 daye of marche 1604, by Thomas Dowghton and Edward Jube, for the company of the Prynnes men,<sup>1</sup> and I, Phillip Henslow; so ther reasteth the dew unto me, P. Henslow, the some of xxiiij<sup>ii</sup>,<sup>2</sup> all Reconynges consernyng the company in stocke generall descarged, and my sealf descarged to them of al deates.

Lent unto John Ockey, the 4 of febreary 1601, in Redye monye, the some of —

Memorandum, that I, John Ockeye, doe owe, and ame in deattted unto Phillippe Henslow and E. Alleyn the some of fyve pownde, which I borrowed of them in monye, and to be payd agayne at the saylle of ther starce:<sup>3</sup> in wittnes whereof I have herto sette my hand, the 4 of febreary 1601.

The marke of + JOHN OCKEY.

Wittnes to this,  
NYCOLAS + DAME.

Memorandum, that I, Robert Shaa, have receaved of Mr Phillip Henslowe, the some of forty shillinges, upon a booke called the fower sones of Aymon, which booke, if it be not played by the company of the Fortune, nor noe other company by my leave, I doe then bynd my selfe by theis presentes to repay the sayd some of forty shillinges upon the delivery of my booke att Christmas next, which shall be in the yeare of

<sup>1</sup> After the accession of James I, the Earl of Nottingham's players became "the Princes men," i.e., the players of Prince Henry.

<sup>2</sup> On the 5th May 1603, the company owed Henslowe £197 13s. 4d., and by the 14th March following the whole debt appears to have been paid off, with the exception of £24.

<sup>3</sup> By "starce." we are to understand *starch*; and it will be seen hereafter that Henslowe, Alleyn, and Ockey, or Ockley, entered into a speculation with Nicholas Dame in the manufacture of starch.

our Lord god 1603, and in the xlv<sup>th</sup> yeare of the Raigne of the queene.<sup>1</sup>

p me, ROBT. SHAA.

*Menses Jeneruary 20, A° Re. Elizabeth xl, 1597.*

Richard Connesbey, one of the ordenary gentlmen ushers, asketh the allowance for hime sealfe and a grome of the chamber and a grome of the wardropp, for making red ey and attending of the countis of Darbe, debitie for the Quenes Ma<sup>tie</sup> at the Crystenyng of my lord Winsers chillde att is howsse in London, by the space of two dayes: wherefore they praye to be allowed for ther botheyer and other charges two and frowe, and to be rated and payed them by the Tresorer of her Ma<sup>tie</sup> most honorable chamber.<sup>2</sup>

Lent, the 12 of marche 1602, unto Thomas  
Blackwode, when he ride into the contrey  
with his company to playe, in Redy mony, the  
some of .....

x<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto John Lowyn, the 12 of marche  
1602,<sup>3</sup> when he went into the contrey with  
his company to playe, in Redy mony, the  
some of .....

v<sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This was a prospective entry, and "the Queen" did not live until Christmas 1603, as it was presumed she would have done when the note was written by Robert Shaw, or Shaa.

<sup>2</sup> This claim is written the length-way of the page, and after two leaves which are left blank. It seems likely that there were dramatic performances at Lord Windsor's on the christening of his child, when Lady Derby was deputy for the Queen. How this memorandum found its way into Henslowe's book does not appear; but perhaps he used it as a precedent for the form in which he might send in some claim of his own.

<sup>3</sup> This seems to be the earliest notice, in Henslowe's Diary, of an actor who afterwards obtained a high reputation.

*Quinto die Maij 1602.*

Bee it knowne unto all men by theis presents, that wee, Anthony Mundy and Tho: Dekker, doe owe unto Phillip Hynchley, gent, the somme of five powndes of lawfull mony of England, to bee payd unto him, his executors or assignes, uppon the x<sup>th</sup> of June next ensuing the date hereof. In wittnes hereof hereunto wee have sett our handes: dated the day and yere above written.<sup>1</sup>

Lent unto Thomas Hewode, the 1 of Septembr,	} ij <sup>s</sup> vj <sup>d</sup>
to bye him a payer of sylke garters, the some of .....	
Lent unto Richard Perckens, the 4 of septmbr	} xv <sup>s</sup>
1602, <sup>2</sup> to bye thinges for Thomas Hewode playe, and to lend unto Dick Syferweste to ride downe to his felowes, some of .....	
Lent unto Rychard Perckyns, the 12 of marche	} x <sup>s</sup>
1602, when he rid with the company to playe in the contrey, in Redey monye, the some of	

Memorandom, that the 25 of June 1603, I talked with Mr. Pope,<sup>3</sup> at the scryveners shope wher he liffe, consernyng

<sup>1</sup> The signatures to this acknowledgment have been cut away. The entry is in Dekker's handwriting.

<sup>2</sup> Richard Perkins afterwards became an actor of first-rate eminence, and, prior to 1633, sustained Alleyn's famous part of Barabas, in the Jew of Malta. (See Dodsley's Old Plays, viii., 248.) The present is the earliest mention of him. Whether "Dick Syferweste" were a real or a nick-name cannot perhaps be determined, but we do not hear of him elsewhere.

<sup>3</sup> No doubt Thomas Pope, the celebrated comic performer in Shakespeare's plays, who, according to Malone (Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 198,) "died before 1600," but who in fact made his will on 22d July 1603, a month after the date of the above memorandum by Henslowe. (Chalmers' Suppl. Apology, 162.) Pope was therefore interested in the Rose

the tackynge of the Leace of the Littell Roose, and he showed me a wrytyng betwext the pareshe and hime scallfe, which was to paye twenty pownd a yeaire Rent, and to bestowe a hundred marches upon billdinge, which I sayd I wold rather pulle downe the playhowse then I wold do so, and he beade me do, and sayd he gave me leave and wold beare me owt, for yt wasse in hime to do yt.

*Lent unto my Lorde of Worsters players<sup>1</sup> as foloweth, begynnyng  
the 17 daye of aguste 1602.*

Lent unto the compayne, the 17 of aguste 1602,	}      xxxx <sup>s</sup>
to paye unto Thomas Deckers, for new adicy- ons in Owldcaselle, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	
Lent unto John Dewcke, the 18 of aguste 1602, to bye Rebatoe and fardingalls, the	}      xxxx <sup>s</sup>
some of .....	
Lent unto Thomas Blackwode and John Dewcke, to bye tafetie and other stuffe to macke ij wemens gownes, the 19 of aguste 1602, the	}      ix <sup>ii</sup>
some of .....	
Layd owt for the company, at the mermayd, when we weare at owre agreement, <sup>3</sup> the 21 of aguste 1602, toward our super, the some of...	ix <sup>s</sup>

(here called *the Little Rose*) as well as in the Globe and Curtain theatres. This is a new point in Pope's history.

<sup>1</sup> The players of the Earl of Worcester became the theatrical servants of Queen Anne on the accession of James I. See p. 214, and Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i., 350.

<sup>2</sup> The first part of Sir John Oldcastle having been printed in 1600, these additions may have belonged to a second part of the same play, which has not survived.

<sup>3</sup> This agreement no doubt related to the advances Henslowe was to make to the Earl of Worcester's players, to which these and many following items refer. Henslowe paid for the treat at the Mermaid.

Lent unto W <sup>m</sup> Kempe, the 22 of aguste 1602,	v <sup>s</sup>
to bye buckram to macke a payer of gyents hosse, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	
Lent unto John Ducke and John Thayer, the 21 of aguste 1602, to bye a sewt for owld castell, and a sewt and a dublet of satten, the some of .....	xij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto John Ducke, to paye for the Turcke head, and ij wemens gownes mackinge, and fresh water for Owld castell, and the merser bill, and harey chettell, in earneste of a tra- gedie called —, <sup>2</sup> the 24 of aguste 1602 .....	
Layd owt for the compayne, the 25 of aguste 1602, for a clocke of chamllett lined with crysmon tafetie, pincked, the some of .....	3 <sup>li</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Ducke, the 27 of aguste 1602, to paye the merser for layce for the clocke ...	
Layd owt more for the company, in pte of pay- mente for a booke called Medsen for a curste wiffe, some of —, unto thomas Deckers .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Ducke, the 28 of aguste 1602, to paye unto Xpofer Bestone <sup>3</sup> for a manes gowne of branched vellvet, and a dublett for the some of .....	vij <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This and other entries, anterior and subsequent (see p. 215) of money paid to William Kempe shew that at this date he did not belong to the company to which Shakespeare was attached, but was one of the Earl of Worcester's players, with whom Henslowe was connected. We are nowhere informed in what drama these giants, for which hose were required, were introduced, but formerly, as we have seen, giants figured in the play of "Brute."

<sup>2</sup> A blank is left for the name of the tragedy. Freshwater (as appears afterwards) was the name of a person.

<sup>3</sup> This seems to be the earliest entry in which the name of Christopher Beeston (often afterwards mentioned in the history of the stage) occurs.

Lent unto John Ducke, the 28 of aguste 1602,	xxxiiij <sup>s</sup>
to paye unto the tayller for stufe and mack- ynge of ij wemens gownes, the some of .....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 1 of septmbr 1602, in pte of payment for a comody called a medysen for a curste wiffe, to thomas Deckers, some of.....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 2 of septmbr 1602, in fulle payment for a comodey called a Medysen for a curste wiffe, to thomas Deckers, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	
Lent unto the company, the 3 of septembr 1602, to bye a sewte for W <sup>m</sup> Kempe, the some of .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the company, the 3 of septmbr 1602, to bye iiij lances for the comody of Thomas Hewodes and Mr. Smythes, <sup>2</sup> some of	
Layd owt for the company, the 4 of septmbr 1602, to bye a flage of sylke, the some of ...	xxvj <sup>s</sup> 8d

<sup>1</sup> This sum of 30s., with the £4 in the preceding entry, £2 on 31st July, and 10s. which Dekker received on the 27th August, made up the sum total of £8 for the play of "a Medicine for a Curst Wife." On the 27th September, Dekker was paid 10s. "over and above his price" for the "Medicine for a Curst Wife," owing perhaps to its great success when acted.

<sup>2</sup> We may conjecture that these "four lances" were for the apprentices in the play of "the Four Prentices of London," printed in 1615 (and no doubt earlier, though no earlier edition is now known) in the name of Thomas Heywood, although from this memorandum it seems that Wentworth Smith was also concerned in the authorship of it. On the title-page of the edition of the play in 1615, the four Prentices are represented in a woodcut with their four lances, and in Beaumont and Fletcher's "Knight of the Burning Pestle," Act iv. sc. 2, one of the characters says, "Read the play of the Four Prentices of London, where they toss their pikes so."

Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 4 of septmbr 1602, unto Thomas Hewod and Mr Smyth, in fulle payment for a Boocke called alberte galles, <sup>1</sup> some of .....	vij <i>li</i>
Pd unto your tyerman, for mackinge of W <sup>m</sup> Kempes sewt and the boyes, the 4 of septmbr 1602, some of.....	
Lent unto John Thare, the 7 of septmbr 1602, to give unto Thomas Dickers for his adicions in owld castell, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto harey chettell, the 7 of septmbr 1602, at the apoyntment to lend in earenest of a boocke called Robin hoodfellowe, <sup>2</sup> some of ...	
Lent unto John Thare, the 8 of septmbr 1602, to geve unto harey cheattell, the some of.....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto harey chettell, the 9 of septmbr 1602, in pte of payment of a playe called Robin goodfellowe, some of .....	
Lent unto John Thare, the 10 of septmbr 1602, to paye unto the merser in pt for sylke for Robes, the some of.....	lv <i>j</i> <sup>s</sup> iii <i>j</i> <sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The reader in this instance, as in many others, must exercise his ingenuity in discovering the true name of this play, for which possibly the lances and silk flag were required. Can the subject have been *Albertus Wallenstein*, on which Glapthorne wrote a play printed in 1634? The first name *Alberte* has been altered by the apparent insertion of the letter *r*, Henslowe having first written it *Albete*.

<sup>2</sup> "Robin hoodfellowe" in this memorandum certainly means "Robin Goodfellow," and in a subsequent entry the play is so called. The word "tragedie" originally followed "in earenest of a," but it was struck out when Henslowe found that it was not a piece of that description. In the other entry regarding the same production, the word "tragedie" was erased and "playe" written over it. No doubt this was done at a subsequent time, as the ink in which the correction is written is of a different colour. Malone takes no notice of these remarkable entries.

Lent unto Thomas blackwode, the 19 of sep- tmbr 1602, to paye unto Robarte Shawe, the some of .....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companye, the 20 of septmbr 1602, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> Smythe, in pte of pay- ment of a Boooke called marshalle Oserecke, <sup>1</sup> some of .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
Pd unto Thomas Hewode, the 20 of septmbr 1602, for the new adicyons of cuttyng Dicke, <sup>2</sup> some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Thare, the 21 of septmbr 1602, to paye for targates, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Ducke, the 25 of septmbr 1602, to bye a blacke sewt of satten for the playe of Burone, <sup>3</sup> the some of.....	v <sup>ii</sup>
Pd unto Thomas Deckers, the 27 of septmbr 1602, over and above his price of his boooke called a medysen for a curste wiffe, some of...	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto Thomas Hewode, the 30 of septmbr 1602, in fulle payment for his boooke of Oserecke, the some of .....	ij <sup>ii</sup>
Lent unto John Thare, the 30 of septmbr 1602, to paye unto the armerer for targattes, in full payment, the some of.....	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> By a subsequent memorandum it appears that Heywood joined Wentworth Smith in the production of "Marshal Osrick."

<sup>2</sup> Cutting Dick was possibly a new character introduced by Heywood into some known play: see also p. 224.

<sup>3</sup> In the Hist. of Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, iii., 95, it is suggested that this entry and others may refer to Chapman's "Byron's Conspiracy and Tragedy," printed in 1608, but this is questionable on a comparison of dates. See Collier's Shakespeare, i., ccix, where it is shown that Chapman's two plays have not reached us as they were originally written, in consequence of the remonstrance of the French Ambassador against certain incidents in them.

Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the j of octobr 1602, to M <sup>r</sup> Smythe, in pte of payment for a tragedie called the ij Brothers, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto my sonne E. Alleyn, at the apoyntment of the compayne, for his Boocke of Tambercame, the 2 of octobr 1602, the some of <sup>2</sup> .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt at the apoyntmente of the compayne, to macke a scafowld and bare <sup>3</sup> for the playe of Berowne, and carpenters wages .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
Lent at the apoyntment of John Ducke, in earneste of a playe called —, the some of —, 3 of octobr 1602, to M <sup>r</sup> Mydellton ...	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd for poleyes and workmanshipp for to hange Absolome <sup>4</sup> .....	xiiij <sup>d</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of John Ducke, unto M <sup>r</sup> smythe, in pte of payment of his Boocke called the ij brothers tragedie, the 11 of octobr 1602, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto Underell, at the apoyntemente of the company for wages which they owght him, the 11 of octobr 1602, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntmente of John Ducke, to M <sup>r</sup> Smythe, in fulle payment of his Boocke called the ij brothers, the 15 of octobr 1602, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone names this tragedy "The Three Brothers," (Shaksp. by Boswell, iii., 337.) Elsewhere Henslowe several times over calls it "the ij Brothers tragedie." "The Three Brothers" is however afterwards mentioned, and may have been the same piece.

<sup>2</sup> This item respecting Tambercam is erased in the MS.

<sup>3</sup> i.e., Probably, a *scaffold* and *bar*.

<sup>4</sup> No scriptural play on the story of Absalom is mentioned by Malone in his quotations from Henslowe's Diary.

Lent unto John Thare, the 15 of octobr 1602, to geve unto harey chettell, Thomas Deckers, Thomas Hewode, and M <sup>r</sup> Smyth, and M <sup>r</sup> Webster, in earneste of a playe called Ladey Jane, <sup>1</sup> the some of.....	1 <sup>s</sup>
Lent at the apoyntment of the company, to the tyerman, to bye sowtedge to macke devells sewtes for the new playe of the ij brothers tragedie, the some of.....	vij <sup>s</sup>
Lent at the apoyntment of the company, unto the tyerman, to bye saye for the playe of the ij brothers, to macke a wishes gowne, the some of.....	18 <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Hewode, the 21 of octobr 1602, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> Dickers, chettell, Smythe, Webster and Hewode, in fulle pay- ment of ther playe of ladye Jane, the some of.....	vli x <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto E. Alleyn, the 22 of octobr 1602, at the apoyntment of the company, for a grogren clocke, ij velvet gerkens, ij dubbletes, ij hed- tyres, the some of <sup>2</sup> .....	xxli
Pd for bordes, and quarters, and naylles, for to macke a tabell and coffen for the playe of the iji brothers, <sup>3</sup> the 22 of octobr 1602, some of...	xij <sup>s</sup> ij <sup>d</sup>
Lent unto John Thare, the 23 of octobr 1602, to paye unto the paynter of the propertyes for the playe of the iij brothers, the some of...	xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Malone enters this play, which he naturally supposes to be upon the story of Lady Jane Grey, under the date of Nov. 1602, whereas it clearly belongs to the month of October.

<sup>2</sup> This entry, having been previously inserted (p. 227), is erased with the pen.

<sup>3</sup> Here we find notice of the play called the Three Brothers: if it be an error, it is several times repeated.

Pd unto the tyerman, for mackynge of the devells sute, and sperethes, <sup>1</sup> and for the witche, for the playe of the iij brothers, the 23 of octobr 1602, some of .....	x <sup>s</sup> ix <sup>d</sup>
Pd unto Xpofer Beston, the 26 of octobr 1602, in pte of paymente for the Jerkyn which the company had of hime, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Ducke, the 27 of octobr 1602, to geve unto Thomas Deckers, in earneste of the 2 pt of Ladye Jane, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	v <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas Hewode and John Webster, the 2 of novmbr 1602, in earneste of a playe called Cyrssmas comes but once a yeare, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Pd for vj yardes of tynsell for the compayne, the 2 of novmbr 1602, the some of .....	ijij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 3 of novmbr 1602, unto the tayller, for the mackynge of the sewte of Oserocke, the some of.....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the compayne, the 6 of novmbr 1602, for xiiij ownces of coper lace, the some of.....	ix <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>
More, the same tyme, vj ownces and $\frac{1}{2}$ of coper lace .....	iiij <sup>s</sup> 4 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> By "sperethes" Henslowe probably meant *spirits* attending upon the devil and the witch. This memorandum certainly looks as if the Two Brothers and the Three Brothers were the same piece; for on the preceding page we have had "devil's suits" and "a witch's gown" introduced as required for the *Two Brothers*.

<sup>2</sup> Lady Jane appears to have been finished on 21st Oct. 1602, and by the 27th, Dekker had begun a second part to the same play.

Malone assigns "Christmas comes but once a year" to Dekker alone, whereas it is evident, from this and other memoranda, that he was associated in it with Heywood, Webster, and Chettle.

Lent unto John Dewcke, the 6 of novmbr 1602, for to macke a sewt of satten of —, for the playe of the overthrowe of Rebelles, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	vli
.....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 12 of novmbr 1602, unto the coper lace man, in pte of payment for his lace.....	xxs
.....	
Pd at the apoyntment of John Lowen, <sup>2</sup> the 12 of novmbr 1602, unto Mr Smyth, the some of.....	xs
.....	
Pd at the apoyntment of John Lowen, the 12 of novmbr 1602, unto hary Chettell, the some of .....	iij <sup>s</sup>
.....	
Lent unto John Dewcke, the 23 of novmbr 1602, to paye unto harye chettell and Thomas Deckers, in pte of paymente of a playe called Crysmas comes but once a yeare, the some of.....	xxxxs
.....	
Pd at the apoyntment of John Ducke, the 24 of novmbr 1602, to Mr hathwaye, in earneste of a playe called blacke Doge of Newgate, <sup>3</sup> the some of .....	xxxxs
.....	
Pd unto Thomas Hewode, the 24 of novmbr 1602, in pte of payment of his playe called the blinde eates many a flye, the some of.....	iij <sup>ii</sup>

<sup>1</sup> There is no entry to show by whom the Overthrow of Rebels was written. It is in fact not mentioned again, and very possibly it was not performed, judging from Henslowe's silence, and the questionable and temporary nature of the title.

<sup>2</sup> At this date John Lowen was therefore a member of the company of the Earl of Worcester's players: he became one of "the principal actors" in Shakespeare's plays afterwards.

<sup>3</sup> The words "blacke Doge of Newgate" are interlined above "John Dayes comody," which are struck through: the same is the case when the play is next mentioned.

Pd at the apoyntment of Thomas Hawode, the 26 of novmbr 1602, to harey chettell, in fulle paymente of a playe called Cryssmas comes but once a yeare, the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Xpofer beston and Robart Palante, the 26 of Novmbr 1602, to pay unto John Daye, Mr Smythe, Mr hathwaye, and the other poet, <sup>1</sup> in pte of payment of the playe called the blacke Dogge of newgate, the some of	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Bowght for the company, of Robart shawe, the 6 of desembr 1602, iiiij clothe clockes layd with coper lace, for iiij <sup>ii</sup> a clocke, and for my forberance of my mony, to alowe me v <sup>s</sup> upon every clocke, <sup>2</sup> some is .....	xvij <sup>ii</sup>
Layd owt for the compayne, the 9 of novmbr <sup>3</sup> 1602, to bye ij calleco sewtes and ij buckram sewtes, for the playe of Cryssmas comes but once a yeare, the some of .....	xxxvij <sup>s</sup> 8 <sup>d</sup>
Sowld unto the compayne, the 9 of desembr 1602, ij peces of cangable taffetie, to macke a womones gowne and a robe, for the playe of crysmas comes but once a year, some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The “other poet” who assisted Day, Smith, and Hathway, in writing the Black Dog of Newgate is not known, as he is not elsewhere mentioned by Henslowe. Luke Hutton, who was executed in 1598, wrote a tract under the title of “The Blacke Dogge of Newgate,” which was again printed in 1638. (See the Bridgewater Catalogue, p. 149.) The present entry contains, we believe, the earliest mention of Robert Pallant as an actor: he afterwards acquired considerable celebrity; and from a document in “The Alleyn Papers,” p. 52, we may conclude that he was tenant to the founder of Dulwich College.

<sup>2</sup> The company being indebted to Henslowe, the latter appears to take the opportunity of adding 5s. each to the price of four clokes by way of interest, for forbearance of his money.

<sup>3</sup> November seems miswritten for December in this entry.

Lent unto the companye, the 15 of desembr 1602, to paye unto Thomas Hewode, in pte of paymente for his playe called the Blinde eates many a fley, the some of .....	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of John Dewcke, the 18 of desembr 1602, unto ij tayllers for mackyn of gowns and thinges for them ; j, xx <sup>s</sup> , the other, xix <sup>s</sup> , the some .....	}	xxxix <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the companye, the 20 of desembr 1602, unto M <sup>r</sup> hathwaye, M <sup>r</sup> Smythe, and John Daye, and the other poyet in fulle payment for a playe called the blacke Dogge of Newgat, some of .....	}	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John thare, the j of Janewary 1602, to geve unto M <sup>r</sup> Calle for ij corenets for hed tyers for the corte, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto the companye, the 7 of Janewary 1602, to paye unto M <sup>r</sup> Hawode, in fulle pay- mente for his playe of the Blinde eates many a fley, the some of .....	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Crystofer beston and John Ducke, the 7 of Jenewary 1602, to geve unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye and M <sup>r</sup> smythe, in earneste of a playe called unfortunate Generall, frenshe hestory, <sup>2</sup> the some of .....	}	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Dewcke, the 10 of Janewary 1602, to bye lame skenes, <sup>3</sup> for the blacke dogge of newgate, the some of .....	}	x <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The company had been called upon to perform at Court, and these coronets were for a play then represented.

<sup>2</sup> Henslowe left a blank here for the words "Unfortunate General," and filled it up afterwards. Malone miscalls it "the Fortunate General;" Shaksp. by Bosw., iii., 328.

<sup>3</sup> There is another entry, farther on, for "a canvas suit and skins" for the Black Dog of Newgate.

Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 10 of Janewarye 1602, unto Mr hathwaye and Mr Smythe, in pte of paymente for ther playe called unfortunate Generalle, the frenshe hestorey, the some of.....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Layd owt for the compayne, the 10 of Jane- wary 1602, to bye coper lace, x ownces, at 10 <sup>d</sup> and ownce, the some of .....	viijs 4 <sup>d</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of thomas Hewod, the 14 of Janewary 1602, in earneste of a Playe called —, unto harey chettell and Thomas hewod, the some of.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd for the company, the 16 of Janewarye 1602, unto the tayller in the borowghe, which they owght unto hime, the some of .....	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Pd for the company, the 16 of Janewary 1602, unto the coper lace man in pte of paymente for coper lace, the some of.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd more for the company, the 16 of Janewary 1602, unto goodman Freshwater, for a canves sewte and skenes for the blacke Doge of new- gate.....	xij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 16 of Janewarye 1602, unto Mr hathwaye, Mr smythe, and John Daye, in pte of payment for ther boocke called unfortunat generall, frenshe hestorey, some of .....	40 <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of John Ducke and the company, the 19 of Janewary 1602, unto Mr Hathwaye, and Mr Smyth, and John Daye, and the other poyet, <sup>1</sup> in fulle paymente for ther playe called unfortunat Generall, the frenshe hestorey, some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "The other poet" is not named, and Malone assigned the play to Smith, Day, and Hathway.

Lent unto John Lewen and Cattarnes, the 24 of Janewary 1602, to by a sytysen cotte and sleves for the playe of the unfortunat Jene- rall, the some of.....	} ls
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 24 of Janewary 1602, unto the coper lace man, in pte of paymente, the some of .....	
Lent unto John Lewen, upon John Duckes noote of his hande, the 29 of Janewarye 1602, to geve in earneste of the second pte of the boocke called the blacke Dooge of newgate, unto Mr hathwaye and John Daye, and Mr. Smythe, and the other poete, <sup>1</sup> the some of. ....	} iiij <sup>l</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of John Ducke, the 3 of febreary 1602, unto M <sup>r</sup> Hathwaye, M <sup>r</sup> Smythe, John Daye, and the other poet, in fulle pay- ment for the boocke called the second pte of the blacke Dooge, the some of .....	
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 4 of febreary 1602, unto the tayller for vellvet and satten for the womon gowne of blacke vellvet, with the other llynenges belonging to yt, the some of .....	} iiiij <sup>h</sup>
Pd unto Thomas hewode, the 5 of febreary 1602, for a womones gowne of blacke vellvett, for the playe of a womon Kylld with Kynd- nes, <sup>2</sup> some of .....	
Pd unto the coper lace man, in pte of payment, the 7 of febreary 1602, for the compayne, the some of .....	} xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The same dramatists who had produced the *first* part of the Black Dog of Newgate. In this instance, as in the case of the first part, Henslowe did not know the name of their coadjutor.

<sup>2</sup> Printed in 1607, and again in 1617 with the author's name on the title-page. It is inserted in vol. vii. of Dodsley's Old Plays.

Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 12 of febreary 1602, unto Thomas Heywood, in pt of payment for his playe called A woman kylled with Kyndnes, the some of .....	ijij <sup>li</sup>
Pd unto the tyerman for the compayne, 1602, to bye viij yrdes and a halfe of blacke satten, at xij <sup>s</sup> a yrde, to macke a sewt for the 2 pte of the blacke Dogge, the some of, the 15 of feb.	v <sup>li</sup> ij <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the compayne, the 16 of febreary 1602, unto the coper lace man, in pte of payment, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas blackewode, the 21 of febreary 1602, to geve unto the 4 poetes, in earnest of ther adicyons, <sup>1</sup> for the 2 pte of the blacke doge, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto Thomas blackwode, the 24 of febreary 1602, to geve unto the 4 poetes, in pte of paymente for ther adycyons in the 2 pte of the blacke Doge .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto John Dewcke, the 26 of febreary 1602, to paye the poetes, in fulle payment for ther adycyones for the 2 pte of the blacke Doge, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd unto the coper lace man, the 4 of marche 1602, in pte of payment, the some of .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of the company, the 6 of marche 1602, unto Thomas Hewode, in fulle payment for his playe called a woman Kyld with Kyndnes, the some of .....	ijij <sup>li</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Preceding entries show that the authors of the Second Part of the Black Dog of Newgate had already received £8 for their play. By this and the two next memoranda it appears that they were paid £2 more for their additions, so that the play cost £10. Perhaps it had been acted between 3 Feb. and 21 Feb. 1602, and was found to require some improvements in the shape of additions.

Pd at the apoyntment of Thomas blackewod and John Lewen, the 7 of marche 1602, unto Mr Smythe, in earnest of and Etalleyon tragedie, <sup>1</sup> the some of .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of Thomas Blackewod, the 7 of marche 1602, unto the tayller which made the blacke satten sewt for the woman Kyld with Kyndnes, the some of .....	x <sup>s</sup>
Pd at the apoyntment of John Lowine, the 12 of marche 1602, unto Mr Smythe, in fulle payment for his tragedie called the Etallyan tragedie, the some of .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Pd for the companye, the 16 of marche 1602, unto the mercers man, Puleston, for his Mr John Willett deate, the some of eighte powndes and x <sup>s</sup> , which they owght hime for satten, and charges in the clynke for arestyng John Ducke: <sup>2</sup> I say, as may apere .. .... Some is 140 <sup>ii</sup> 1 <sup>s</sup> 00 <sup>d</sup>	vij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>

Memd., that the fulle some of all the deatbtes, which we owe  
unto Mr Henslow to this xvij of marche 1603, cometh to juste  
the some of 140<sup>ii</sup> 1<sup>s</sup> 00<sup>d</sup>, which some of 140<sup>ii</sup> 01<sup>s</sup> 0<sup>d</sup> we whosse  
names are here under wrytten do aknowledge ower dew deatte,  
and promysse trewe payment.

THOMAS BLACKWOD.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> By "And Etalleyon tragedie" Henslowe or his scribe of course  
meant "an Italian tragedy." Malone says (iii., 328) that John Day was  
concerned with Smith in the authorship of it, but his name does not  
occur in the entries.

<sup>2</sup> It should seem by this item that John Duke, as one of the leaders  
of the company, had been arrested at the suit of Willett, the silk-mercier,  
and had been thrown into prison.

<sup>3</sup> This is the only name subscribed, but three leaves, originally fol-  
lowing in the MS., have been cut away, and possibly the signatures of

Pd the coper lace man is to be payd .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>
Pd more the coper lace, the some of .....	vj <sup>li</sup> iiijs <sup>s</sup>

*In the name of god, Amen.*

*Begininge to playe agayne by the Kynges licence, and layd out  
sense for my Lord of Worsters men, as foloweth, 1603, 9 of  
maye.<sup>1</sup>*

Lent at the apoyntment of Thomas hewod and  
John Ducke, unto harey Chettell and John  
Daye, in earneste of a playe wherein Shores  
wiffe <sup>2</sup> is writen, the some of <sup>3</sup> .....

xxxx<sup>s</sup>

the other members of the company were upon one of them, in acknowledgment of the debt to Henslowe of £140 1s. 0d. This, however, is the less likely, because the entries to the copper-lace man immediately follow the name of Thomas Blackwood, and possibly Henslowe could not persuade the company at large to admit and subscribe to the correctness of his claim.

<sup>1</sup> This is the heading of a new account, but it only consists of a single item, and it is not continued in any other part of the MS. It looks as if Henslowe had made no farther advances to the Earl of Worcester's players in consequence of the non-adjustment of his claim to £140 1s. 0d. If the date of this account be correct, "the King's licence" was granted to the Earl of Worcester's players before it was conceded by James I. to his own company. The patent to L. Fletcher, Shakespeare, Burbage, and others, bears date on the 17th May 1603. Vide Hist. Engl. Dram. Poetry and the Stage, i., 348.

<sup>2</sup> Malone calls this play "Jane Shore," whereas it was only a play in which Shore's wife was a character. Shore's wife is introduced into Heywood's "Edward the Fourth," 1600, and this piece by Chettle and Day was no doubt on the same portion of history: "Edward the Fourth," a play in two parts, has been reprinted by the Shakespeare Society from the earliest edition.

<sup>3</sup> After two leaves, which are left blank in the MS., we come to an account headed "A juste note what I have lent unto Edmond Henslow,

Sowld unto M <sup>r</sup> Arthur Langworth, the howsse which my brother dwelte in after the decease of my syster Margery, his wiffe, with the trashe therin for the some of .....	80 <sup>li</sup>
iiij score powndes, wittnes E. ALLEYN. <sup>1</sup>	
Rd of M <sup>r</sup> Thomas Chaloner, upon a band <sup>2</sup> .....	100 <sup>li</sup>

in mony, and layd owt in the be hallfe of his iij cheldren as foloweth, 1593." In this account, which fills more than two closely written pages, P. Henslowe calls Edmond Henslowe his brother; and hence it appears that a William Henslowe was at one time in the service of the Lord Chamberlain, not as a player, but as one of his lordship's domestic establishment, and that Edmond was dead before the account was made out. P. Henslowe speaks of William Henslowe also as his brother.

<sup>1</sup> This, like many others, is merely a memorandum that Edward Alleyn was present at the transaction. The signature is not his handwriting.

<sup>2</sup> After this memorandum we come to another account, entitled, "Layd owt at several tymes for John Henslow, the sonne of Edmond Henslowe, as foloweth, 1596." This is for clothes, and subsequently money for the purchase of a boat, and for expenses when, in 1605, John Henslowe wished to buy "the King's waterman's place." Nevertheless, in 1604 it appears from another account that P. Henslowe was at law with John Henslowe respecting the will of Edmond Henslowe. The last particular in these family matters bears date in 1609. Some of the items shew that the Henslowes had property at East Grinstead. The entries at this end of the MS. here cease, and we come to a number of blank leaves, reserved apparently for memoranda which were never inserted.

*A not what Edward Allen hath layd owt, as foloweth: <sup>1</sup>*

Itm pd for ij thowsen and halfe of bryckes .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for a mantell tre .....		xij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd for a lode of bryckes .....	vj <sup>s</sup>	vj <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd unto R. laberer for iiij dayes .....	ij <sup>s</sup>	6 <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd unto Gryges man John, the 24 of no- vmb 1592 .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd unto the glaser, the 24 of novmbr 1592	xx <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd for ij lode of sande .....	ij <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd for bryngen the dele bordes by water ...		xvij <sup>d</sup>
Itm pd unto the Joyner for his worke.....	vj <sup>s</sup>	

*The Acounte of suche Carges as hathe bene layd owt about  
Edward alenes housse, as foloweth. 1592.*

Nov. 4, 1592.

Itm pd unto John Gryges, in pt .....	vj <sup>ll</sup>	
Itm pd unto the smyth, for Iorne bares for the windo .....		v <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd the plomer for 4. l. 22 of lead at j <sup>d</sup> qr. fb .....		l <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for vj payer of sheates and a coverlet	vj <sup>ll</sup>	
Itm pd the lyme man, the 23 of desembr 1593	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd the naylle man at the fryingpane, the 19 Jenewary 1593 .....	lj <sup>s</sup>	
Itm pd unto the gardener for diginge the garden .....	xvj <sup>d</sup>	
Itm pd the nayllman the 29 of Febreray 1594	vj <sup>s</sup> vij <sup>d</sup>	
Itm pd for the leasse to Robartes .....	xxij <sup>ll</sup>	
Itm pd for makinge the writtinges .....	v <sup>s</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> This account commences at the other end of the MS., to which we now turn for the succeeding particulars, which seem to relate to the repair, &c., of Alleyn's dwelling-house just after his marriage with Joan Woodward on the 22nd October, 1592. See p. 3.

Itm pd to Edward Allen, the 5 of July, in money .....	x <sup>li</sup>
Edward Allen had of me russett to make him a clocke .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Edward Allen, the 9 of septembr 1594, money .....	xx <sup>ii</sup>
Itm pd unto Francis Hensley, for a Keverynge	xvij <sup>s</sup>
Itm sowld unto my sonne a fetherbed for .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Langworth, for my sonne .....	xxvij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Langworth, the same time ...	x <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd for makinge of writinges for my sones pte .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd unto Mr Langworth, in fulle payment	xxxij <sup>ii</sup>
Itm pd toward the beringe of Ardnold .....	ij <sup>ii</sup> x <sup>s</sup>
Itm pd hime in exchange of his clocke for satin and a clocke of mine .....	l <sup>s</sup>
Itm torned over from the tayllor to my sonne	xx <sup>s</sup>
Itm lent unto my sone, edward Alen, to leand unto edward Dutton, <sup>1</sup> the 14 of marche 1597, the some of ... .....	ijij <sup>ii</sup>
Itm lent unto my sonne, edward Alen, to lend unto John Synger and Thomas Towne, when they went into the contrey—some .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Owinge unto my sonne <sup>2</sup> .....	045 18 00
My sonne owes me .....	060 00 00
And .....	100 00 00
And .....	002 10 00
Lent Mr Langworth .....	002 00 00
For Mr Fuller .....	001 02 00

<sup>1</sup> Most likely an actor: there were players of the names of Lawrence and John Dutton, who were at the head of one of the Queen's companies in 1590. See Cunningham's *Revels' Accounts*, xxxii.

<sup>2</sup> These memoranda are on the page of the MS. opposite to the preceding account: they have no dates.

Lent Mr Langworth at my Lordes .....	0	02	06
Lent Mr Langworth .....	024	00	00
Lent Mr Langworth in the Marshallsea .....	003	00	00

Itm layd owt for edward Alleyn, the 9 of June  
 1597, for to descarge hime of his preve sealle,<sup>1</sup> } xx<sup>s</sup>  
 the some of.....}

Itm pd unto the clarke of the senette<sup>2</sup> for my  
 sonne, Edward Alleyn, for a lowenge of the } xx<sup>s</sup>  
 pattyne .....

Lent unto edward Dutton, the 18 of July 1597,  
 in redēy money, to be payd me with in one } xx<sup>s</sup>  
 forth nyghte agayne .....

Wittnes      E. ALLEYN and  
 M<sup>rs</sup> GRYGES.

Lent hime more in money—<sup>3</sup>

Itm lent unto my sonne, edward allen, for Synger ...      xx<sup>s</sup>

Itm lent unto my sonne, to bye a gowne for his wiffe      xxxx<sup>s</sup>

Itm lent unto my sonne, for to geve the tayller .....      xxxx<sup>s</sup>

Lent unto Bengemen Johnson, player, the 28 of  
 July 1597,<sup>4</sup> in Redey mony, the some of  
 fower powndes, to be payd yt agayne when so } iiiij<sup>ii</sup>  
 ever ether I, or any for me, demand yt: I saye

Wittnes E. ALLEYN and JOHN SYNGER.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This was perhaps a Privy Seal, granting Alleyn some privilege or appointment, but of what nature is nowhere stated.

<sup>2</sup> i.e., The Clerk of the Signet at the Privy Seal-office: "the pattyne" means *the patent*, for making out which the Privy Seal had been issued.

<sup>3</sup> No sum is placed opposite this item.

<sup>4</sup> Malone, who quotes this entry (iii., 333), misdates it the 22d of July 1597, and the words "or any for me" he misread "*or my sonne*," putting "Edw. Alleyn" within brackets.

<sup>5</sup> These are not original signatures. At this date Ben Jonson was probably an actor in Henslowe's company.

Received of my sonne for John Synger, in pte of  
payment ..... } } xs

Lent Bengemyne Johnson, the 5 of Jenewary  
1597, in Redy mony, the some of ..... } vs

Memdum, that the 27 of Jeuley 1597 I heayred Thomas Hearne<sup>1</sup> with ij pence, for to searve me ij yeares in the qualetie of playenge, for fyve shellynges a weacke for one yeare, and vjs viij<sup>d</sup> for the other yeare, which he hath covenanted hime seallfe to searve me, and not to departe frome my companye tyll this ij yeares be eanded.—Wittnes to this,

JOHN SYNGER

JEAMES DONSTON

THOMAS TOWNE.<sup>2</sup>

Lent unto John Synger, the 25 of July 1597, in  
Redy money, to be payd me within one fort- } } xx<sup>s</sup>  
nyght next after the date herof ..... .... ...

Lent more, the 9 of aguste 1597, Redy money } xs

Wittnes E. ALLEYN.

*Lent unto Richard Alleyn,<sup>3</sup> at severalle tymes, in Redey money,  
as foloweth, this yeare 1597.*

Lent hime, the 27 of maye 1597 ..... ..... vs

Lent hime, the 19 of June 1597 ..... ..... vs

<sup>1</sup> Thomas Hearne thus became a "hireling," or "hired man," attached to Henslowe's company at 5s. a week for the first year, and 6s. 8d. a week for the second year: Stephen Gosson, in his "Schoole of Abuse," 1579 (Shaksp. Soc. reprint, p. 29), informs us that the "hyerlings" of his day were paid six shillings per week.

<sup>2</sup> These names were subscribed by Henslowe.

<sup>3</sup> Whether Richard Alleyn were any, and what, relation to Edward Alleyn does not distinctly appear. On the 25th March 1598 he became

Lent him, the 4 of July 1597 .....	vjd
Lent him, the 23 of July 1597 .....	xijd
Lent him, the i of aguste 1597 .....	xijd
Lent him, upon a payre of sylke stockens .....	xij <i>s</i>
Payd for the dienge of them sylke stockens .....	xvj <i>d</i>

Lent to John Helle, the clowne,<sup>1</sup> the 3 of  
aguste 1597, in Redy money, the some of ... <sup>x*s*</sup>

At that tyme I bownd him by ane a sumsett of ij*d* to con-  
tenew with me at my howsse in playinge tylle Shrafte tyde  
next after the date above written ; yf not to forfytte unto  
me forti powndes. Wittneses to the same,

E. ALLEYN, JOHN SYNGER, JEAMES DONSTALL,  
EDWARD JUBEY, SAMEWELL ROWLEY.<sup>2</sup>

Memdum, that the 6 of aguste 1597, I bownd Richard  
Jones<sup>3</sup> by and a sumsett of ij*d*, to continew and playe with  
the compayne of my lord Admeralles players, frome michel-  
mase next after the daye abowe written untell the eand and  
tearne of iij yeares emediately folowinge, and to playe in my  
howsse only known by the name of the Rosse, and in no  
other howsse about London publicke ; and yf restraynte be  
granted, then to go for the tyme into the contrey, and after to

one of the "hirelings" of Henslowe's company. By another entry it appears that he was dead in 1602, and had left a widow.

<sup>1</sup> Of "John Helle, the clown," we hear nowhere else: possibly Helle was a *nick-name*.

<sup>2</sup> These are not the signatures of the players, who were present at the agreement.

<sup>3</sup> Perhaps the son of the Richard Jones who, on the 3d January 1588-9, sold to Edward Alleyn his theatrical property for £37 10*s.* 0*d.* Vide "Memoirs of Edward Alleyn," pp. 4, 198. In "The Alleyn Papers," p. 19, is inserted a letter to Edward Alleyn from Richard Jones, who was then about to embark for the continent on an acting expedition: it has no date.

retorne agayne to London: yf he breacke this a sumsett then to forfeit unto me for the same a hundreth markes<sup>1</sup> of laful money of Ingland. Wittnes to this,

E. ALLEYN and JOHN MIDELTON.<sup>1</sup>

More over, Richard Jones at that tyme hath tacken one other ij<sup>d</sup> of me, upon asumsett to forfeit unto me one hundreth markes, yf one Robart Shaw<sup>2</sup> do not playe with my lordes admeralles men, as he hath covenanted before in every thinge and time to the other.

Wittnes E. ALLEYN, JOHN MIDEULLTON.

Mdom, that the 10 of aguste 1597, W<sup>m</sup> Borne<sup>3</sup> came and ofered hime sealf to come and playe with my lord Admeralles men at my howsse, called by the name of the Rosse, setewate one the banck, after this order folowinge: he hath receved of me ij<sup>d</sup> upon, and a sumsette to forfeitte unto me a hundrethe markes of lafull money of Ingland, yf he do not performe thes thinges folowinge; that is, presently after libertie beinge granted for playinge, to come and to playe with my lord Admeralles men at my howsse aforsayd, and not in any other howsse publicke abowt London, for the space of ij<sup>ij</sup> yeares, beginyng imediately after this Restraynt is recalled by the lordes of the cownsell, which Restraynt is by the means of

<sup>1</sup> Possibly related to Thomas Middleton, the dramatist. It is to be presumed perhaps that John Middleton was an actor from the company in which his name is found.

<sup>2</sup> Robert Shaw (whose name has frequently occurred) seems to have bound himself in the first instance to Richard Jones, and Richard Jones therefore undertook for him to Henslowe.

<sup>3</sup> The name of this actor, who was known as William Borne, or Birde (he always subscribed the latter) will be familiar to the reader. It does not appear by this "memorandum" that he was to be paid wages, but he engaged himself to play only at Henslowe's theatre, the Rose, as soon as the "restraint" occasioned by the performance of Nash's "Isle of Dogs" had been recalled. Henslowe seems to have taken advantage of the interval to strengthen his company.

playinge the Ieylle of Dooges:<sup>1</sup> yf he do not, then he forfettes this asumset afore, or ells not. Wittnes to this

E. ALLEYN and ROBSONE.<sup>2</sup>

Mdom, that the 6 of octobr 1597, Thomas Dowton came and bownd hime seallfe unto me in xxxx<sup>ii</sup>, in a sumesett, by the receving of ij<sup>d</sup> of me before wittnes: the covenant is this, that he shold, frome the daye above written untell Shraftid next come ij yeares, to playe in my howsse, and in no other abowte London publickely: yf he do, with owt my consent, to forfeit unto me this some of money above written. Wittnes to this

E. ALLEYN ROBARTE SHAWE.

W<sup>m</sup> BORNE JOHN SYNGER

DICKE JONNES.<sup>3</sup>

Bowght my boye, Jeames Brystow, of William Augusten, player, the 18 of desembr 1597, for viij<sup>ii</sup><sup>4</sup>

Memd. that this 25 of marche 1598, Richard Alleyne<sup>5</sup> came and bownde hime seallfe unto me for ij yeares, in and a sumsette as a hiered servant, with ij syngell pence and to con-tenew frome the daye above written unto the eand and tearme of ij yeares: yf he do not performe this covenant, then he to

<sup>1</sup> Respecting Nash's play, the *Isle of Dogs*, see also pp. 94, 98.

<sup>2</sup> This is the memorandum referred to on p. 225 note 4, regarding Robson, who is not, however, to be confounded with Robinson.

<sup>3</sup> In all these instances the names of the witnesses were added by Henslowe.

<sup>4</sup> By other entries inserted on p. 149, &c., it appears that Henslowe let out his boy, James Bristow, to the company, and charged wages for him. We do not recollect elsewhere to have met with the name of William Augustine or Augusten as a player.

<sup>5</sup> Henslowe, as appears on p. 256, had lent money to Richard Alleyne in 1597, before he thus bound himself as a hireling to act with no other company.

forfette for the breach of yt fortye powndes, and wittnes to this

W<sup>m</sup> BORNE  
THOMAS DOWNTON  
GABRELL SPENCER  
ROBART SHAWE  
RICHARD JONNES

Md. that this 25 of marche 1598, Thomas Hawoode<sup>1</sup> came and hieri hime seallfe with me as a covenante searvante for ij yeares, by the Recevinge of ij syngell pence, acordinge to the statute of winchester, and to beginne at the daye above written, and not to playe any wher publicke abowt London not whille thes ij yeares be exspired, but in my howsse: yf he do, then he dothe forfette unto me, by the Recevinge of thes ij<sup>d</sup>, fortie powndes, and wittnes to this

ANTONY MONDAY <sup>2</sup>	W <sup>m</sup> BORNE
GABRELL SPENCER	THOMS DOWTON
ROBART SHAWE	RICHARD JONNES
RICHARD ALLEYN.	

Mdm that this 16 of novembr 1599, I hired, as my covenente servantes, Charles Massey and Samewell Rowley, for a yeaire, and as much as to Shraftide, begenyng at the daye above written, after the statute of winchester, with ij syngell pence; and for them they have covenanted with me to playe in my howes, and in no other howsse dewringe the thime publicke, but in mine: yf they dooe, withoutt my consent, for yf they dooe, to forfett unto me xxxx<sup>ii</sup> a pece. Wittnes thomas Dowton, Robart shawe, W<sup>m</sup> Borne, Jubey, Richard Jonnes.

<sup>1</sup> We have had notices of Heywood, and of his connexion with Henslowe, anterior to this date when he hired himself as a covenant-servant. See p. 78, &c.

<sup>2</sup> Henslowe's writing: the original signature of Anthony Monday nowhere occurs in the MS. in its present state.

Lent him, <sup>1</sup> the 9 of aguste 1598, to geve the attorney Ceachen, for the bande which he hadde in his hande, the some of .....	vij <sup>s</sup> iiiij <sup>d</sup>
Layd owt for hime the same time to M <sup>r</sup> Ceatchen, and Receved his bande frome hime, some of.....	1 <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> s Alleyn, widow, <sup>2</sup> the 18 of sep- tembr 1602, in Redy monye, the some of.....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
Lent unto M <sup>r</sup> s Alleyn, widow, the 19 of septembr 1602, to fetche her mantell, and shette, and fascloth, from M <sup>r</sup> Colles, the some of .....	vli x <sup>s</sup>
This goodes be dd. backe agayne and I Rd my monye, vli vs.	

Harey Porter tocke a somsete of me, Phillip Henslowe, the 16 of Aprell 1599, upon this condition, that yf I would geve hime xij<sup>d</sup> at that instante, for that xij<sup>d</sup> he bownd him selfe unto me in xli<sup>ii</sup> of corant Inglishe mony, for this cawse to paye unto me the next daye folowinge all the money which he oweth unto me, or els to ferfette for that xij<sup>d</sup> tenn powndes ; which deate wase unto me xxv<sup>s</sup>, which he hath not payd acordinge to his bonde, and so hath forfetterd unto me :<sup>3</sup> wittnes to this a sumsette

JOHN HASLETT, vater.

M<sup>r</sup> KYNGMAN, the elder.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> i.e., Richard Alleyn. Preceding this item is a repetition of several entries of money advanced to the same performer.

<sup>2</sup> By this date, 18th Sept. 1602, Richard Alleyn was dead, and the "Mrs. Alleyn, widow," here mentioned was his relict.

<sup>3</sup> According to this statement, Henry Porter had forfeited £10 to Henslowe for failing to pay his debt of 25s. The signatures of Hazlett, the vaulter, and of Kyngman, are merely memoranda that they saw Porter receive the 12d.

<sup>4</sup> After about thirty leaves, some entire and others mutilated, (by having had parts, containing probably theatrical information and auto-

Itm, it is agreed betwixt us, Phillippe Henslow, E. Alleyn, John Ockley, free of the larymores, other wisse called the bit-makers, and nycolas Dame, starchemacker, as foloweth :

Itm firste, that Phillippe Henslow and E. Alleyn is to previde a howsse for to macke starche in, and lickwisse to provide grownd to keppe hogges one, at ower owne charges ; and the sayd John Ocklye and Nycolas Dame to paye unto us no Rente.

Secondlye, the sayd John ocklye and nycolas Dame is to provid at ther owne carges al fattes, and other vesselles what so ever, fitte for starche mackynge of starce, and when yt is mad and sowld the iij pte of the gayne to be unto the said P. H. and E. A. delyvered for ther pte.

M<sup>r</sup> Hareys, I wold desyer you to macke a bande for me, which all the compayne of the earlle of Nothingame players must stand bownd, for the payment to me—<sup>1</sup>

M<sup>r</sup> Hares, I must paye xx<sup>ii</sup> and oode mony to M<sup>r</sup> Treheren, for the earlle of Nottingames players,<sup>2</sup> which they have borowed of hime upon ther bande ; and for the payment therof they will geve me ther band generall to this efeacto, that I shall have ther corte mony, which they have dew unto them for playnge this crysmas afore the Quene, so sone as yt can be Received by any of them, or ells by my sone, withowt frade.

graphs of poets and players, cut away) we come to a memorandum, which again shows that, at a date not here specified, Henslowe and Alleyn were engaged in a starch-manufactory. See also p. 233.

<sup>1</sup> This appears to be the commencement of an intended copy of a letter from Henslowe to Harris, the scrivener: no doubt, it related to what immediately follows.

<sup>2</sup> It does not appear in what relation Mr. Treheren stood with the Earl of Nottingham's players: Henslowe was to pay him £20 "and odd money," in return for which he was to have the court money

*A not of what I paye every yeare as foloweth, 1602,  
for Rente:—*

Pd unto the Quene .....	xxvij <sup>li</sup>	13 <sup>s</sup>	4 <sup>d</sup>
Pd unto Mr Ower .....	xiiij <sup>li</sup>		
Pd unto St mildreds.....	vij <sup>li</sup>		
Pd unto the beshope.....		ix <sup>s</sup>	
Pd unto windover.....	ijij <sup>li</sup>	xij <sup>s</sup>	4 <sup>d</sup>

*A note of alle my tenents and what they paye yearly, as foloweth,  
from the 25 of marche 1602, begining at our Ladye Daye.<sup>1</sup>*

Roger Jonnes.....		xl <sup>s</sup>	
Mr Keayes his leace .....		xl <sup>s</sup>	
Mr Whotley .....	vj <sup>li</sup>	13 <sup>s</sup>	4 <sup>d</sup>
Mr Williamsone .....	vj <sup>li</sup>	13 <sup>s</sup>	4 <sup>d</sup>
Goodman Pegette .....	ijij <sup>li</sup>		
Thomas Towne .....	ijij <sup>li</sup>		
Widowe Watsone .....	ix <sup>h</sup>		
Mrs Rockette .....		xliij <sup>s</sup>	iiij <sup>d</sup>
Mr Haryson, skner .....		liij <sup>s</sup>	iiij <sup>d</sup>
Widow Spencer .....	iiij <sup>li</sup>		
Edward Adyson.....	ix <sup>li</sup>	x <sup>s</sup>	
Gorge Tayller, owermaker.....		liij <sup>s</sup>	iiij <sup>d</sup>
W <sup>m</sup> Tyghton.....		xl <sup>s</sup>	
Robart Drewe .....	v <sup>li</sup>	vj <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>

of the company, meaning the sum which had become due to them for performances before the Queen at Christmas. No date is given.

<sup>1</sup> Thomas Towne, in the ensuing list, was the actor in Henslowe's company. The "Widow Spencer" was in all probability the relict of Gabriel Spencer, whom Ben Jonson had killed in Hoxton Fields in Sept. 1598. There was a dramatic author of the name of Thomas Drew, or Dru<sup>e</sup>, and perhaps Robert Drew was some relation to him. On p. 7, we have had *John Taylor*, oar-maker, mentioned under date of 8th April 1595: George Taylor might be his son.

*M<sup>r</sup> Malthowes Rentes,<sup>1</sup> as foloweth. 1602 :*

W <sup>m</sup> Glover .....	liij <sup>s</sup>	iiij <sup>d</sup>
E. Alleyn.....	x <sup>h</sup>	
Simon Birde .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>
W <sup>m</sup> Tyghton .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>

*The Rosse Rentes,<sup>2</sup> as foloweth :*

Ower tyerman .....	iiij <sup>h</sup>	
Goody Seasy .....		xl <sup>s</sup>
Goody Parson .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>
Widow Underher .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>	
Goodman Thornes .....	xl <sup>s</sup>	
Goodman Richardson .....	xl <sup>s</sup>	
Goodman Balle .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>
Goodman Flemynge .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>	
Goody glover .....	xl <sup>s</sup>	
Lytell howsse .....	vj <sup>h</sup>	
M <sup>rs</sup> Whitte .....	iiij <sup>h</sup>	vj <sup>s</sup>

*Windovers Rentes.*

Hew Daves.....	vj <sup>h</sup>	
M <sup>r</sup> Page .....		xx <sup>s</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Why these were called "Mr. Malthowes Rentes" does not appear: perhaps he collected them. Respecting Malthouse, see p. 107, n 2. E. Alleyn, whose name is in the list, resided in a house which Henslowe had either built or extensively repaired. He paid a higher rent than any body else in this or the other lists. William Tyghton seems to have rented two houses of Henslowe.

<sup>2</sup> These were most likely the rents of houses immediately connected with the Rose; and we may perhaps conclude, as was certainly the case with "our 'tireman,'" that the tenants were persons in some way employed about the theatre.

*M<sup>r</sup> Owers Rentes.*

Mr Jubey .....	vij <sup>li</sup>
----------------	-------------------

*The tenantes of Jemes Russelles Leace, as foloweth, begenyng at our Ladye Daye 1602.*

Harye Sparkes .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
John Wade.....	ij <sup>li</sup>
W <sup>m</sup> Smythe .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
Robarte Mownte.....	ij <sup>li</sup>
John Haynes .....	xij <sup>s</sup>
John Wayshefelld .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
Robarte Russell .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
Mathew Hunte .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
John Bande .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
John Smythe .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
Robart Washefelde .....	ij <sup>li</sup>
Widowe Smythe.....	ij <sup>li</sup>
Item iij shoppes .....	iiiij <sup>li</sup>
W <sup>m</sup> Corden.....	xvj <sup>s</sup>
Jemes Russells howsse and yardes .....	xx <sup>li</sup>
Robarte Mownte for a garden .....	j <sup>li</sup> iiijs

*The Bores Heade tenantes, as foloweth, begenyng at crystmase laste, 1604.*

Edward Rygmayden .....	x <sup>li</sup>
Elizabeth Roosse .....	xxvj <sup>s</sup> 8 <sup>d</sup>
Lewes Easste.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Thomas Harding.....	xxvj <sup>s</sup> 8 <sup>d</sup>
Raffe Haynes .....	xxxij <sup>s</sup>
Richard Sanders .....	xxvij <sup>s</sup>
Robarte Stockes .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Ellyn Foreste .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

W <sup>m</sup> Lowe .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Thomas Dawson .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
John Strete .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Richard Homes .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Widow Saye.....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Tege Lince .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>

*A not of them of my tenantes which are to pay me Capones, and when, every year by ther leaces as foloweth, 1604.*

In a leace of widow Renowlls, to pay j capon at sentandrostyd  
 Good man Hichenson to paye at crystmas ij capones  
 Mr Mownt to paye at Shraftid ij capones  
 Thomas towne, to paye at Shraftid j capon  
 Goodman pigat to paye at Shraftid j capon  
 Goodman Hunte to pay at crystmas ij capones.

*Thes be my tenantes belonginge to the Bores head, one the other syde of the Leaffe, as foloweth, begynnyng at crystmas last, 1604, al one rence.<sup>1</sup>*

Simon Luttrell .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Harey Alleyn .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Thomas Lawsson .....	xx <sup>s</sup>
Hamlet Bruther .....	xxiiij <sup>s</sup>
John Malborne.....	xxvj <sup>s</sup> 8 <sup>d</sup>
Sarey Brewer .....	xxx <sup>s</sup>
Thomas Walborne .....	xxxx <sup>s</sup>
John Hunte .....	l <sup>s</sup>
John Hichenson .....	vij <sup>l<sup>1</sup></sup>

<sup>1</sup> What Henslowe meant by "al one rence" is not now intelligible: it cannot mean "all one rent," but possibly that the different rents were paid all at one date. This list is a continuation of an enumeration of his Boars-head tenants, which commences "on the other side of the leaf" in the MS.

Received of Mr Henslow, the xj<sup>th</sup> daye of april 1602, the  
some of ten poundes, dew to me at ower Lady abouff wrytten,  
for that quarter then dewe to me for Rent.<sup>1</sup>

JOHN DORINGTON.

Be it knowne unto all men by thes presentes, that I Charles Rose, servaunt to Mr Pullforde of Pawles Churchyarde, taylor in London, have bargained and soulde unto Phillip Henslowe, of St Saviors in the county of Surrey, Gent., one dublett and a paire of rownd hose of cloath of gould, layd thicke with blacke silke lace, in open markett. In wittnes whereof, I the said Charles Rose have hereunto sett my hande this xxvij<sup>th</sup> of November 1598, in consideration of lvij<sup>s</sup> in currant Englishe money by me receaved the day and yeare above written.

By me CHARLES ROSSE.<sup>2</sup>

Wittnes ROBT. SHAA.

*Decimo die Januarij, Anno Dni. 1603.*

Md, that I Francis Woodward do acknowledge my self to owe and to be indebtted unto Phillip Henslowe, Citizen and

<sup>1</sup> From this entry, and other circumstances, (see p. 177) we may infer that Henslowe paid Sir John Dorington, Master of the Games, &c., (who wrote the memorandum) £80 per annum for the privilege of baiting bears, bulls, &c., at Paris Garden. It is followed by a number of pages which are either blank or filled with matter of no interest, medical recipes, "a good dryncke for the pestelence," "for the dropsey," &c.; and these are succeeded by seven pages respecting money advanced on pawns of various kinds, the dates being from 17 January 1593, to the 12th of April 1595. Philip Henslowe seems to have transacted this business himself, without the intervention of Francis Henslowe, who acted on previous occasions.

<sup>2</sup> The whole of this memorandum is in the handwriting of Charles Rose, or Rosse, for he spells his name differently in the body of the paper

Dier of London,<sup>1</sup> in the somme of tenn poundes of currant money, to be payd to the said Phillip on the firste daye of February next comynge after the date hereof: for testimony hereof I have hereunto sette my hand.

p me, FRANCISCUM WODWARD.<sup>2</sup>

Wittnes my sonne,

E. Alleyn, and my dawther.

Lent unto Martyne Slawghter, the 22 of July  
1604, the some of fyve powndes, to be payd  
me agayne the next moneth folowinge after  
the date, which mony was delyvered unto his  
wiffe, I saye lent .....

v<sup>l</sup>

Wittnes E. ALLEYN.<sup>3</sup>

Lent unto M<sup>r</sup> Freeman, of Sussex, the 26 of  
novmbr 1604, to folowe his sewt in the Corte  
of Requestes ageanst M<sup>r</sup> Bande, the some of  
twenty shillenges: I saye lent .....

xx<sup>s</sup>

Wittnes W<sup>m</sup> HENSLOW.<sup>4</sup>

and in the subscription. "Robt. Shaa" was written by the actor, and no doubt the purchase was for the use of the company, which had occasion for additions to the wardrobe.

<sup>1</sup> This entry, like another on p. 52, shows Philip Henslowe's original trade — that of a dyer — and hence, perhaps, his connexion with the starch business, in which he was joined (as in his other transactions) by Edward Alleyn.

<sup>2</sup> Woodward, as we find elsewhere, was collector of the rents of Lord Montague; and was perhaps related by marriage to Henslowe, whose wife had been the widow Woodward.

<sup>3</sup> Alleyn's name is only inserted as a memorandum: it is not his signature.

<sup>4</sup> The MS. contains notices of William Henslowe as early as 1593; see p. 251, note 3. Philip Henslowe had a tenant of the name of John Bande, mentioned on p. 265.

Meñd. that yt is agreed betwene Mr Robarte Weles, of Buxted in the Counte of Sussex, and Mr Phillip Hensly, of London, the xxijij<sup>th</sup> of maye, in the yere of our Lorde 1593, that the sayed Robarte Weles shall delyver unto the sayed Phillip Hensly, upon our Ladye daye nexte comeng after the date herof, on serten pece of Land, lyenge in Buxted, caled Locyers, quyetly withowte any troble by the sayed Robarte Weles.

ROBERT WELLES.<sup>1</sup>

*Rd at the Bergenden this yeare 1608, begining at Chrystmas  
holedayes, as foloweth:*

Rd one mondaye, St Stevenes daye.....	iiij <sup>ii</sup>
Rd one tewesdaye, St Johns daye .....	vj <sup>ii</sup>
Rd one Wensdaye, being Shilldermas daye .....	iiij <sup>ii</sup> xij <sup>s</sup>

*Rd at the Forteorne this yeare 1608, begenyng at Crystmas  
holedayes.<sup>2</sup>*

Rd one St Stevenes daye.....	xxv <sup>s</sup>
Rd one St Johnes daye .....	xxxxv <sup>s</sup>
Rd one Chelldermas daye .....	xxxxiiij <sup>s</sup> ix <sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This undertaking appears to have been written by some third person, and only signed by Wells: it serves to connect Henslowe still farther with the county of Sussex.

<sup>2</sup> With this brief account Henslowe's Diary abruptly concludes: by a comparison of it with the receipts, as given above, on the same days at "the Bear-garden," it appears that the latter was much the most profitable concern.



## A P P E N D I X.

---

*The booke of the Inventory of the goods of my Lord Admeralles men,  
tacken the 10 of Marche in the yeare 1598.*

Gone and loste.

Item, j ornge taney satten dublet, layd thycke with gowld lace.  
Item, j blew tafetie sewt.  
Item, j payr of carnatyon satten Venesyons, layd with gold lace.  
Item, j longe-shanckes sewte.  
Item, j Sponnes dublet pyncket.  
Item, j Spanerds gyrcken.  
Item, Harey the fyftes dublet.  
Item, Harey the fyftes vellet gowne.  
Item, j fryers gowne.  
Item, j lyttell dublet for boye.

*The Enventory of the Clownes Sewtes and Hermetes Sewtes, with  
dievers other sewtes, as followeth, 1598 the 10 of March.*

Item, j senetores gowne, j hoode, and 5 senetores capes.  
Item, j sewtte for Nepton; Fierdrackes sewtes for Dobe.  
Item, iiiij genesareyes gownes, and iiiij torchberers sewtes.  
Item, iiij payer of red strasers, and iiij fares gowne of buckrome.  
Item, iiiij Herwodes cottes, and iiij sogers cottes, and j green gown for  
Maryan.  
Item, vj grene cottes for Roben Hoode, and iiiij knaves sewtes.

Item, ij payer of grene hosse, and Andersones sewte. j whitt shepen clocke.

Item, ij rosset cottes, and j black frese cotte, and iij prestes cottes.

Item, ij whitt shepherdes cottes, and ij Danes sewtes, and j payer of Danes hosse.

Item, The Mores lymes, and Hercolles lymes, and Will. Sommers sewtte.

Item, ij Orlates sewtes, hates and gorgettis, and vij anteckes cootes.

Item, Cathemer sewte, j payer of cloth whitte stockens, iiiij Turckes hedes.

Item, iiiij freyers gownes and iiij hoodes to them, and j fooles coate, cape, and babell, and branhowlttes bodeys, and merlen gowne and cape.

Item, ij black saye gownes, and ij cotton gownes, and j rede saye gowne.

Item, j mawe gowne of calleco for the quene, j carnowll hatte.

Item, j red sewt of cloth for pyge, layed with whitt lace.

Item, v payer of hosse for the clowne, and v gerkenes for them.

Item, iij payer of canvas hosse for asane, ij payer of black strocers.

Item, j yellow leather dublett for a clowne, j Whittcomes dublett poke.

Item, Eves bodeyes, j pedante trusser, and iij donnes hattes.

Item, j payer of yellow cotton sleves, j gostes sewt, and j gostes bodeyes.

Item, xvij copies and hattes, Verones sonnes hosse.

Item, iij trumpettes and a drum, and a trebel viall, a basse viall, a bandore, a sytteren, j anshente, j whitt hatte.

Item, j hatte for Robin Hoode, j hobihorse.

Item, v shertes, and j serpelowes, iiiij ferdingalles.

Item, vj head-tiers, j fane iij rebatos, ij gyrketruses.

Item, j longe sorde.

*The Enventory of all the aparell for my Lord Admiralles men, tacken the 10 of marche 1598.—Lefft above in the tier-house in the cheast.*

Item, My Lord Caffes gercken, & his hooesse.

Item, j payer of hosse for the Dowlfen.

Item, j murey lether gyrcken, & j white lether gercken.

Item, j black lether gearnken, & Nabesathe sewte.

Item, j payer of hosse, & a gercken for Valteger.

Item, ij leather anteekes cottes with basses, for Fayeton.

Item, j payer of bodeyes for Alles Pearce.

*The Enventory tucken of all the properties for my Lord Admeralles  
men, the 10 of Marche 1598.*

Item, j rocke, j cage, j tombe, j Hell mought.

Item, j tome of Guido, j tome of Dido, j bedsteade.

Item, viij lances, j payer of stayers for Fayeton.

Item, ij stepells, & j chyme of belles, & j beacon.

Item, j heefor for the playe of Faeton, the limes dead.

Item, j globe, & j golden scepter; ij clobes.

Item, ij marchepanes, & the sittie of Rome.

Item, j gowlden fleece; ij rackets; j baye tree.

Item, j wooden hatchett; j lether hatchete.

Item, j wooden canepie; owld Mahemetes head.

Item, j lyone skin; j beares skyne; & Faetones lymes, & Faeton charete;  
& Argosse heade.

Item, Nepun forcke & garland.

Item, j crosers stafe; Kentes woden leage.

Item, Ierosses head, and raynbowe; j littell alter.

Item, viij viserdes; Tamberlyne brydell; j wooden matook.

Item, Cupedes bowe, and quiver; the clothe of the Sone and Mone.

Item, j bores heade & Serberosse ij heads.

Item, j Cadeseus; ij mose banckes, & j snake.

Item, ij fanes of feathers; Belendon stable; j tree of gowlden apelles;  
Tantelouse tre; jx eyorn targates.

Item, j copper targate, & xvij foyles.

Item, iiiij wooden targates; j greve armer.

Item, j syne for Mother Readcap; j buckler.

Item, Mercures wings; Tasso picter; j helmet with a dragon; j shelde,  
with ij lyones; j elme bowle.

Item, j chayne of dragons; j gylte speare.

Item, ij coffenes; j bulles head; and j vylter.

Item, ij tymbrells; j dragon in fostes.

Item, j lyone; ij lyon heades; j great hørse with his leages; j sack-bute.

Item, j whell and frame in the Sege of London.  
 Item, j paire of rowghte gloves.  
 Item, j poopes miter.  
 Item, iij Imperial crownes ; j playne crowne.  
 Item, j gostes crown ; j crown with a sone.  
 Item, j frame for the heading in Black Jone.  
 Item, j black dogge.  
 Item, j cauderm for the Jewe.

*The Enventorey of all the aparell of the Lord Admeralles men, taken  
 the 13th of Marche 1598, as followeth:*

Item, j payer of whitte saten Venesons cut with coper lace.  
 Item, j ash coller satten doublett, laycd with gold lace  
 Item, j peche coller satten doublett.  
 Item, j owld white satten dublette.  
 Item, j bleu tafitie sewtte.  
 Item, j Mores cotte.  
 Item, Pyges damask gowne.  
 Item, j black satten cotte.  
 Item, j harcoller tafitie sewte of pygges.  
 Item, j white tafitie sewte of pygges.  
 Item, Vartemar sewtte.  
 Item, j great pecholler dublet, with sylver lace.  
 Item, j white satten dublet pynckte.  
 Item, j owld white satten dublet pynckte.  
 Item, j payer of satten Venesyan satten ymbradered.  
 Item, j payer of French hosse, cloth of gowld.  
 Item, j payer of cloth of gowld hosse with sylver paines.  
 Item, j payer of cloth of sylver hosse with satten and sylver panes.  
 Item, Tamberlynes cotte, with coper lace.  
 Item, j read clock with white coper lace.  
 Item, j read clocke, with read coper lace.  
 Item, j shorte clocke of taney satten with sleves.  
 Item, j shorte clocke of black satten with sleves.  
 Item, Labesyas clocke with gowld buttenes.  
 Item, j payer of read cloth hosse of Venesyans, with sylver lace of corde.  
 Item, Valteger robe of rich tafitie.

Item, Junoes cotte.

Item, j hode for the wech.

Item, j read stamel clocke with whitte coper lace.

Item, j read stamel clocke with read coper lace.

Item, j cloth clocke of russete with coper lace, called Guydoes clocke.

Item, j short clocke of black velvet, with sleves faced with shagg.

Item, j short clocke of black vellet, faced with white fore.

Item, j manes gown, faced with whitte fore.

Item, Dobes cotte of cloth of sylver.

Item, j payer of pechecoler Venesyones uncut, with read coper lace.

Item, j read scarlet clocke with sylver buttones.

Item, j longe black velvet clock, layd with brod lace black.

Item, j black satten sewtite.

Item, j blacke velvet clocke, layd with twyst lace blacke.

Item, Perowes sewt, which W<sup>m</sup> Sley were.

Item, j payer of pechcoler hosse with sylver corlled panes.

Item, j payer of black cloth of sylver hosse, drawne out with tused tafittie.

Item, Tamberlanes breches of cymson vellvet.

Item, j payer of sylk howse with panes of sylver corlled lace.

Item, j Faeytone sewtite.

Item, Roben Hoodes sewtite.

Item, j payer of cloth of gowld hose with gowld corlle panes.

Item, j payer of rowne hosse buffe with gowld lace.

Item, j payer of mows collar Venesyans with R. brode gowld lace.

Item, j flame collarde dublet pynked.

Item j blacke satten dublet, layd thyck wyth blacke and gowld lace.

Item, j carnacyon dubled cutt, layd with gowld lace.

Item. j white satten dublet, faced with read tafetie.

Item, j grene gyrcken with sylver lace.

Item, j black gyrcken with sylver lace.

Item, j read gyrcken with sylver lace.

Item, j read Spanes dublett styched.

Item, j peche collar satten casse.

Item, Tassoes robe.

Item, j murey robe with sleves.

Item, j blewe robe with sleves.

Item, j oren taney robe with sleves.  
 Item, j pech collerd hallf robe.  
 Item, j lane robe with spangells.  
 Item, j white & ornge taney scarf, spangled.  
 Item, Dides robe.  
 Item, iij payer of basses.  
 Item, j white taftie sherte with gowld frenge.  
 Item, the fryers trusse in Roben Hoode.  
 Item, j littell gacket for Pygge.  
 Item, j womanes gown of cloth of gowld.  
 Item, j ornge taney vellet gowe with sylver lace, for women.  
 Item, j black velvet gowne ymbradered with gowld lace.  
 Item, j yelowe satten gowne ymbradered with sylk & gowld lace, for women.  
 Item, j greve armer.  
 Item, Harye the v. velvet gowne.  
 Item, j payer of crymson satten Venysiones, layd with gowld lace.  
 Item, j blew taftie sewte, layd with sylver lace.  
 Item, j Longeshankes seute.  
 Item, j orange coller satten dublett, layd with gowld lace.  
 Item, Harye the v. satten dublet, layd with gowld lace.  
 Item, j Spanes casse dublet of crymson pyncked.  
 Item, j Spanes gearcken layd with sylver lace.  
 Item, j wattshode taftie dublet for a boye.  
 Item, ij payer of basses, j white, j blewe, of sasnet.  
 Item, j freyers gowne of graye.

*A Note of all suche booke as belong to the Stocke, and such as I have  
 bought since the 3d of March 1598.*

Blacke Jonne.	Woman will have her will.
The Umers.	Welchmans price.
Hardicanewtes.	King Arthur, life and death.
Borbonne.	1 p <sup>t</sup> of Hercules.
Sturgflaterey	2 p <sup>te</sup> of Hercoles.
Brunhowlle.	Pethagores.
Cobler quen hive.	Focasse.
Frier Pendelton.	Elexsander and Lodwicke.
Alls Perce.	Blacke Battman.

Read Cappe.	2 p. black Battman.
Roben Hode, 1.	2 p <sup>t</sup> of Goodwine.
Roben Hode, 2.	Mad mans morris.
Phayeton.	Perce of Winchester.
Treangell cockowlis.	Vayvode.
Goodwine.	

*A Note of all suche goodes as I have bought for the Companey of my Lord Admiralls men, sence the 3 of Aprell 1598, as followeth:*

	l. s. d.
Bowght a damaske casock garded with velvett .....	0 18 0
Bowght a payer of paned rownd hosse of cloth whiped with sylk, drawne out with tafitie .....	0 8 0
Bowght j payer of long black wollen stockens .....	
Bowght j black satten dublett.....	4 15 0
Bowght j payer of rownd howsse paned of vellevett.....	
Bowght a robe for to goo invisibell .....	3 10 0
Bowght a gown for Nembia .....	
Bowght a dublett of whitt satten layd thicke with gowld lace, and a payer of rowne pandes hosse of cloth of sylver, the panes layd with gowld lace .....	7 0 0
Bowght of my sonne v sewtes.....	20 0 0
Bowght of my sonne iiij sewtes .....	17 0 0



## I N D E X.

Abraham and Lot, a play, 32, 33  
Absolom, a play, 241  
Adlington, W., his Translation of Apuleius, 169  
Admiral, the lord, his Players, 35—acting with those of the Lord Chamberlain, *ib.*—list of his players in October 1597, 115  
Adren, or Adrian, Philip Henslowe's cousin, 16  
Agamemnon, tragedy of, by Dekker and Chettle, 153  
Alberte Galles, a play, by Heywood and Smith, 239  
Albertus Wallenstein, a tragedy, by Glaphorune, 239  
Alexander and Lodowick, a play, by Martin Slater, Slatier, or Slaughter, 79, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 123, 128, 147, 276  
Alleyn, lame Charles, 6  
\_\_\_\_\_, Edward, his marriage with Joan Woodward, 3—an actor, 6, 7, 59, 71, 78, 95, 107—his instrumentality in producing *Tambercam*, 25—his performance in *Cutlack and Faustus*, 34, 42—his ceasing to act, 115—expense of repairing his house, 253—his Privy Seal, 255  
\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, and Arthur Langworth, agreement between, 80, 81  
\_\_\_\_\_, Joan, wife to Edward Alleyn, 1, 2, 60, 209  
\_\_\_\_\_, John, letters of administration for, 9  
\_\_\_\_\_, Richard, a player, 5—loans to, 256, 261—his engagement with Henslowe, 259  
All Fools, a comedy, by George Chapman, 154  
All is not gold that Glisters, a play by Henry Chettle, 185  
Amends for Ladies, by N. Field, 49, 86  
Anatomy of Pope Joan, a tract, 22  
Anderson's suit, 272  
Anne, Queen, her players, 236  
Antonio and Mellida, and Antonio's Revenge, by John Marston, 157  
Antonio and Valia, by Massinger, 55  
Antony and Vallea, a play, 47, 54, 56, 58  
Apology for Actors, by Thomas Heywood, 31  
Arcadian Virgin, by H. Cheettle and William Haughton, 161  
Arthur, King of England, a play by Richard Hathway, 122, 123  
Arthur, King, his Life and Death, a play, 276  
As you like it, by Shakespeare, 65  
Attewell, or Atwell, George, a player, 8  
\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, Hugh, one of the Children of the Revels, 9  
Attiring or "Tiring house, 16  
Augustine, William, a player, 259  
Aymon, Four Sons of, a play, 230, 233  
Bacon, Friar, and Friar Bongay, by Robert Greene, 20, 23, 25, 26, 30, 33, 34—Middleton's new prologue and epilogue to, 228  
Ballad of the Merchant of Emden, 38  
Battle of Alcazar, by George Peele, 77  
Bear a Brain, by Thomas Dekker, 155  
Beaumont and Fletcher's Prophetess, 45—their Knight of the Burning Pestle, 238  
Beech's Tragedy, by W. Haughton and John Day, 160, 161, 163  
Beeston, Christopher, a player, 237  
Bellenden, or Belenden, a play, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 45, 86, 87, 88, 89

Bellendon's, or Belenden's stable, 273  
 Bendo, or Byndo, and Richardo, a play, 22, 24, 27  
 Bernardo and Fiametta, a play, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 67  
 Berowne, or Burbon, a play, 241, 276  
 Bickers, Nicholas, loan to, 180  
 Birde, or Borne, William, a player, 97, 98, 104, 106, 108, 109, 110, 113, 114, 115, 120, 218 — his debt to P. Henslowe, 190 — his payments to P. Henslowe, 215, 217 — his engagement with P. Henslowe, 258  
 Black Batman of the North, a play by Chettle, Wilson, Drayton, and Dekker, 122, 124, 125, 126, 276 — Part II., by Chettle and Wilson, 126, 127, 277  
 Black Dog of Newgate, a play by Hathway, Day, and Smith, 244, 245, 246 — Part II., by Hathway, Day, and Smith, 249 — a property, 274  
 Black Joan, a play, 276 — frame for the heading in, 274  
 Blackwage, William, the Lord Chamberlain's man, 7  
 Blackwood, Thomas, a player, 234, 250  
 Blind Beggar of Alexandria, a play by George Chapman, 57, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86 — revival of, 186, 187, 188  
 Blind Beggar of Bethnal Green, a play by Chettle and Day, 171, 180 — Part II., by Haughton and Day, 180, 183, 184, 186 — Part III., by Haughton and Day, 188, 194, 195, 199, 200  
 Blind eats many a Fly, a play by Thomas Heywood, 244, 246  
 Blunson, or Bluenson, servant to the Master of the Revels, 72, 80, 99  
 Boar's Head tenants, paying rent to P. Henslowe, 265, 266  
 Boast of Billingsgate, a play by Day and Hathway, 230, 231  
 Bourbon, a play, 91, 276  
 Birne, James, 15  
 Bowes, Sir Ralph, his note to W. Killigrew, 177  
 Boyle, William, a dramatist, 164  
 Boy, P. Henslowe's, named James Bris-tow, 149, 181, 184, 259  
 Boys, apprentices to players, 108  
 Bradshaw, Richard, a player, sale to, 182 — loan to, 183  
 Brandymer, a play, 24, 26  
 Branhowlte, or Brennoralt, a play, 116, 276  
 Brazen Age, the, by T. Heywood, 51  
 Brennoralt, or Branhowlte, a play, 116, 276  
 Bristol, the Fair Maid of, a play, 220 — Tragedy, by John Day, 220, 221, 222  
 Bristow, James, Philip Henslowe's boy, 149, 181, 184, 259  
 —, Thomas, receipt by, 149  
 Browne, Edward, a player, 73, 78  
 Brute, Greenshield, a play, 147 —, the Conquest of, by Day and Chettle, 131, 133, 134, 136, 137, 141  
 Buckingham, a play, 31, 32, 33  
 Burghley, Lord, supposed loan to, 8  
 Burone, or Burbon, a play, 210, 276  
 Byron's Conspiracy and Tragedy, by G. Chapman, 240  
 Cæsar and Pompey, a play, 44, 45, 46, 48, 50, 55 — Part II., 54, 55  
 Cæsar's Fall, by Monday, Drayton, Webster, and Middleton, 221  
 Cæsar, Sir Julius, Master of the Requests, 108  
 Caaphas, his Jerkin, 272  
 Canute, or Hardiknute, a play, 91, 276  
 Cartwright, a play by William Haughton, 225 —, William, a player, 71  
 Cateline, by Wilson and Chettle, 132, 133  
 Chaloner, Thomas, debtor to Henslowe, 71 — his payment upon a bond, 252  
 Chamberlain, the Lord, his players, Henslowe's account with, 35 — payment to by Henslowe, 192  
 Chance Medley, a play by Wilson, Monday and Dekker, 132  
 Chapman, George, the dramatist, 123, 145, 153, 154 — his debt to P. Henslowe, 124, 191 — his Cæsar and Pompey, 44 — his Blind Beggar of Alexandria, 57, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 186, 187, 188 — three acts of a tragedy by, 141, 143  
 Chaucer's Palamon and Arcite, 41  
 Chester Tragedy, by T. Middleton, 227  
 Chettle, Henry, the dramatist, 93, 96, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 151, 153, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 161, 165, 166, 168, 169, 171, 172 — his debt to Henslowe, 126 — his arrest of one of Lord Leicester's players, 137 — his mending of Robinhood, 139 — his imprisonment in the Marshalsea, 141 — a stationer and printer, 150 — arrested by Ingram, 151 — his mending of the Proud Woman of Antwerp, 218 — his bond to write only for Henslowe's company, 219 — paid for mending Cardinal Wolsey, Part I.,

220 — prologue and epilogue for the Court by, 229

Chinon of England, a play, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 82

Christmas comes but once a year, a play by Heywood, Dekker, Webster, and Chettle, 243, 244, 245

Christmas-day, theatrical performances upon, 46

Civil Wars in France, the first Introduction of, a play by T. Dekker, 142 —Part II., by Drayton and Dekker, 137, 139—Part III., by Drayton and Dekker, 139, 141

Clink, the, a prison, 166, 250

Clorys and Orgasto, a play, 21

Clyfton, Robert, his receipt, 213

Cobbler, the, a play, 103, 115

Cobbler of Queen Hithe, a play, 276

Comedy for the Court, by Drayton and Monday, 131

Connan, Prince of Cornwall, a play by Drayton and Dekker, 136, 137

Connesby, Richard, gent. usher, 234

Conquest of Brute, by John Day, 131, 133, 134, 136, 137, 141

Conquest of Spain by John of Gaunt, by Hathway and Rankins, 185, 186

Conquest of the West Indies, a play by Haughton, Day, and Smith, 185, 186, 188, 196, 197, 199, 201, 217

Constance of Rome, the fair, a play by A. Monday, M. Drayton, and R. Hathaway, 171, 172

Constantine, a play, 23

Cornwall, Harry of, a play, 21, 23, 26, 27

Cosmo, the comedy of, 30

Cox, John, of Collumpton, a tragedy by Haughton and Day, 95, 159

Crack me this Nut, a play, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 68  
———, a revived play, 204, 207

Crafte, Percival, his undertaking to Philip Henslowe, 3

Cranwigge, or Cranwidge, James, the fencer, 138

Croydon, performances before the Queen there, 110

Cunningham, Mr. P., his Extracts from the Revels' Accounts, 22, 23, 33, 254

Cupid and Psyche, a play by Chettle, Dekker, and Day, 169, 170, 171

Cutlaek, a play, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42 —Edward Alleyn's performance in, 34

Cutter, in the play of Jephthah, 223

Cutting Dick, a character by Thomas Heywood, 224, 240

Daborne, Robert, his *Machiavel and the Devil*, 22

Damon and Pithias, a play by H. Chettle, 93, 165, 166, 168, 169, 170  
———, by R. Edwards, 165

Danish Tragedy, by H. Chettle, 224

Davenport, R., his play of Henry I., 120

Davies, or Daves, Hugh, a player, 57, 59, 97 —his house repaired, 17, 19 —perhaps Henslowe's scribe, 178

Dauphin, hose for the, 273

Day, John, the dramatist, 92, 95, 159, 161, 165, 169, 170, 171, 172, 179

Death of Robert Earl of Huntingdon, by Monday and Chettle, 118, 119

Dekker, Thomas, the dramatist, 117, 147, 148, 151, 153, 154, 155, 156, 159, 160, 161, 163, 164, 165, 169, 170, 173 —his *Fortunatus*, 64 —his additions to *Faustus*, 71 —imprisonment in the Counter, 118 —his arrest by the Lord Chamberlain's players, 143 —his receipt to Philip Henslowe, 214

Dekker and Monday, acknowledgment of debt from, 235

Deloney, Thomas, his novel of *Thomas of Reading*, 188

Derby, Countess of, deputy to the Queen at a christening, 234

Destruction of Jerusalem, a play by Dr. Legge, 25

Devil and his Dam, a play by William Haughton, 169

Devil's Law Case, a play, by John Webster, 202

Dido and Aeneas, a play, 117

Dido's tomb and robe, 273, 276

Diocletian, a play, 45

Disguises, a play, 57, 58, 59, 60

Dixon, George, his bitch, 209

Doostall, or Donston, James, a player, 6, 69, 76, 78

Dorington, Sir John, Master of the Games, rent or fee paid to, 267

Dough, Tom, Part II., by Day and Haughton, 195, 199, 200

Downfal of Robert Earl of Huntington, by A. Monday, 118, 119, 120, 121

Downton, or Downton, Thomas, a player, 6, 72, 73, 94, 98, 103, 104, 105, 113, 120, 218 —his payments to P. Henslowe, 216 —his engagement with P. Henslowe, 259

Draper, Henry, his debt to P. Henslowe, 6

Drayton, Michael, the dramatist, 96, 158, 162, 163, 171 —his play of William Longsword, or Longbeard, 95, 142

Drout, John, his *Pitiful History of Two Loving Italians*, a poem, 52  
 Drue, or Drew, Thomas, his play of the Duchess of Suffolk, 168  
 Duke, John, a player, his debt to P. Henslowe, 182—confined in the Clink, 250  
 Dunkirk, Siege of, with Alleyn the Pirate, a play, 231  
 Duttons, the, players, 33  
 Dutton, Edward, a player, 254, 255  
 Eagle and Child, a tavern, 203, 205  
 Earl Godwin, a play, 121, 122  
 Earl Godwin, Part II., by Drayton, Dekker; Chettle, and Wilson, 123, 124, 126  
 Edward I., a play, by G. Peele, 55  
 Edward II., a play, by C. Marlowe, 146  
 Edwards, Richard, his *Palamon and Arcite*, 41—his *Damon and Pithias*, 165  
 Emden, the Merchant of, a play, 38  
 English Fugitives, a play, by W. Haughton, 168  
 Englishmen for my Money, a play by W. Haughton, 119  
 English Merchant, born at Chichester, a ballad, 38  
 Evans, Roger, Groom of the Queen's Chamber, 73  
 Eve, a bodeice for, 272  
 Every Man in his Humour, by Ben Jonson, 87  
 Fair Constance of Rome, a play, by A. Monday, M. Drayton, and R. Hathaway, 171, 172  
 Fair Maid of Bristol, a play, 220  
 Fair Maid of Italy, a play, 32, 33, 34  
 Faustus, Dr., a play, by C. Marlowe, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 54, 56, 57, 64, 67, 68, 82, 83, 84, 91—additions to, by Birde and Rowley, 228  
 Femelanco, a tragedy, by Chettle and Robinson, 225, 226  
 Field, N., his *Amends for Ladies*, 49, 86  
 Ferney, William, the Lord Chamberlain's man, 7  
 Ferrex and Porrex, or Gorboduc, by Sackville and Norton, 166  
 ———, by W. Haughton, 166, 167, 168, 169  
 Fire Drake, or Dragon, 199  
 Firle, parsonage, bought by Edward Alleyn, 80, 81  
 Five Plays in one, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90  
 First Civil Wars in France, a play, by Drayton and Dekker, 134, 136  
 Fletcher, perhaps the dramatist, 78  
 Focasse, or Phocus, by M. Slater, Slatier, or Slaughter, 69, 276  
 Fool's Head, trimming the, 1  
 Fool, the, a play, by George Chapman, 154  
 Fortunatus, Part I., a play, by T. Dekker, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 173—the whole History of, by Thomas Dekker, 159, 160, 161  
 Fortune Theatre, when first opened, 182—boy hurt at, 187—construction of, 208, 209, 212—the company of the, 233—receipts at in 1608, 269  
 Fount, or Fountain of New Fashions, by G. Chapman, 135, 136, 138  
 Four Kings, a play, 146  
 Four Plays in one, 22  
 Four Sons of Aymon, a play, 230, 233  
 Frederick and Basilea, a play, 88, 89, 90  
 French Comedy, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 88, 89, 90  
 French Doctor, a play, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53, 57, 82, 207  
 Friar Fox and Gillian of Brentford, a play, 144  
 Friar Francis, a play, 31, 32, 33  
 Friar Rush and the Proud Woman of Antwerp, a play, by Day and Haughton, 193, 194, 201, 203, 204  
 Friar Spendleton, or Pendleton, a play, 91, 276  
 Fuller, Richard, Philip Henslowe's Attorney, 112  
 Fulwell, Ulpian, his interlude of Like well to Like, 181  
 Galfrido and Bernardo, a play, 52  
 Galiaso, a play, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43  
 Galleries, Philip Henslowe's share of the receipts, 151  
 Garland, Savery, Francis Henslowe and Symcockes, 214  
 Gaulfrido and Bernardo, the pitiful History of, a poem, by J. Drout, 52  
 Gelyous comedy, 29  
 Gentle Craft, a play, by T. Dekker, 154  
 George-a-Green, the Pinner of Wakefield, a play, 31, 32, 33  
 Giants in the play of Brute, 141  
 Gillian of Brentford and Friar Fox, a play, 144  
 Globe Theatre, the burning of, 11  
 Gloucester, Earl of, Life of the humorous, a play, by Anthony Wadeson, 183, 190, 195  
 Godfrey of Boulogne, Part II., 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 51, 52, 56

God speed the Plough, a play, 31  
 Godwin, Earl, and his three sons, a play, by Drayton, Dekker, Chettle, and Wilson, 121, 122—Part II., 277  
 Golden Ass, the, and Cupid and Psyche, a play, by T. Dekker and John Day, 169, 170, 171  
 Goodwin, or Godwin, a play, 277—Part II., a play, 277  
 Gorboduc, a tragedy, by Sackville and Norton, 166  
 Gossen, Stephen, his School of Abuse, a tract, 97—his Plays confuted in Five Actions, a tract, 170  
 Gossen, Henry, a bookseller, 205  
 Gossen, Mrs., a dressmaker, 205, 218  
 Grecian comedy, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 58  
 Greene, Robert, his Friar Bacon and Friar Bongay, 20, 23, 25, 26, 30, 33, 34—his Orlando Furioso, 21—his performance in the Pinner of Wakefield, 32—his death, 32, xxxi—his Groatsworth of Wit, 150  
 Grigges, John, his debt to Philip Henslowe, 52  
 Grissill, Patient, a play, by H. Chettle, T. Dekker, and W. Haughton, 96, 158, 162, 163—payment to prevent the printing of, 167  
 Groatsworth of Wit, a tract, by Robert Greene, 150  
 Guido, a play, 77, 86, 87—tomb in, 273  
 Guise, the, or Massacre at Paris, 30, 36, 110, 113  
 —, the, a play, by John Webster, 110, 202, 203, 204  
 Halliwell, Mr. J. Orchard, his edition of Tarlton's Jests, 138  
 Hamlet, probably the old, 35  
 Hannibal and Hermes, a play, by Wilson, Drayton, and Dekker, 127, 128  
 Hannibal and Scipio, a play, by Hathway and Rankins, 97, 174, 175  
 —, a tragedy, by Thomas Nabbes, 97  
 Hardiknute, or Canute, a play, 91, 276  
 Harris, Valentine, groom of the Queen's Chamber, 92  
 Harry of Cornwall, a play, 21, 23, 26, 27  
 Haslett, John. See Hazlett  
 Hassard, Robert, his receipt for the Master of the Revels, 182, 213  
 Hathway, Richard, the dramatist, 97, 158, 163, 171, 172, 174, 175  
 Hathway, Richard, and Weptworth Smyth, their receipt, 213  
 Haughton, William, the dramatist, 92, 93, 95, 104, 155, 158, 159, 161, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 174, 175—prisoner in the Clink, 166  
 Have with you to Saffron Walden, a tract, by Thomas Nash, 150  
 Hazlett, or Hazlitt, John, the vaulter, 138, 150, 261  
 Hearne, Thomas, a player, 256  
 Helle, John, a clown, his engagement with Henslowe, 257  
 Hell mouth, a property, 273  
 Hengist, or Henges, a play, 89  
 Henry I., Life and Death of, a play, 88, 89, 90  
 —, the famous Wars of, by Drayton, Dekker, and Chettle, 120  
 Henry V., a play, 26, 61, 62, 63, 64, 68, 69  
 —, doublet and velvet gown of, 271, 276  
 Henry VI., a play, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30  
 —, by Shakespeare, 22  
 Henslowe, Edmond, and his children, 112, 177  
 —, Francis, his debt to Philip Henslowe, 5, 8, 17—acting for Philip Henslowe as a pawnbroker, 148—his house in the Upper Ground, 151—prisoner in the White Lion, 192  
 —, —, Garland Symcockes, and Savery, 214  
 —, Philip, by trade a dyer, 52, 267—a groom of the Queen's Chamber, 97—a pawnbroker, 148—his letter to Mr. Vaughan, 177—his receipt to William Birde, 191—his relations, 252—rents paid by him, 263—his Tenants, a list of, 263, 264  
 —, William, brother to Philip Henslowe, 177, 268  
 Hercules, Part I., by M. Slater, Slatier, or Slaughter, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 276  
 —, Part II., by M. Slater, Slatier, or Slaughter, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 276  
 —, a revived play, 205  
 Herne, Philip, a player, 92  
 Hero and Leander, a poem, by C. Marlowe and G. Chapman, 65  
 Hertford, Earl of, a play, 226  
 Hester and Ahasuerus, a play, 35, 36  
 Heywood, Thomas the dramatist and player, his Apology for Actors, 31—his Brazen Age, 51—his Iron Age, 74—a play by in 1596, 78—his Four Prentices of London, 238—his engagement with Henslowe, 260  
 Historia Histriomica, by Wright, 226

Hoffman, a tragedy, by H. Chettle, 229  
 Honest Whore, with the Humours of the  
 Patient Man, &c., a play, by Dekker  
 and Middleton, 232  
 Hoope, Richard, the Lord Chamber-  
 lain's servant, 7  
 Hot anger soon cold, a play, by Porter,  
 Chettle, and Jonson, 131  
 Humours, the comedy of, 87, 88, 89, 90,  
 91, 276  
 Huon of Bordeaux, a play, 31, 32  
 Hutton, Luke, his Black Dog of New-  
 gate, a tract, 215  
 Indies, conquest of the West, a play, by  
 William Haughton, 171  
 Instruments, musical, for the company  
 of players, 141, 154  
 Invisible, a robe to go, 277  
 Isle of Dogs, a play, by Thomas Nash,  
 94, 98, 99—restraint caused by it, 258  
 Italian Tragedy, by Day and Smith,  
 163, 250  
 James I., accession of, and suspension  
 of theatrical performances, 232—his  
 License to his own Players, 251—his  
 License to the Earl of Worcester's  
 Players, 251  
 Jealous, or Gelyous Comedy, 29  
 Jeffes, Anthony, a player, 99, 218—his  
 debt to Henslowe, 181  
 —, Humphrey, a player, 99, 100,  
 102, 120, 218  
 Jephthah, a play, by Monday and  
 Dekker, 220, 221, 222, 223  
 Jeronymo, a play, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26,  
 27, 28, 29, 30  
 Jerusalem, a play, 23, 25, 37  
 Jewby, Edward, a player, 6, 98, 106  
 Jew of Malta, a play, by C. Marlowe,  
 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33,  
 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 43, 46, 62,  
 63, 64, 65, 67, 68—revival of, 187  
 Joan as good as my Lady, a play by  
 Thomas Heywood, 144, 145  
 Joan, Pope, a play, and a tract, 22  
 Jones, Richard, a player, 6, 60, 66, 71,  
 75, 93, 98, 100, 120—his payments  
 to Philip Henslowe, 216, 217—his  
 engagement with Henslowe, 257  
 Johnson, Robert, servant to the Master  
 of the Revels, 79, 80  
 Jonson, Ben, a player and a sharer in  
 Henslowe's theatre, 80, 255—his  
 Every Man in his Humour, 87—his  
 killing Gabriel Spenser, 98—his ad-  
 ditions and new additions to the  
 Spanish Tragedy, 201, 223 — his  
 Richard Crookback, 223—his fragment  
 of The Fall of Mortimer, 226—pay-  
 ment by, 256  
 Joshua, a play, by Sam. Rowley, 226  
 Juby, Edward, a player, 6, 218  
 Judas, a play, by Birde and Rowley,  
 205, 206  
 Jugurth, a play, by W. Boyle, 164  
 Julian the Apostate, a play, 68, 69  
 Jyl of Brentford's Testament, a poem,  
 by R. Celand, 144  
 Kemp, William, his Merriments in a  
 Knack to know a Knave, 28—his  
 Nine Days Wonder, 188—his junction  
 with Alleyn and Henslowe, 215—ad-  
 vance to by Henslowe, 237—one of  
 the Earl of Worcester's players in  
 1602, 237—a suit for, 238, 239  
 Kent's wooden leg, 273  
 Killigrew, William, 178  
 King and the Poor Northern Man, a  
 Poem, 204—a play, 206, 207  
 King Arthur, Life and Death of, a  
 play, 276  
 Knight of the Burning Pestle, by Beau-  
 mont and Fletcher, 238  
 King Lear, or Leir, the old, 34  
 King Lud, a play, 32  
 Knack to Know a Knave, a play, 28, 29,  
 30, 43  
 Knack to Know an Honest Man, a play,  
 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 51, 54, 55,  
 57, 62, 82  
 Knave of Clubs, a poem, by Samuel  
 Rowlands, 42  
 Knewtus, or Hardiknute, a play, 91, 276  
 Labesya's cloak, 274  
 Lady Jane Grey, a play by Dekker,  
 Heywood, Smith, and Webster, 242  
 —, Part II., by T. Dek-  
 ker, 243  
 Laneham, John, a player, 33  
 Langly, agreement between him and  
 Lord Nottingham's players, 134, 135  
 Langworth, Arthur, his agreement with  
 Edward Alleyn, 80, 81—loans to, 190,  
 209—sale of a house to, 252  
 Lear, or Leir, King, the old, 34  
 Legge, Dr., his Destruction of Jeru-  
 salem, 25 — his Ricardus Tertius,  
 25  
 Leicester, Lord, his players, 137  
 Lennox, Duke of, his players, 214  
 Like quits Like, a play by Heywood and  
 Chettle, 230  
 Like unto Like, a play, 181  
 Like will to Like, quoth the Devil  
 to the Collier, an interlude by Ulpian  
 Fulwell, 181

London Florentine, a play by Chettle and Heywood, 229, 230—Part II., by H. Chettle, 231

Longbeard, or Longsword, William, a play, by M. Drayton, 142

Long Meg of Westminster, a play, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, 82, 85

Longshank, a play, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 67, 68

Longshank, a revived play, 225

Longshank's suit, 276

Longsword, or Longbeard, William, a play, by Michael Drayton, 95, 142

Looking-glass for London and England, a play, by Thomas Lodge and Robert Greene, 23, 25, 28

Love of an English Lady, a play, 41, 43

Love of a Grecian Lady, a play, 42, 44, 45

Love Prevented, a play by Henry Porter, 124

Love parts Friendship, a play by Chettle and Smith, 220, 222

Lowen, John, a player, loan to, 234—one of the Earl of Worcester's players in 1602, 244

Machiavel, and Machiavel and the Devil, a play, 22, 24, 27

Mack, the, a play, 49

Mad Mans Morris, by Wilson, Drayton, and Dekker, 126, 127, 128, 227

Magett, Stephen, Tireman to Henslowe's company, 70, 76, 77

Mahomet, and George Peele's Turkish Mahomet, 39

—, a play, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 48—a revived play, 196, 198

Malcolm King of Scots, a play, 219, 220

Malta, the Jew of, a play, by C. Marlowe, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 43, 46, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68—revived, 187

Malthouse, John, his bargain about Paris Garden, 107

Mandeville, Sir John, a play, 21, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30

Mark Antony, sackbut for, 138

Marlowe, Christopher, his Massacre at Paris, 30, 36, 37, 38, 39, 42—his Hero and Leander, 65—his Edward II., 146—death of, 30, See Malta, Jew of

Marlowe and Nash's Dido, Queen of Carthage, a play, 117

Marston, John, the dramatist, 156, 157—his Wonder of Women, 58—his Antonio and Melinda, and Antonio's Revenge, 157—his Satires, 157

Martin Swart, his Life and Death, a play, 89, 90

Massacre at Paris, by Christopher Marlowe, 30, 36, 37, 38, 39, 42

Massacre of France, a play, 203, 207

Massey, Charles, a player, 73, 81, 120, 218—his engagement with Philip Henslowe, 260

Maxton, John, for Marston, 156

Maw, the Set at, a play, 46, 47, 48

Measure for Measure, by Shakespeare, 230

Medical Receipts, 70

Medicine for a Curst Wife, by Thomas Dekker, 224, 225, 237, 238, 240

Merchant of Emden, a play, 38

Merchant of Venice, by Shakespeare, 40

Mermaid, tavern, the, 236

Merry, Tragedy of Thomas, a play by Haughton and Day, 92, 93, 160, 161

Merry as may be, a play, by Day, Hathway, and Smith, 227

Middleton, John, 258

—, Thomas, the dramatist, 227, 228, 232

Mirror for Monsters, a tract by William Rankins, 97

Monday, Anthony, the dramatist, 158, 163, 171

Monday and Dekker, acknowledgment of debt from, 235

Mortimer, a play, 226

Mother Redcap, a play by Drayton and Monday, 106, 117, 277

—, sign for, 273

Mulmutius Danwallow, a play, by William Rankins, 135

Mulomorco, Mulamulocco, or the Battle of Alcazar, a play by G. Peele, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30

Nabbes, Thomas, his tragedy of Hannibal and Scipio, 97

Nash, Thomas, his Pierce Penniless' Supplication, 26, 99, 100—his Summers last Will and Testament, 29—his Isle of Dogs, 94, 98, 99—his imprisonment, 98—his Have with you to Saffron Walden, a tract, 16

Nebuchadnezzar, a play, 83, 84, 86

Nemibia, gown for, 277

Newgate, the Black Dog of, a play by Hathway, Day, and Smith, 244, 245, 246—Part II., by Hathway, Day, and Smith, 249

—, a tract, by Luke Hutton, 245

Newington Butts Theatre, 35

New Wonder, a play by W. Rowley, 58

Newman, Thomas, his debt to Philip Henslowe, 3  
 New World's Tragely, a play, 56  
 Nicholls, Robert, a player, 8  
 Nick, a tumbler, so called, 206  
 Northern Man, or too good to be true, a play by Chettle, Hathway, and Smith, 204, 206, 207  
 Nottingham, Earl of, list of his Players about 1595, 6—his players becoming the Prince's men, 233  
 Ogle, Father, 165  
 Oldcastle, Sir John, Part I., by A. Monday, M. Drayton, R. Wilson, and R. Hathway, 158, 162, 166  
 ———, Part II., 236, 237  
 ———, Dekker's additions to, 236, 239  
 Olympio and Ingenio, a play, 56, 57, 60, 65  
 Orestes Furies, a play, by Thomas Dekker, 151  
 Orlando Furioso, a play, by Robert Greene, 21  
 Orphan's Tragedy, by Day, Haughton, and Chettle, 93, 160, 201  
 Osrick, a play, 85  
 ———, Marshal, by Smith and Heywood, 240, 243  
 Othello, by Shakespeare, 203  
 Overthrow of Rebels, a play, 244  
 Owen Tudor, a play by M. Drayton, A. Monday, R. Hathway, and R. Wilson, 163  
 Page of Plymouth, a play by Ben Jonson and T. Dekker, 105, 155, 156  
 Page's Damask gown, 274  
 Painted cloth and Tapestry, 9  
 Palamon and Arcite, a play, 41, 43, 44  
 —a poem by Chaucer, 41  
 Palmer, John, groom of the Queen's chamber, 96, 97  
 Paradox, the, a play, 74  
 Paris Garden, receipts at, in 1608, 269  
 Partridge, John, his Legend of Sir Plasidas, a poem, 149  
 Paschall, William, his receipt for £10 to the Lord Chamberlain, and debt to Philip Henslowe, 192  
 Pastoral Tragedy, by G. Chapman, 154  
 Patient Grissall, by H. Chettle, T. Dekker, and W. Haughton, 96, 158, 162, 163—payment to prevent the printing of it, 167  
 Patient Man and Honest Whore, by Dekker and Middleton, 232  
 Peele, George, his Turkish Mahomet, 39, 42—his jests, 39 — his Edward I., 55—his Battle of Alcazar, 77  
 Peele, Stephen, probably the father of George Peele, 39  
 Pembroke, Earl of, his players acting with those of the Lord Admiral, 102  
 Pembroke, Lord, his Players at the Rose, 181  
 Pendleton, or Spendleton, Friar, a play, 91, 276  
 Perkins, Richard, a player, 235  
 Pero's suit, worn by W. Sly, 275  
 Phaeton, a play, by T. Dekker, 118, 173, 174, 277—dresses and properties for, 273, 275  
 Peter, Henslowe's soldier, 72  
 Pett, Mr., a dramatist, 170  
 Phileno and Hippolita, a play attributed to Massinger, 55, xxxi.  
 Philip of Spain, a revived play, 225  
 Phocus, or Focasse, a play, by M. Slater, Slatier, or Slaughter, 69, 276  
 Philippo and Hippolyto, a play, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 55  
 Pierce, Alice, a play, 105, 106, 116, 276  
 —bodice for, 273  
 Pierce of Exton, a play by Wilson, Dekker, Drayton, and Chettle, 121  
 Pierce of Winchester, a play by Dekker, Drayton, and Wilson, 129, 131, 134, 136, 277  
 Pierce Penniless's Supplication, by Thomas Nash, 26, 99, 100  
 Pigge, the nickname of a player, 150  
 Placidas, or Plasidas, Sir, a play, by H. Chettle, 149, 150  
 Plays confuted in Five Actions, a tract by S. Gossen, 170  
 Plays, P. Henslowe's list of stock, 276  
 Playstow, William, his receipts for E. Tylney, 179, 180, 182, 215  
 Poland, Strange News out of, a play by Haughton and Pett, 170, 171  
 Polyphemus, a play, by Henry Chettle, 145, 149, 157  
 Pontius Pilate, Dekker's Prologue and Epilogue to, 207  
 Poor Man's Paradise, a play by W. Haughton, 155  
 Pope Joan, a play, and a tract regarding, 22  
 Pope, Thomas, a player, 109—interested in the Rose, 235  
 Porter, Henry, the dramatist, 77, 94, 98, 145, 147, 151—his undertaking to write only for Henslowe, 146—security for Chettle, 126—his bond to Henslowe, 261  
 Princes Men, the players of Prince Henry, 233

Printing of Plays, opposed to the wishes of Companies, 167

Prophetess, a play by Beaumont and Fletcher, 45

Puritan, or the Widow of Watling Street, a play, 224

Pygmalion's Image, &c., a poem by John Marston, 157

Pythagoras, a play by M. Slater, Slatier, or Slaughter, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 276

Queenborough, the Mayor of, a play, 227

Queen's Players, breaking-up of, in 1593, 5—the two Associations so called, 33

\_\_\_\_\_, Philip Henslowe's account with, and list of plays performed by, 33

Randall, Earl of Chester, by Thomas Middleton, 227, 228

Ranger's Comedy, 34, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 48

Rankins, William, the dramatist, 97, 174, 175—his Seven Satires, 1598, 135

Raye, Ralph, the Lord Chamberlain's man, 8

Red-cap, Mother, a play, by Drayton and Monday, 106, 117, 277

Red Cross, an inn, 212

Redley, Samuel, miswritten probably for Samuel Rowley, 144

Revels. Master of the, monthly payments to, 79, 91, 109, 179, 180, 182 —, the Master of the, and the Fortune Theatrie, 212

Richard the Confessor, a play, 31, 32

Richard Cordelion's Funeral, a play by Wilson, Chettle, Monday, and Drayton, 124, 125, 126

Richard Crookback, by Ben Jonson, 223

Richmond, Henry, Part II., 159

Rising of Cardinal Wolsey, by Chettle, Monday, and Drayton, 198, 202, 203

Robert the Second, King of Scots, a play by T. Dekker, Ben Jenson, and H. Chettle, 156

Robin Goodfellow, a play, by Henry Chettle, 239

Robinhood, Part I., a play, by Anthony Monday, 118, 121, 277—mended by Chettle, 139

\_\_\_\_\_, Part II., a play, by Monday and Chettle, 118, 119, 120, 277

Robinhood's Pehnyworths, a play by W. Haughton, 174, 175

Robinhood, suit and green coats for, 271, 275

Robinson, Richard, a player, 226

Robinson, William, a player, 226

Roderick, a play, 181

Rose theatre, repair of, 4, 10—performances of Lord Pembroke's Players at, 181—Thomas Pope's interest in, 235—Rents of houses connected with the, 264

Rosse, James, his bitch, 209

\_\_\_\_\_, or Rose, sale of clothes by, 267

Rowlands, S., his Knave of Clubs, 42

Rowley, Samuel, the dramatist and player, 120, 218—his engagement with Henslowe, 260

\_\_\_\_\_, William, his New Wonder, a Woman never vexed, 58

Rush, Friar, and the Proud Woman of Antwerp, a play by Day and Haughton, 193, 194, 201, 203, 204

Samson, a play, 224

Satires, by Rankins, Donne, Lodge, Hall, and Marston, 135

Savery, his concern with Francis Henslowe, 192—Symcookes, F. Henslowe, and Garland, 214

Scoggin and Skelton, by W. Rankins and R. Hathway, 175, 183, 184

Scourge of Villany, satires, by John Marston, 157

Sebastian, King of Portugal, a play by Dekker and Chettle, 186, 187, 188

Seleo, or Steleo and Olympio, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 57

Set at Maw, a play, 46, 47

Set at Tennis, a play, by Anthony Monday, 228

Seven Days of the Week, a play, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 82, 83, 84—Part II., 63, 65

Seven Wise Masters, a play by T. Dekker, W. Haughton, J. Day, and H. Chettle, 165, 167

Shakespeare's As You Like It, 65—Hamlet, 35—Henry VI., 22—King Lear, 34—Measure for Measure, 230—Othello, 203—Titus Andronicus, 33—Troilus and Cressida, 147—Winter's Tale, 167

Shakespeare and Fletcher's Two Noble Kinsmen, 41

Shaw, or Shaa, Robert, a player, 96, 98, 102, 103, 104, 105, 120—his payments to Philip Henslowe, 215—his engagement with Henslowe, 258

Sheldon, or Shealden, a player, 112

Shepherd, John, his debt to Philip Henslowe, 6

Shoemaker's Holiday, or the Gentle Craft, a play by T. Dekker, 154

Shore, Jane, a play by H. Chettle, 214

Shore's Wife, a character in a play by Day and Chettle, 251

Siege of Dunkirk, with Alleyn the P-  
rate, a play, 231

Siege of London, a play, 46, 47, 48, 49,  
50, 56, 57, 63  
\_\_\_\_\_, frame in the, 274

Singer, John, the dramatist and player, 6, 98, 218—his *Voluntary*, a dramatic piece so called, 7, 230

Six Yeomen of the West, a play by Haughton, Hathway, and Smith, 188, 189, 193, 194, 202 — Part II., by Haughton, Hathway, and Smith, 203

Skelton, John, his poem “Agaynstte a comely Coystrowne,” 89

Skelton and Scoggin, by W. Rankins and R. Hathway, 175, 183, 184

Skialetheia, poems and satires by Edward Guilpin, 34

Slater, Slatier, or Slaughter, Martin, a dramatist and player, 6, 51, 75, 76, 78, 90, 268—his plays of Hercules, Parts I. and II., 51, 53—his Pythagoras, 63—his play of Focasse, 69—his Alexander and Lodowick, 79—his plays of Hercules, Focasse, Pythagoras, and Alexander and Lodowick, 123, 127, 128—his lawsuit with other players, 111

Sly, William, a player, sale to, 66—his suit as Pero, 275

Smith, William, a player, 8

Sommers, William, coat and suit for, 221, 272

Spalding, Mr., his *Essay on the Two Noble Kinsmen*, 40

Spanish Fig, a play, 207

Spanish Lady's Love, a ballad, 40

Spanish Moor's Tragedy, by T. Dekker, W. Haughton, and John Day, 165

Spanish Tragedy, by Thomas Kyd, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91—when first printed, 201—Ben Jonson's additions and new additions to, 201, 223

Spenderlon, or Pendleton, Friar, a play, 91, 276

Spenser Gabriell, a player, 106, 111, 112, 113, 114, 120—killed by Ben Jonson, 98  
\_\_\_\_\_, widow, relict of Gabriel Spenser, 263

Spensers, the, a play, by H. Chettle and H. Porter, 146, 148, 149

Starch, manufactory of by Henslowe and Alleyn, 233, 262

Stepmother's Tragedy, by Dekker and Chettle, 154, 155, 157

Stewtley, or Stukely, a play, 77, 83, 84, 85, 86, 88, 89

Stock plays, P. Henslowe's list of, 276

Stonard, William, the Master of the Revels' man, 7, 72

Stow, John, his *Survey* by W. J. Thoms, 166

Strange, Lord, his players, P. Henslowe's account with, 20, 28, 31

Strange News out of Poland, by Haughton and Pett, 170, 171

Strowd, Tom — See *Blind Beggar of Bethnal Green*

Stukely, or Stewtley, a play, 77, 83, 84, 85, 86, 88, 89

Sturgiflattery, a play, 276

Suckling, Sir John, his *Brennoralt*, 116

Suffolk, Duchess of, by T. Drue, 168

Sun in Fish Street, a tavern, 121

Sunday, theatrical performances upon, 46

Sussex, Earl of, his Players, P. Henslowe's account with, 31, 33

Swart, Martin, Life and death of, a play, 88, 90

Syferweste, Dick, 235

Symcookes, Francis Henslowe, Garland, and Savery, 214

Tambercam, Part I., a play, 25, 68, 69, 82—Part II., 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 74—a revived play, 227, 241

Tamburlaine the Great, by C. Marlowe, 25, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 53, 56, 60—Part II., 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 60

Tamburlaine's bridle, 273—coat, 274—breeches, 275

Taming of a Shrew, the old, 36

Tanner of Denmark, a play, 27

Tarlton's Jests, edited by Mr. J. Orchard Halliwell, 138

Tasso's Melancholy, a play, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 52—altered and mended by Dekker, 207, 227, 228—picture, 127, 273 — his robe, 275

Taylor, John, oar-maker, 7

Thamiseidos, a poem by E. W., 181

That will be shall be, a play, 79, 84, 85, 87, 88

Theatres of old, thatched, 11

The longer thou livest, the more Fool thou art, by W. Wager, 89

Thompson, John, a player, 73

Thoms, W. J., his edition of Stow's *Survey*, 166

Three Brothers, or Two Brothers, a play by W. Smith, 241, 242, 243

'Tis no deceit to deceive the Deceiver, a play by H. Chettle, 139

Time's Triumph, and Faustus, 86

Time, a robe for, 167  
 Tinker of Totness, a play, 75  
 Tiring, or attiring house, in theatres, 16  
 Titus Andronicus, a play, 33, 35, 36  
 ——, by Shakespeare, 33  
 Titus and Vespasian, a play, 24, 25, 26,  
 27, 28, 29, 30  
 Tobias, a play by Henry Chettle, 220,  
 222, 223  
 Too good to be True, or the Northern  
 Man, a play by Chettle, Hathway,  
 and Smith, 204, 206, 207  
 Towne, John, a player, 5  
 ——, Thomas, a player, 6, 81, 92, 98,  
 101, 120, 218  
 Toy to please Chaste Ladies, a play, 60,  
 61, 62, 67, 82, 83  
 Treheren, Mr., loan to the Earl of Not-  
 tingham's players hy, 184, 190, 262  
 Triangle, or Triplicity of Cuckolds, a  
 play, 119, 277  
 Triplicity, or Triangle of Cuckolds, a  
 comedy, by T. Dekker, 119, 277  
 Tristram de Lyons, a play, 157  
 Troilus and Cressida, a play by Dekker  
 and Chettle, 147, 148, 149, 153  
 ——, by Shakespeare,  
 147  
 Troy, a play, 74  
 Troy's Revenge, with the Tragedy of  
 Polyphemus, by H. Chettle, 145  
 Truth's Supplication to Candlelight, a  
 play by T. Dekker, 95, 163, 164  
 Turk, the, a play by Mason, 196  
 Two Angry Women of Abingdon,  
 by Henry Porter, 141, 145, 146  
 Two Brothers, or Three Brothers, a tra-  
 gedy by W. Smith, 241, 242, 243  
 Two Loving Italians, J. Drout's Pitiful  
 History of, 52  
 Two Merry Women of Abingdon, a play  
 by Henry Porter, 146  
 Two Harpies, a play by Drayton, Dek-  
 ker, Middleton, and Webster, 222  
 Two Noble Kinsmen, by Shakespeare  
 and Fletcher, 41  
 Tylney, Edmund, Master of the Revels,  
 payments to, 18, 79, 91, 109, 179,  
 180, 182  
 Umers, or Humours, comedy of, 87, 88,  
 89, 90, 91  
 Underhill, or Underell, a player, 241  
 Unfortunate General, French History,  
 a play by Hathway, Smith, and Day,  
 246, 247, 248  
 Utherpendragon, a play, 87, 88, 89, 90  
 Valentine and Orson, a play by Hathway  
 and Monday, 128  
 Valle, the Widow, one of Philip Hens-  
 lowe's tenants, 178  
 Valteger, or Vortigern, a play, 76, 83,  
 84, 85, 86, 204—hose, jerkin, &c.,  
 for, 273, 274  
 Vaughan, Mr., Philip Henslowe's letter  
 to, 177  
 Vayvode, a play by Henry Chettle, 132,  
 133, 142, 277  
 Veale, Richard, his receipts for Edmund  
 Tylney, 179  
 Velya for, a play so called by Philip  
 Henslowe, 47  
 Venetian, and Love of an English Lady,  
 a play, 41, 43  
 Venetian Comedy, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44,  
 45, 48, 50, 52  
 Verona's son's hose, 272  
 Voluntary, a drama, by John Singer,  
 230  
 Vortigern, See Valteger  
 Wadeson, Anthony, the dramatist and  
 player, his receipt to Henslowe, 183  
 Wager, W., The longer thou livest the  
 more Fool thou art, 89  
 Waltham, Richard, his payment of  
 money, 3  
 War without blows, and Love without  
 Suit, or Strife, a play by Thomas  
 Heywood, 140, 143  
 Warlamchester, a play, 45, 46, 51, 52, 54  
 Webbe, Richard, 93  
 Webster, John, his play, the Guise, 110,  
 202, 203, 204—his Devil's Law Case,  
 202  
 Welles, Robert, his agreement with  
 Henslowe, 269  
 Welshman, a play containing the part of  
 a, by Drayton and Chettle, 120  
 ——, a play, 61  
 Welshman's Prize, a play, 276  
 What will be, shall be, See *That will be*  
 shall be  
 White Lion prison in Southwark, 192  
 Widow's Charn, a play by Monday, or  
 Wadeson, 224, 225  
 William the Conqueror, a play, 31  
 Williams, Kenrick, payments to, 206,  
 289, 209  
 William Longsword, or Longbeard, a  
 play by Michael Drayton, 95, 142  
 Will of a Woman, a play by George  
 Chapman, 125  
 Wilson, Robert, a dramatist and player,  
 158, 163, 178—his Cobbler's Pro-  
 phesy, 103  
 Windsor, Installation at, 169  
 Winter's Tale, by Shakespeare, 167  
 Wisdom of Doctor Dodpolly, a play, 43

Wise Man of Westchester, a play, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64, 67, 68, 90 —a revived play, 200	Wonder of Women, a play by John Marston, 58
Witch of Islington, a play, 90	Woodward, Joan, her marriage with Edward Alleyn, 3
Wolsey, Cardinal, the Rising of, by Chettle, Monday, and Drayton, 198, 202, 203—his Life, by H. Chettle, 189, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 200 —Part I., by H. Chettle, Smith, Monday, and Drayton, 198, 200— Part II., 221, 222	_____, Francis, his debt to Philip Henslowe, 267
Woman, or Women, hard to please, a play, 79, 85, 87, 88	Wooing of Death, a play, by Henry Chettle, 169
Woman Killed with Kindness, a tragedy by T. Heywood, 249, 250	Worcester, Earl of, his Players at the Rose, 214—his Players becoming those of Queen Anne, 236
Woman's Tragedy, by H. Chettle, 127	World runs on Wheels, a play by George Chapman, 143, 145, 153, 154
Woman will have her Will, a comedy by W. Haughton, 119, 122, 276	Worse afraid than Hurt, a play by Drayton and Dekker, 133
Wonder of a Woman, a play, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 68	World's Tragedy, a play, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 63, 66
	Wright's Historia Histrionica, 226
	Yorkshire Tragedy, the, 22, 86
	Zenobia, a play, 23

THE END.





